Personality dysfunction in opiate addicts on opioid substitution treatment and the risk of HCV infection

Skočibušić, Siniša; Zivlak-Radulović, Nera; Hasanović, Mevludin; Awad, Hassan; Karan-Križanac, Dragana; Mehić-Basara, Nermana; Rukavina, Tomislav

Source / Izvornik: Frontiers in Public Health, 2022, 10, 1 - 7

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1009413

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:184:639111

Rights / Prava: Attribution 4.0 International/Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-03-03



Repository / Repozitorij:

Repository of the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Medicine - FMRI Repository





Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Aleksandra Barac, Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Serbia

REVIEWED BY Ivana Milošević, Clinical Center of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Serbia Nermin Salkic, University Clinical Center Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina Azra Husic-Selimovic, University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

*CORRESPONDENCE Siniša Skočibušić sinisa.skocibusic@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases-Surveillance, Prevention and Treatment, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 01 August 2022 ACCEPTED 15 August 2022 PUBLISHED 09 September 2022

CITATION

Skočibušić S, Zivlak-Radulović N, Hasanović M, Awad H, Karan-Križanac D, Mehić-Basara N and Rukavina T (2022) Personality dysfunction in opiate addicts on opioid substitution treatment and the risk of HCV infection. *Front. Public Health* 10:1009413. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1009413

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Skočibušić, Zivlak-Radulović, Hasanović, Awad, Karan-Križanac, Mehić-Basara and Rukavina. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Personality dysfunction in opiate addicts on opioid substitution treatment and the risk of HCV infection

Siniša Skočibušić¹*, Nera Zivlak-Radulović², Mevludin Hasanović³, Hassan Awad⁴, Dragana Karan-Križanac⁵, Nermana Mehić-Basara^{6†} and Tomislav Rukavina^{7†}

¹Clinic for Infectious Diseases, University Clinical Hospital Mostar and Center for Prevention and Outpatient Treatment of Addiction, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina, ²Clinic for Psychiatry, University Clinical Center of Republic of Srpska, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina, ³Clinic for Psychiatry, University Clinical Center Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina, ⁴Public Institute for Addiction Disorders of Canton Zenica-Doboj, Zenica, Bosnia and Herzegovina, ⁵Department of Pathology, Cytology and Forensic Medicine, University Clinