SARS-CoV-2 viral load in feces does not have a prognostic benefit in outcome of COVID-19: Clinical and immunological study

Šušak, Božo; Dalmatin-Dragišić, Monika; Laura, Luka; Mikulić, Vinka; Nakić, Katarina; Mikulić, Ivanka; Brizić, Ilija; Arapovic, Jurica; Arapovic, Maja

Source / Izvornik: Biomolecules and Biomedicine, 2024

Journal article, Accepted version Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

https://doi.org/10.17305/bb.2024.10176

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:184:164967

Rights / Prava: Attribution 4.0 International/Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2024-08-28



Repository / Repozitorij:

Repository of the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Medicine - FMRI Repository





Biomolecules and Biomedicine ISSN: 2831-090X (Online)

Journal Impact Factor® (2022): 3.4

<u>CiteScore® (2022): 5.3</u>

www.biomolbiomed.com | blog.biomolbiomed.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE

and Herzegovina

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Šušak et al.: SARS-CoV-2 excretion in feces and severity of COVID-19

Excretion of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in feces

has no prognostic benefit in the outcome of

COVID-19: A clinical and immunological

study

Božo Šušak^{1,2#}, Monika Dalmatin-Dragišić^{1#}, Luka Laura³, Vinka Mikulić⁴, Katarina Nakić², Ivanka Mikulić⁴, Ilija Brizić⁵, Jurica Arapović^{1,2*}, Maja Arapović^{2,3*}

Department of Infectious Diseases, University Clinical Hospital Mostar, Mostar, Bosnia

²Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Mostar, Mostar,

³Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Mostar, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina

⁴Department of Laboratory Diagnostics, University Clinical Hospital Mostar, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina

⁵Center for Proteomics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia

*Corresponding authors: Jurica Arapović; Email: jurica.arapovic@mef.sum.ba, Maja

Arapović; Email: maja.arapovic@mef.sum.ba

***Equal contributions:** These authors contributed equally to this work.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.17305/bb.2024.10176

Submitted: 15 December 2023/ Accepted: 31 January 2024/ Published online: 10

February 2024

Conflicts of interest: Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding: This work was supported by the Federal Ministry of the Education and Science;

FMON #05-35-2035-1/22.

License: © The Author(s) (2024). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution 4.0 International License.

ABSTRACT

This study explores the correlation between immunological and clinical characteristics in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients with detectable severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) RNA in feces, analyzing data from 251 patients admitted to Mostar University Clinical Hospital from December 2021 to January 2022. Methods involved reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) from nasopharyngeal swabs and feces, alongside serological tests for anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike IgGs. Demographic and clinical data were collected through questionnaires and medical records. The data analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software. Death occurred in 53 patients (21.1%, P < 0.001), mostly in the elderly (47/53, 88.7%, P = 0.001) and immunocompromised (19/53, 35.8%, P = 0.05), particularly those developing acute respiratory insufficiency (ARI) (46/53, 86.8%, P = 0.004), and severe/critical disease (46/53, 86.8%, P = 0.004) 0.002). Among the patients with positive anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies (86/251, 34.3%, P < 0.001), 41 (47.7%) were vaccinated and 45 (52.3%) unvaccinated (P = 0.666), showing no significant differences in clinical outcomes or mortality. Unvaccinated patients with a negative antibody titer had a higher incidence of ARI (96/123, 78%, P = 0.029) and intensive care unit admission (22/123, 17.9%, P = 0.026), than those with a positive antibody titer. Forty-seven (62.7%) patients, out of the 75 hospitalized who provided a feces sample, were positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA (P = 0.028), without statistical differences between fecal SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative groups regarding vaccination status (15/47, 31.9%, P = 0.493), antibody status (18/47, 38.3%, P = 0.628) or death outcome (5/47, 10.6%, P = 0.706). In conclusion, unvaccinated hospitalized patients with a severe COVID-19 presentation and a negative antispike SARS-CoV-2 IgG titer had adverse outcomes more frequently. This suggests cautious consideration for the diagnostic use of fecal samples compared to nasopharyngeal swabs.

Keywords: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), COVID-19 testing, immunoglobulin G, vaccination, viral load, feces, signs and symptoms, patient outcome

INTRODUCTION

disease 2019 (COVID-19), remains a threat to global health, with various genetic lineages emerging and circulating globally [1]. The gold standard for the diagnosis of COVID-19 is reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) which determines the presence of genomic material of SARS-CoV-2 in samples from different origins. The viral RNA is commonly detected in nasopharyngeal (NP) swabs, but it can also be detected in sputum, urine, lung, serum, plasma, and feces samples [2,3]. The value of the cycle threshold (Ct value) determined by RT-qPCR is inversely proportional to viral load [4]. A prior study showed that the viral load from NP swabs was the highest at the beginning of the symptoms or a few days after, followed by a significant decline two weeks after onset of symptoms [5], whereas viral loads in feces samples usually peak later on, indicating later viral clearance in feces samples [6]. Higher viral load in NP swabs positively correlates to virus infectivity and COVID-19 severity, while SARS-CoV-2 RNA shedding duration and feces viral load dynamics require further research, including the virus transmissibility and clinical significance [5,6]. In addition to RT-qPCR testing, serological tests are used to identify currently or previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals, based on the detection of specific antibodies (IgM, IgG, IgA immunoglobulins) to SARS-CoV-2 antigens [7]. The IgG antibodies have affinity to its antigen and a high efficiency for pathogen neutralization resulting in mostly systemic protection against COVID-19 [8]. They appear later in the immune response and are associated with long-term immunity following infection or vaccination [9]. Consequently, the specific antibody titer is an accurate method to detect neutralizing antibodies from recently resolved or past infections. Antibody titers are decreasing following infection resolution [10]. The neutralizing antibodies are stalling infection if antibody titers are at an optimal level. Accordingly, one of the main goals of vaccination is the induction of antibody titers at a similar or higher level as in

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the cause of coronavirus

convalescent individuals. In addition, vaccination can expand and improve antibody clonal lineages induced by previous infection, thus preventing COVID-19 severity against novel virus strains [11].

Patients in our hospital were tested by NP swab, although there is evidence that SARS-CoV-2 RNA can be detected in samples from different origins [12]. Members of the coronavirus family, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, are known to be excreted in the feces of infected patients, suggesting a potential for fecal-oral transmission. [13]. Similar to these viruses, SARS-CoV-2 was also found in the feces of a significant number of patients with COVID-19 [14]. Recent studies have shown no correlation between the excretion of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces and the outcome of COVID-19 [15,16].

This study aimed to determine the association of fecal virus excretion with immunological and clinical characteristics, as well as clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

A total of 251 SARS-CoV-2-positive participants admitted to the COVID-department of the University Clinical Hospital (UCH) Mostar between December 2021 and January 2022 were enrolled in the study. The sociodemographic and clinical data were collected from questionnaires and medical records.

Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

NP swabs were collected from patinets at hospitalization between the fifth and seventh day of symptom onset, and were routinely analysed using RT-qPCR. The criteria for SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 positive tests were Ct values ≤ 38 for both the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) and nucleocapsid protein (N) genes, according to manufacturer's instructions. Based

on PCR test results and clinical status, patients were hospitalised and consequently included in our study.

Four SARS-CoV-2 genes were analysed after the swabs underwent extra processing: RdRp, N, non-structural protein 14 (nsp14), and envelope protein (E). Using a RNA extraction kit and reverse transcription, RNA was transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using a one-step RT-qPCR assay (qScript XLT One-Step RT-qPCR ToughMix, Quanta Bio), following the manufacturer's protocol. Eurofins genomics (Vienna, Austria) produced and supplied the primers and probes. The reaction was carried out by magnetic induction cycler (MIC PCR, Bio Molecular Systems) and the results were interpreted as previously reported [17].

Feces samples were collected within the first three days of hospitalisation, and analysed by RT-qPCR after short-term storage at +4 °C. 30 mg of feces was suspended in 600 μ L of lysis buffer (RLys buffer) for every sample to obtain 5% w/v suspension. Feces suspensions were then homogenised by vortexing for 60 s, followed by centrifugation for 2 min at 15 000 rotations per minute. Supernatants were then used as starting points for RNA extraction, which was performed by using a RNA extraction kit for tissues (EXTRACTME total RNA kit, BLIRT, S.A.), according to the manufacturer's protocols. Obtained RNA eluates were then analysed for four genes (RdRP, E, N and nsp14) by the same protocol which was previously described for NP swabs. Confirmed-positive samples were used as positive controls for all RT-qPCR reactions, while no-template controls served as negative controls.

Serological testing

Serum samples, after routine biochemical processing, were stored at -20°C until analysis. The serum concentration of SARS-CoV-2 IgGs was quantitatively determined using the SARS-CoV-2 IgG reagent kit (REF-11207376, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostic Inc. USA) on the ADVIA Centaur XPT analyser (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc., USA), as described previously [18].

Ethical statement

The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards stated in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments. Ethical approval was acquired from the Ethical Committee at UCH Mostar, number 1035/21.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive and analytical statistical methods were used in data processing. Data were presented as mean \pm SD or median and number (percentage) for categorical variables. A chi-squared or Fisher's exact test were used for the analysis of categorical data. Pearson (r) and Spearman (Rho) test were used for correlation of continuous and discrete data, respectively. We first converted the continuous variable into categorical variables using the median and quartile analysis, for the correlation test, and then the Spearman test with two-tailed test of significance was performed for the correlation. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 23.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

The median age of patients included in this study was 72 (25-92) years, and 154 patinets (61.4%) were male. Most of the patients had previous comorbidities, most often arterial hypertension and other cardiovascular diseases, as well as diabetes mellitus (78.5%, P < 0.001) (Table 1). Sixty-six patients (30.7%, P < 0.001) were vaccinated with two doses of COVID-19 vaccines, and nine of them (4.9%, P = 0.001) reported a previous COVID-19 infection. The majority of the patients developed pneumonia before or during hospitalization (90%, P < 0.001). Out of a total 251 patients, 178 (70.9%) developed acute respiratory insufficiency (ARI), 176 (70.1%) manifested severe or critical form of COVID-19, whereas 35 (13.6%) of patients were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) (Table 1). Death occurred in 53 (21.1%, P < 0.001) of patients, mostly in the elderly (47/53, 88.7%, P = 0.001), immunocompromised

(19/53, 35.8%, P = 0.05), those who developed ARI (46/53, 86.8%, P = 0.004), and with severe or critical disease (46/53, 86.8%, P = 0.002) (Table S1).

The influence of vaccination on the clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 Out of 66 vaccinated patients, 41 patients (62.1%, P < 0.001) had detectable SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG antibodies and 34 (51.5%, P = 0.243) had Ct values over 30, compared to the unvaccinated patients (Table 2). Majority of the vaccinated patients had comorbidities (61/66, 92.4%, P = 0.001). Vaccinated patients (54/66, 81.8%) developed pneumonia to a lower extent than unvaccinated patients (156/168, 92.9%, P = 0.017). In addition, 38 (57.6%) vaccinated patients presented with severe/critical form of COVID-19, compared to unvaccinated patients (125/168, 74.4%, P = 0.017) (Table 2).

Further analysis showed that 14 patinets (21.2%) were not aware of the type of vaccine they received, 23 (34.8%) were vaccinated with mRNA vaccines (Pfizer-BioNTech/Moderna), 15 (22.7%) with adenoviral vector vaccine (Oxford-AstaZeneca/Jonhsnom&Jonhson), and 14 (21.2%) with whole inactivated virus COVID-19 vaccines (Sinopharm) (P = 0.327) out of 66 vaccinated patients (Supplementary table 2). Thirty-one (47%) vaccinated patients were older than 75 years (P = 0.045), majority males (41/66, 71%, P = 0.003). There were no significant differences among patients in clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 regardless of the received vaccine type (Table S2).

The influence of the viral load on the clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 To analyze the influence of the viral load on the clinical manifestation of the disease, we analyzed the epidemiological and clinical parameters of patients stratified by the Ct values. Our results showed that patients with lower viral load presented by Ct value over 30 (51/100, 51%, P < 0.001) had higher levels of virus-specific antibodies (Table 3). Immunocompromised patients had a higher viral load with 30.4% of patients with Ct \leq 30 (41/138, P = 0.034). Among patients with Ct \leq 30, 38 (27.5%) patients died, compared to the group of patients with Ct > 30

(14/100, 14.0%, P = 0.017). Other clinical and epidemiological parameters did not statistically differ between patients with lower and higher viral load (Table 3).

The influence of previous infection on the clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19

To examine the influence of previous infection, we stratified unvaccinated patients according to the SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity. Unvaccinated seronegative patients had a higher incidence of ARI (96/123, 78%; P = 0.029) and ICU admission (22/123, 17.9%, P = 0.026). No statistically significant difference was observed when other clinical and epidemiological parameters were compared between seropositive and seronegative patients (Table 4).

The role of vaccination in acquiring antiviral protection

Our next aim was to determine the impact of positive antibody status on the clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in vaccinated, as well as unvaccinated patients. Among the patients with positive IgGs, 41 (47.7%) were vaccinated and 45 (52.3%) were unvaccinated (P = 0.666), with no statistical difference regarding clinical manifestations or mortality. An observed trend indicated that unvaccinated patients exhibited an increased propensity for developing pneumonia and more severe disease manifestations, although this difference did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.107) (Table 5).

Seropositive patients were stratified according to the antibody titers into high/low groups. A moderate positive correlation between a high antibody titer and higher Ct values was observed (P = 0.008), while other variables did not show a correlation with the antibody titer (Table S3).

The influence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA feces excretion on the clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19

Forty-seven patinets (62.7%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA (P = 0.028) out of 75 patients who provided a feces sample. There were no statistical differences in vaccination status (15/47, 31.9%, P = 0.493), antibody status (18/47, P = 0.628) or death outcome (5/47, 10.6%,

P=0.706) between fecal SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive and negative groups of patients regarding the clinical outcome of COVID-19. Furthermore, there were no differences in age (P=0.684), gender (male 26/47, 55.3%, P=0.138), or presence of comorbidities (34/47, 72.3%, P=1.000) between fecal SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive and negative groups of patients. Similarly, there were no differences regarding the occurrence of pneumonia (41/47, 87.2%, P=1.000), ARI (33/47, 70.2%, P=0.617), nor ICU admission (9/47, 19.1%, P=0.080) between the positive and negative group of patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA in feces (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

We included 251 patients with manifested COVID-19 requiring hospital treatment in this study. The results indicated that SARS-CoV-2 IgGs were more frequently present in patients with lower viral load and in immunocompetent patients. Unvaccinated patients without previous SARS-CoV-2 infection had a higher incidence of ARI as well as ICU admission, while other clinical and epidemiological parameters, as well as mortality rate, did not show a statistically significant difference between patients with positive or negative antibody titers in this group. Patients with positive antibody titers were similarly distributed between those who had been vaccinated and those who had resolved COVID-19, with no statistical difference regarding clinical manifestations or death outcome. Although the difference was not statistically significant, unvaccinated patients with positive antibody titer were more likely to develop pneumonia and more severe disease compared to unvaccinated patients with negative antibody titers. Finally, there were no differences in age, gender, vaccination status, comorbidities and death outcomedepending on SARS-CoV-2 RNA presence in feces samples.

In line with previous findings, our study further confirms that older age is the primary risk factor for mortality, followed by ICU admission, immunocompromised status, and disease severity [19].

W used Ct values as an indicator of a viral load in each tested sample in this study. The Ct value could indicate potential infectivity [20]. We found higher levels of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies, indicating the presence of previously acquired neutralizing anti-spike SARS-CoV-2 antibodies as a result of vaccination or resolved infections in patients with lower viral loads [10]. Furthermore, immunocompromised patients exhibited a higher viral load compared to their immunocompetent counterparts, likely due to a diminished immune response to the infection. [21].

Taking into account the time of our research conduction, we assume that the dominant variant in the study was the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 [22,23]. It is well established that the Omicron variant has developed several defense mechanisms against the immunity acquired by a previous infection [24,25] or vaccination, compared to the previous SARS-CoV-2 variants [26].

Unvaccinated patients with a negative antibody status upon hospital admission demonstrated an increased likelihood of developing ARI and requiring ICU admission. These findings underscore the critical role of vaccination, particularly for individuals with no prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, the results revealed no significant difference in patients with a positive titer of specific IgGs, regardless of vaccination status.

Within the initial weeks following vaccination, patients who receive the vaccine exhibit milder clinical manifestations compared to those unvaccinated when contracting COVID-19.[27,28]. Similarly, prior vaccination provides benefits for hospitalized patients [28–30]. Notbly, the patients included in this study were vaccinated with only two doses of the COVID-vaccine, although three doses of the vaccine were recommended by the WHO during the study period [31]. Furthermore, most of our patients received a second dose of vaccine five or more months before infection occurred. In addition, the lack of the third dose administration among patients in this study could also affect effectiveness of vaccination against the dominant variants of

SARS-CoV-2 during the study period. Also, a possible explanation for the lack of greater advantage of the COVID-19 vaccine observed in this study could be that mostly the elderly with comorbidities and immunocompromised people were enrolled, rather than immunocompetent younger people [17,32,33].

Our recent study demonstrated that previous contact with SARS-CoV-2, irrespective of vaccination, has a protective effect during subsequent infection, indicating that immunity acquired by previous infection might play an essential role in the prevention of symptomatic disease, especially the appearance of high fever, and loss of taste and smell [17]. This could be explained by the stronger mucosal immunity that arises as a result of a natural infection. Available COVID-19 vaccines are most often administered intramuscularly and primarily elicit IgG antibody response, with a weak response of mucosal IgA antibodies, which have a superior antiviral effect at the entry points of infection [34–36]. Therefore, hybrid immunity gained by vaccination and infection creates a better immune defense, with circulating IgG antibodies and mucosal IgA antibodies [37].

Previous research has shown that 40-60% of COVID-19 patients have a positive feces sample for SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 RNA could be detected in feces even after the negativization of NP swabs [16,38]. Also, the presence of viral particles in the tissue of the gastrointestinal tract suggests acute viral replication [39] and a potential for fecal-oral transmission of the virus [40].

One of the questions of this research was the correlation between SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive feces samples and clinical manifestations of the COVID-19. There is conflicting evidence on the association between a positive feces PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 RNA and the severity of the patient's clinical manifestation [41,42], but several previous studies have shown that there is no significant correlation between the detection of the virus in the feces and the severity of clinical manifestations [38,43]. However, the presence of viral particles in the feces does not

necessarily mean that the virus can be transmitted via the feces. Our results are in line with previous research, showing no difference in the clinical manifestations, course of the disease, or outcome in patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA in feces, compared to patients with negative SARS-CoV-2 RNA results in feces samples.

This study has several limitations. The study only included hospitalized patients, and as such, the results cannot be extrapolated to the overall population infected by SARS-CoV-2. All vaccinated patients received only two doses of vaccine in the study period which might affect the vaccine effectiveness against the Omicron variant. Furthermore, the Omicron variant was presumed rather than identified in each study participant. However, the study period overlaps with the peak of the COVID-19 prevalence in Bosnia and Herzegovina, as well as the Omicron predominance during the pandemic. Some of the observed trends in our results were not statistically significant due to the small number of participants. We were not able to detect previously recovered asymptomatic COVID-19 among investigated patients based on the anamnestic records and SARS-CoV-2 IgG detection method used in our study. Since the detection of SARS-CoV-2 IgGs in our study did not present neutralizing capacity, further study to clarify this issue should be conducted.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we showed that hospitalized unvaccinated patients without previous infection had a higher incidence of ARI and ICU admission. Despite the significant presence of comorbidities among vaccinated patients, ARI and pneumonia occurred to a lower extent compared to unvaccinated patients. Given that these were hospitalized patients, the impact of vaccination and the presence of antibodies did not affect the clinical outcome of COVID-19. Fecal excretion of SARS-CoV-2 RNA had no impact on clinical outcome of COVID-19. Moreover, in one-third of patients with SARS-CoV-2-positive NP swabs, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was not detected

in the feces. Thus, caution should be taken when using feces as a diagnostic sample for detecting SARS-CoV-2 infection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to the staff of the Department of Microbiology and Molecular Diagnostics and the Department of Infectious Diseases at the University Clinical Hospital Mostar for their invaluable assistance during the research.

REFERENCES

- [1] CDC. SARS-COV-2 variant classifications and definitions Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019- ncov/variants/variant-classifications.html.
 Accessed 23 Mar 2022 n.d.
- [2] Bermejo-Martin JF, González-Rivera M, Almansa R, Micheloud D, Tedim AP,
 Domínguez-Gil M, et al. Viral RNA load in plasma is associated with critical illness and
 a dysregulated host response in COVID-19. Crit Care 2020;24:691.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-03398-0.
- [3] Gandhi RT, Lynch JB, Del Rio C. Mild or Moderate Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1757–66. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMcp2009249.
- [4] Dadras O, Afsahi AM, Pashaei Z, Mojdeganlou H, Karimi A, Habibi P, et al. The relationship between COVID-19 viral load and disease severity: A systematic review.

 Immun Inflamm Dis 2022;10:e580. https://doi.org/10.1002/iid3.580.
- [5] Walsh KA, Jordan K, Clyne B, Rohde D, Drummond L, Byrne P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 detection, viral load and infectivity over the course of an infection. J Infect 2020;81:357–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.06.067.

- [6] Wang X, Zheng J, Guo L, Yao H, Wang L, Xia X, et al. Fecal viral shedding in COVID-19 patients: Clinical significance, viral load dynamics and survival analysis. Virus Res 2020;289:198147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198147.
- [7] Miller TE, Garcia Beltran WF, Bard AZ, Gogakos T, Anahtar MN, Astudillo MG, et al. Clinical sensitivity and interpretation of PCR and serological COVID-19 diagnostics for patients presenting to the hospital. FASEB J 2020;34:13877–84. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202001700RR.
- [8] Scourfield DO, Reed SG, Quastel M, Alderson J, Bart VMT, Teijeira Crespo A, et al. The role and uses of antibodies in COVID-19 infections: a living review. Oxf Open Immunol 2021;2:iqab003. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfimm/iqab003.
- [9] Long Q-X, Liu B-Z, Deng H-J, Wu G-C, Deng K, Chen Y-K, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. Nat Med 2020;26:845–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0897-1.
- [10] Galipeau Y, Greig M, Liu G, Driedger M, Langlois M-A. Humoral Responses and Serological Assays in SARS-CoV-2 Infections. Front Immunol 2020;11:610688. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.610688.
- [11] Castro Dopico X, Ols S, Loré K, Karlsson Hedestam GB. Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 induced by infection or vaccination. J Intern Med 2022;291:32–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13372.
- [12] Anjos D, Fiaccadori FS, Servian C do P, da Fonseca SG, Guilarde AO, Borges MASB, et al. SARS-CoV-2 loads in urine, sera and stool specimens in association with clinical features of COVID-19 patients. J Clin Virol Plus 2022;2:100059.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcvp.2021.100059.

- [13] Cuicchi D, Lazzarotto T, Poggioli G. Fecal-oral transmission of SARS-CoV-2: review of laboratory-confirmed virus in gastrointestinal system. Int J Colorectal Dis 2021;36:437– 44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-020-03785-7.
- [14] Wong MC, Huang J, Lai C, Ng R, Chan FKL, Chan PKS. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in fecal specimens of patients with confirmed COVID-19: A meta-analysis. The Journal of Infection 2020;81:e31—e38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.06.012.
- [15] Cerrada-Romero C, Berastegui-Cabrera J, Camacho-Martínez P, Goikoetxea-Aguirre J, Pérez-Palacios P, Santibáñez S, et al. Excretion and viability of SARS-CoV-2 in feces and its association with the clinical outcome of COVID-19. Scientific Reports 2022;12:7397. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-11439-7.
- [16] Daou M, Kannout H, Khalili M, Almarei M, Alhashami M, Alhalwachi Z, et al. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 viral loads in stool samples and nasopharyngeal swabs from COVID-19 patients in the United Arab Emirates. PLoS One 2022;17:e0274961.

 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274961.
- [17] Laura L, Dalmatin-Dragišić M, Martinović K, Tutiš B, Herceg I, Arapović M, et al. Does pre-existing immunity determine the course of SARS-CoV-2 infection in health-care workers? Single-center experience. Infection 2023;51:323–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-022-01859-y.
- [18] Šušak B, Mikulić V, Lazarević A, Mikulić I, Arapovic J. Sustained seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies one year after infection: one of the first COVID-19 cluster cases in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Bosn J Basic Med Sci 2022;22:147–52. https://doi.org/10.17305/bjbms.2021.6340.
- [19] Tabatabai M, Juarez PD, Matthews-Juarez P, Wilus DM, Ramesh A, Alcendor DJ, et al.

 An Analysis of COVID-19 Mortality During the Dominancy of Alpha, Delta, and

- Omicron in the USA. J Prim Care Community Health 2023;14:21501319231170164. https://doi.org/10.1177/21501319231170164.
- [20] Platten M, Hoffmann D, Grosser R, Wisplinghoff F, Wisplinghoff H, Wiesmüller G, et al. SARS-CoV-2, CT-Values, and Infectivity-Conclusions to Be Drawn from Side Observations. Viruses 2021;13. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13081459.
- [21] Agrati C, Bartolini B, Bordoni V, Locatelli F, Capobianchi MR, Di Caro A, et al.
 Emerging viral infections in immunocompromised patients: A great challenge to better define the role of immune response. Front Immunol 2023;14:1147871.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1147871.
- [22] Mohapatra RK, Sarangi AK, Kandi V, Azam M, Tiwari R, Dhama K. Omicron (B.1.1.529 variant of SARS-CoV-2); an emerging threat: Current global scenario. J Med Virol 2022;94:1780–3. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.27561.
- [23] Araf Y, Akter F, Tang Y-D, Fatemi R, Parvez MSA, Zheng C, et al. Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2: Genomics, transmissibility, and responses to current COVID-19 vaccines.

 J Med Virol 2022;94:1825–32. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.27588.
- [24] Schubert M, Bertoglio F, Steinke S, Heine PA, Ynga-Durand MA, Maass H, et al. Human serum from SARS-CoV-2-vaccinated and COVID-19 patients shows reduced binding to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. BMC Med 2022;20:102. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-022-02312-5.
- [25] Danza P, Koo TH, Haddix M, Fisher R, Traub E, OYong K, et al. SARS-CoV-2
 Infection and Hospitalization Among Adults Aged ≥18 Years, by Vaccination Status,
 Before and During SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) Variant Predominance Los
 Angeles County, California, November 7, 2021-January 8, 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal
 Wkly Rep 2022;71:177−81. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7105e1.

- [26] Callaway E. Omicron likely to weaken COVID vaccine protection. Nature 2021;600:367–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03672-3.
- [27] Antonelli M, Penfold RS, Merino J, Sudre CH, Molteni E, Berry S, et al. Risk factors and disease profile of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK users of the COVID Symptom Study app: a prospective, community-based, nested, case-control study. Lancet Infect Dis 2022;22:43–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00460-6.
- [28] Basnet A, Tamang B, Pokhrel N, Khadka S, Shrestha MR, Ghimire S, et al. First-Generation SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines: A Comparative Analysis between Vaccinated and Unvaccinated Hospitalized Patients Infected with SARS-CoV-2. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2022;20:316–22.
- [29] Rearte A, Castelli JM, Rearte R, Fuentes N, Pennini V, Pesce M, et al. Effectiveness of rAd26-rAd5, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and BBIBP-CorV vaccines for risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2 and death due to COVID-19 in people older than 60 years in Argentina: a test-negative, case-control, and retrospective longitudinal study. Lancet 2022;399:1254–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00011-3.
- [30] Desai A, Desai P, Mehta J, Sachora W, Bharti N, Patel T, et al. Measuring the impact of a single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (recombinant) coronavirus vaccine on hospital stay, ICU requirement, and mortality outcome in a tertiary care centre. Int J Infect Dis 2021;113:282–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.10.032.
- [31] Interim statement on booster doses for COVID-19 vaccination.

 https://www.who.int/news/item/22-12-2021-interim-statement-on-booster-doses-for-covid-19-vaccination---update-22-december-2021 n.d.

- [32] Lin W, Xie Z, Li Y, Li L, Wen C, Cao Y, et al. Association between detectable SARS-COV-2 RNA in anal swabs and disease severity in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. J Med Virol 2021;93:794–802. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26307.
- [33] Çavuş SA, Çelik M, Irmak Ç, Helvacı G, Ömeroğlu Şimşek G, Coşkun F. Vaccination Status and Outcome of Hospitalized Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Before and After the Spread of Omicron Variant: An Observational Study from İzmir, Turkey.

 Thorac Res Pract 2023. https://doi.org/10.5152/ThoracResPract.2023.22234.
- [34] Ewer KJ, Barrett JR, Belij-Rammerstorfer S, Sharpe H, Makinson R, Morter R, et al. T cell and antibody responses induced by a single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine in a phase 1/2 clinical trial. Nat Med 2021;27:270–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-01194-5.
- [35] Focosi D, Maggi F, Casadevall A. Mucosal Vaccines, Sterilizing Immunity, and the Future of SARS-CoV-2 Virulence. Viruses 2022;14. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14020187.
- [36] Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, Muecksch F, Finkin S, Viant C, Gaebler C, et al. Enhanced SARS-CoV-2 neutralization by dimeric IgA. Sci Transl Med 2021;13. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abf1555.
- [37] Vicenti I, Gatti F, Scaggiante R, Boccuto A, Zago D, Basso M, et al. The second dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine does not boost SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody response in previously infected subjects. Infection 2022;50:541–3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-021-01680-z.
- [38] Chen Y, Chen L, Deng Q, Zhang G, Wu K, Ni L, et al. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces of COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol 2020;92:833–40. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25825.

- [39] Qian Q, Fan L, Liu W, Li J, Yue J, Wang M, et al. Direct Evidence of Active SARS-CoV-2 Replication in the Intestine. Clin Infect Dis 2021;73:361–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa925.
- [40] Xiao F, Sun J, Xu Y, Li F, Huang X, Li H, et al. Infectious SARS-CoV-2 in Feces of Patient with Severe COVID-19. Emerg Infect Dis 2020;26:1920–2. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2608.200681.
- [41] Hossain B, Malik F, Khan A, Abidi M, Marhaba A, Oranu A, et al. Prevalence and Impact of Gastrointestinal Manifestations in COVID-19 Patients: A Systematic Review. J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect 2023;13:39–54. https://doi.org/10.55729/2000-9666.1145.
- [42] Natarajan A, Zlitni S, Brooks EF, Vance SE, Dahlen A, Hedlin H, et al. Gastrointestinal symptoms and fecal shedding of SARS-CoV-2 RNA suggest prolonged gastrointestinal infection. Med 2022;3:371-387.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medj.2022.04.001.
- [43] Wu W, Shi D, Zhu X, Xie J, Xu X, Chen Y, et al. Characteristics of COVID-19 Patients With SARS-CoV-2 Positivity in Feces. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2022;12:853212. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.853212.

TABLES AND FIGURES WITH LEGENDS

Table 1. Main statistical data

| Characteristics of patinets, | n (%) | P value | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|
| | 18-44 | 14 (5.6) | <0.001 |
| A == () | 45-64 | 60 (23.9) | |
| Age (range) | 65-74 | 66 (26.3) | |
| | >75 | 111(44.2) | |
| Gender | Male | 154 (61.4) | < 0.001 |
| Comorbidities | Yes | 197 (78.5) | < 0.001 |
| | Arterial hypertension | 158 (62.9) | |
| | Diabetes mellitus | 66 (26.3) | |
| | Cardiovascular diseases | 80 (31.9) | |
| | Pulmonaly diseases | 26 (10.4) | |
| | Imunocompromised | 63 (25.1) | |
| | Kidney disease | 11 (4.4) | |
| COVID-19 status | Recovered | 9 (4.9) | <0.001 |
| | Naive | 176 (95.1) | |
| Vaccination status | Vaccinated | 66 (30.7) | < 0.001 |
| Antibodies | Titer ≥1 | 86 (34.3) | <0.001 |
| Symptoms | Yes | 238 (94.8) | < 0.001 |
| | Fever >38°C | 92 (37.4) | < 0.001 |
| | Cough | 174 (69.3) | <0.001 |
| | Dyspnea | 148 (58.9) | <0.001 |
| | Diarrhea | 41 (16.3) | < 0.001 |
| | Loss of smell and taste | 36 (13.5) | < 0.001 |
| Arterial pressure (mmHg) | <90 | 6 (2.4) | < 0.001 |
| | 100-140 | 171 (68.1) | |
| | >140 | 74 (29.5) | |
| GCS | <8 | 5 (2.0) | <0.001 |
| | 8-12 | 6 (2.4) | |
| | 12-15 | 240 (95.6) | |
| Disease severity | Moderate | 75 (29.9) | <0.001 |
| • | Severe | 129 (51.4) | |
| | Critical | 47 (18.7) | |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 226 (90.0) | <0.001 |
| ARI | Yes | 178 (70.9) | <0.001 |
| Antimicrobial therapy | Yes | 95 (37.8) | <0.001 |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 189 (75.3) | 0.002 |
| | Dexamethasone | 107 (42.6) | |
| | Metilprednisolone | 82 (32.7) | |
| Anticoagulant therapy | Yes | 229 (91.3) | <0.001 |
| | Prophylactic | 166 (66.1) | |
| | Therapeutic | 63 (25.1) | |
| Complications | Yes | 66 (26.3) | <0.001 |
| • | PTE | 9 (3.6) | |
| | | | |

| | CVI | 5 (1.9) | |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| | IM | 8 (3.2) | |
| | Pneumotorax | 3 (1.2) | |
| | Other | 41 (16.3) | |
| ICU admission | Yes | 35 (13.6) | <0.001 |
| Death outcome | Yes | 53 (21.1) | <0.001 |

Bold values represent statistical significance. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; GCS: Glasgow coma scale; ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU: Intensive care unit

Table 2. Demographics, chronic diseases, clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients regarding the vaccination

| Characteristics of patinets | s, n = 234 | Vaccinated n (%) | Unvaccinated n (%) | P value | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|--|
| | 18-44 | 3 (4.5) | 11 (6.5) | 0.361 | |
| | 45-64 | 11 (16.7) | 44 (26.25) | 0.301 | |
| Age | 65-74 | 21 (31.8) | 42 (25.0) | | |
| | >75 | 31 (47.05) | 71 (42.3) | | |
| | Male | 1 1 | ` ′ | 0.101* | |
| Gender | Female | 47 (71.2) | 100 (59.5) 68 (40.5) | 0.101 | |
| | Yes | 19 (28.8) | ` ' | 0.001* | |
| Comorbidities | | 61 (92.4) | 124 (73.8) | 0.001* | |
| | No | 5 (7.6) | 44 (26.2) | 0.207 | |
| Immunocompromised | Yes | 19 (28.4) | 38 (22.6) | 0.397 | |
| | No | 48 (71.6) | 130 (77.4) | 0.0101 | |
| Ct value | ≤30 | 32 (48.5) | 97 (57.7) | 0.243* | |
| | >30 | 34 (51.5) | 71 (42.3) | | |
| Feces, $n = 72$ | Yes | 14 (66.7) | 30 (58.8) | 0.424* | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | No | 7 (33.3) | 21 (41.2) | | |
| Antibodies | Positive | 41 (62.1) | 45 (26.8) | <0.001 | |
| | Negative | 25 (37.9) | 123 (73.) | | |
| Symptoms | Yes | 62 (93.9) | 160 (95.2) | 0.744* | |
| Symptoms | No | 4 (6.1) | 8 (4.8) | | |
| Fever | <38°C | 41 (62.1) | 102 (60.7) | 0.826 | |
| rever | >38°C | 25 (37.9) | 66 (39.3) | | |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 54 (81.8) | 156 (92.9) | 0.017* | |
| 1 neumomu | No | 12 (18.2) | 12 (7.1) | | |
| 177 | Yes | 42 (63.6) | 123 (73.2) | 0.155* | |
| ARI | No | 24 (36.4) | 45 (26.8) | | |
| | Unknown | 8 (12.1) | 17 (10.1) | 0.313 | |
| Oxygen support | <7L/min | 42 (63.6) | 93 (55.4) | | |
| <i>10</i> | ≥7L/min | 16 (24.2) | 58 (34.5) | | |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 44 (66.7) | 130 (77.4) | 0.099* | |
| Cor acosterolas | No | 22 (33.3) | 38 (22.6) | | |
| | Yes | 8 (12.1) | 24 (14.3) | 0.833 | |
| ICU | No | 58 (87.9) | 144 (85.7) | | |
| | Moderate | 28 (42.4) | 43 (25.6) | 0.017* | |
| Disease severity | Severe/ Critical | 38 (57.6) | 125 (74.4) | 0.017 | |
| Death outcome | Yes | 15 (22.7) | 33 (19.6) | 0.594* | |
| Death vulcome | No | 51 (77.3) | 135 (80.4) | 0.334 | |
| | 110 | 31 (11.3) | 133 (00.4) | | |

^{*}Fisher exact test; Bold values represent statistical significance. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease

2019; Ct: Cycle threshold; ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU: Intensive care unit

Table 3. Demographics, chronic diseases, clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients regarding the viral load in nasopharingeal swabs

| Characteristics of patinets, n | = 238 | Ct \leq 30, n (%) | Ct > 30, n (%) | P value | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|--|
| Nasopharingeal swab | | 138 (58.0) | 100 (42.0) | 0.014 | |
| | 18-44 | 7 (5.1) | 5 (5.0) | | |
| Age (range) | 45-64 | 28(20.3) | 30 (30.0) | 0.168 | |
| Age (range) | 65-74 | 33 (23.9) | 28 (28.0) | 0.100 | |
| | >75 | 70 (50.7) | 37 (37.0) | | |
| Gender | Male | 85 (61.6) | 63 (63.0) | 0.893 | |
| Comorbidities | Yes | 108 (78.3) | 77 (77.0) | 0.817 | |
| Immunocompromised | Yes | 42 (30.4) | 18 (18.0) | 0.034* | |
| Vaccination | Yes | 32 (25.6) | 33 (34.0) | 0.171 | |
| Feces, $n = 75$ | Positive | 31 (63.3) | 16 (64.0) | 1.000* | |
| Antibodies | Positive | 33 (23.9) | 51 (51.0) | <0.001 | |
| Fever, $n = 233$ | > 38°C | 54 (39.0) | 36 (37.5) | 0.201 | |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 123 (89.1) | 90 (90.0) | 1.000* | |
| ARI | Yes | 102 (73.9) | 67 (67.0) | 0.251* | |
| Oxygen support, $n = 216$ | ≥7L/min | 50 (38.5) | 25 (29.1) | 0.156 | |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 107 (77.5) | 73 (73.0) | 0.421 | |
| ICU | Yes | 23 (16.7) | 10 (10.0) | 0.184* | |
| Diagona consultu | Moderate | 37 (28.6) | 34 (34.0) | 0.252 | |
| Disease severity | Severe/Critical | 101 (73.2) | 66 (66.0) | 0.253 | |
| Death outcome | Yes | 38 (27.5) | 14 (14.0) | 0.017* | |

^{*}Fisher exact test; Bold values represent statistical significance. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019;

ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU: Intensive care unit

Table 4. Demographics, chronic diseases, clinical characteristics and outcomes of unvaccinated COVID-19 patients regarding the antibody status

| Characteristics of patinet | s, n = 168 | Antibody titer < 1 , n (%) | Antibody titer ≥ 1 , n (%) | P value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|---------|
| Antibodies | | 123 (73.2) | 45 (26.8) | 0.005 |
| Age (range) | 18-44 45-64 65-74 >75 | 10 (8.1) 31 (25.2) 29 (23.6) 53 (43.1) | 1 (2.2) 13 (28.9) 13 (28.9) 18 (40.0) | 0.499 |
| Gender | Male | 73 (59.3) | 27 (60.0) | 0.939 |
| Comorbidities | Yes | 88 (71.5) | 36 (80.0) | 0.325* |
| Immunocompromised | Yes | 27 (22.0) | 11 (24.4) | 0.835* |
| Nasopharingeal swab, $n = 161$ | ≤ 30 Ct > 30 Ct | 69 (59.0) 48 (41.0) | 28 (60.2) 16 (39.8) | 0.718 |
| Feces, $n = 47$ | Positive | 20 (55.6) | 9 (64.3) | 0.752* |
| Fever, $n = 165$ | >38°C | 48 (39.0) | 16 (38.1) | 0.915 |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 114 (92.7) | 42 (93.3) | 1.000* |
| ARI | Yes | 96 (78.0) | 27 (60.0) | 0.029* |
| Oxygen support, n = 151 | ≥7L/min | 48 (41.4) | 10 (25.6) | 0.234* |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 100 (81.3) | 30 (66.7) | 0.060* |
| ICU | Yes | 22 (17.9) | 2 (4.4) | 0.026* |
| Disease severity | Moderate Severe/Critical | 29 (23.6) 94 (76.4) | 14 (31.1) 31 (68.9) | 0.325* |
| Death outcome | Yes | 25 (20.3) | 8 (17.8) | 0.828* |

^{*}Fisher exact test; Bold values represent statistical significance. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019;

ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU: Intensive care unit

Table 5. Demographics, chronic diseases, clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients with positive anti SARS CoV-2 IgGs regarding the vaccination status

| Characteristics of patinets, <i>n</i> = | 86 | Vaccinated, n (%) | Unvaccinated, n (%) | P value |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Vaccination | | 41 (47.7) | 45 (52.3) | 0.666 |
| | 18-44 | 3 (7.3) | 1 (2.2) | |
| Age (range) | 45-64 | 7 (17.1) | 13 (28.9) | 0.201 |
| | 65-74 | 17 (41.5) | 13 (28.9) | 0.301 |
| | >75 | 14 (34.1) | 18 (40.0) | |
| Gender | Male | 30 (73.2) | 27 (60.0) | 0.197 |
| Comorbidities | Yes | 36 (87.8) | 36 (80.0) | 0.390* |
| Immunocompromised | Yes | 7 (17.1) | 11 (24.4) | 0.438* |
| Nasopharingeal swab, <i>n</i> =82 | ≤ 30 Ct | 12 (30.0) | 19 (45.2) | 0.226 |
| | > 30 Ct | 28 (70.0) | 23 (54.8) | 0.236 |
| Feces, $n = 27$ | Positive | 8 (61.5) | 10 (71.4) | 0.695 |
| Fever, $n = 81$ | > 38°C | 14 (35.9) | 16 (38.1) | 0.920 |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 33 (80.5) | 42 (93.3) | 0.107* |
| ARI | Yes | 26 (63.4) | 27 (60.0) | 0.826* |
| Oxygen support, $n = 70$ | ≥7L/min | 10 (25.6) | 10 (25.6) | 0.556 |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 27 (65.9) | 30 (66.7) | 0.091 |
| ICU | Yes | 7 (17.1) | 2 (4.4) | 0.080* |
| D: | Moderate | 17 (41.5) | 14 (31.1) | 0.220* |
| Disease severity | Severe/Critical | 24 (58.8) | 31 (68.9) | 0.220* |
| Death outcome | Yes | 8 (19.5) | 8 (17.8) | 0.836 |

^{*}Fisher exact test; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU:

Intensive care unit

Table 6. Demographic characteristics, chronic disease presence, clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients with SARS-CoV-2 positive feces samples

| Characteristics of patinets, n = | 75 | Positive feces samples, n (%) | Negative feces samples, n (%) | P value | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---------|--|
| Feces | | 47 (62.7) | 28 (37.3) | 0.028 | |
| Age (range) | 18-44 45-64 65-74 >75 | 4 (8.5) 13 (27.7) 11 (23.4) 19 (40.4) | 2 (7.1) 11 (39.3) 7 (25.0) 8 (28.6) | 0.684 | |
| Gender | Male | 26 (55.3) | 21 (75.0) | 0.138 | |
| Comorbidities | Yes | 34 (72.3) | 21 (75.0) | 1.000 | |
| Immunocompromised | Yes | 8 (17.0) | 7 (25.0) | 0.552* | |
| Vaccination, $n = 66$ | Vaccinated | 15 (31.9) | 6 (21.4) | | |
| Nasopharingeal swab, <i>n</i> =71 | ≤30 Ct >30 Ct | 31 (66.0) 16 (34.0) | 19 (67.9) 9 (32.1) | 1.000 | |
| Antibodies | Positive | 18 (38.3) | 9 (32.1) | 0.628 | |
| Fever | > 38°C | 24 (51.1) | 13 (46.4) | 0.812 | |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 41 (87.2) | 24 (85.7) | 1.000* | |
| ARI | Yes | 33 (70.2) | 18 (64.3) | 0.617* | |
| Oxygen support, $n = 63$ | ≥7L/min | 13 (33.3) | 8 (33.3) | 0.100 | |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 37 (78.7) | 18 (64.3) | 0.188 | |
| ICU | Yes | 9 (19.1) | 1 (3.6) | 0.080* | |
| Disease severity | Moderate Severe/Critical | 14 (29.8) 33 (70.2) | 10 (35.7) 18 (64.3) | 0.614 | |
| Death outcome | Yes | 5 (10.6) | 2 (7.14) | 0.706 | |

^{*}Fisher exact test; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU:

Intensive care unit

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Table S1. Demographics, chronic diseases, clinical characteristic and outcomes of COVID-19 patients regarding the death outcome

| Characteristics of patinets, $n = 2$ | 251 | Yes, n (%) | No, n (%) | P value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---------|
| Death | | 53 (21.1) | 198 (78.9) | 0.005 |
| Age (range) | 18-44 45-64 65-74 > 75 | 0 (0) 6 (11.3) 11 (20.8) 36 (67.9) | 14 (7.1) 54 (27.3) 55 (27.8) 78 (37.9) | 0.001 |
| Gender | Male | 36 (67.9) | 118 (59.6) | 0.341* |
| Comorbidities | Yes | 46 (86.8) | 151 (76.3) | 0.131* |
| Immunocompromised | Yes | 19 (35.8) | 44 (22.2) | 0.050* |
| Vaccination, $n = 234$ | Vaccinated | 10 (19.2) | 56 (30.8) | 0.118* |
| Nasopharingeal swab, $n = 238$ | ≤ 30 Ct > 30 Ct | 35 (67.3) 17 (32.7) | 103 (55.4) 83 (44.6) | 0.153 |
| Feces, $n = 75$ | Positive | 5 (71.4) | 42 (61.8) | 0.706* |
| Antibodies | Positive | 16 (30.2) | 72 (36.4) | 0.403 |
| Fever, $n = 246$ | > 38°C | 15 (71.2) | 77 (39.7) | 0.196* |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 51 (96.2) | 175 (88.4) | 0.121* |
| ARI | Yes | 46 (86.8) | 132 (66.7) | 0.004* |
| Oxygen support, $n = 226$ | ≥7L/min | 20 (41.7) | 62 (34.8) | 0.382 |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 43 (81.1) | 146 (73.7) | 0.370* |
| ICU | Yes | 8 (15.1) | 27 (13.6) | 0.824* |
| Disease severity | Moderate Severe/Critical | 7 (13.2) 46 (86.8) | 68 (34.3) 130 (65.7) | 0.002* |

^{*}Fisher exact test; Bold values represent statistical significance. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019;

ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU: Intensive care unit

Table S2. Demographics, chronic diseases, clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients regarding the vaccination type

| Characteristics of p | Unknown, n (%) | mRNA vaccine, n (%) | Adenoviral vaccine, n (%) | Whole inactivated vaccine, | P value | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--------|
| Vaccination | Yes | 14 (21.2) | 23 (34.8) | 15(22.7) | 14 (21.2) | 0.327 |
| Age (range) | 18-44 45-64 65-74 > 75 | 0 (0.0) 1(7.1) 1(7.1) 12 (85.7) | 2 (8.7) 7 (30.4) 8 (34.8) 6 (26.1) | 0 (0.0) 1 (6.7) 7 (46.7) 7 (46.7) | 1 (7.7) 2 (14.3) 5 (35.7) 6 (42.9) | 0.045 |
| Gender | Male | 5 (35.7) | 21 (91.3) | 12 (80.0) | 9 (64.3) | 0.003 |
| Comorbidities | Yes | 14 (100.0) | 20 (87.0) | 15 (100.0) | 12 (85.7) | 0.235 |
| Immunocompro- mised | mised Yes | | 6 (26.1) | 5 (33.3) | 3 (21.4) 6 (42.9) 8 (57.1) | 0.818 |
| Ct value | | | 11 (47.8) 12 (52.2) | 7 (46.7) 8 (53.3) | | 0.891 |
| Feces, $n = 21$ | Yes | 5 (100) | 1 (25.0) | 4 (66.7) | 4 (66.7) | 0.131 |
| Antibodies | Yes | 6 (42.9) | 15 (65.2) | 11(73.3) | 9 (64.3) | 0.372 |
| Symptoms | Yes | 12 (85.7) | 22 (95.7) | 15(100.0) | 13 (92.9) | 0.427 |
| Fever | >38°C | 2 (14.3) | 9 (39.1) | 7 (46.7) | 6 (42.9) | 0.508 |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 11 (78.6) | 16 (69.6) | 14 (93.3) | 13 (92.9) | 0.179 |
| ARI | Yes | 8 (57.1) | 12 (52.2) | 13 (86.7) | 9 (64.3) | 0.172 |
| Oxygen support | ≥7L/min | 1 (7.1) | 4 (17.4) | 8 (53.3) | 3 (21.4) | 0.069 |
| Corticosteroids | Yes | 9 (64.3) | 13 (56.5) | 13 (86.7) | 9 (64.3) | 0.280 |
| ICU | Yes | 0 (0.0) | 2 (8.7) | 4 (26.7) | 2 (14.3) | 0.156 |
| Disease severity Moderate Severe/Critic | | 6 (42.9) 8 (57.1) | 14 (60.9) 9 (39.1) | 2 (13.3) 13 (86.7) | 6 (42.9) 8 (57.1) | 0.058 |
| Death outcome | Yes | 5 (35.7) | 5 (21.7) | 3 (20.0) | 2 (14.3) | 0.575* |

^{*}Fisher exact test; Bold values represent statistical significance. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease

2019; Ct: Cycle threshold; ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU: Intensive care unit

Table S3. Correlation matrix between high and low antibody titer and clinical and epidemiological data

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|----|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|----|
| 1 | Antibody titer | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Age | 055 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Gender | 099 | .276** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Comorbidites | 026 | .239* | .256* | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Immunocompromised | 094 | 034 | .076 | .228* | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Nasopharingeal swab | .281** | 107 | 099 | 09 | 264* | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Feces | .183 | .158 | .047 | 085 | 035 | .077 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Vaccination | 219* | .053 | .209 | 069 | .124 | 219* | .039 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Fever | .071 | 327** | 215* | .027 | .007 | .033 | 369** | .041 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Pneumonia | .053 | .219* | .063 | .023 | .198 | .053 | .128 | .199 | .064 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | ARI | 15 | .157 | .048 | 026 | .076 | 054 | 028 | 046 | 006 | .335** | | | | | | |
| 12 | Oxygen support | 017 | .096 | .059 | .031 | .179 | 2 | .03 | 073 | 055 | .296** | .605** | | | | | |
| 13 | Corticosteroids | 06 | .264* | .112 | .026 | .015 | 06 | 017 | .051 | .021 | .247* | .784** | .467** | | | | |
| 14 | ICU | 117 | 149 | .144 | .147 | .005 | 117 | .015 | 209 | .113 | .014 | .191 | .329** | .077 | | | |
| 15 | Disease severity | 115 | .156 | .013 | 006 | .052 | 018 | 041 | .079 | .193 | .286** | .807** | .424** | .626** | .177 | | |
| 16 | Death outcome | .072 | .17 | 101 | .205 | .182 | 11 | .145 | 05 | 169 | .089 | .132 | .105 | .08 | 062 | .111 | |

^{**}Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); ARI: Acute respiratory insufficiency; ICU: Intensive

care unit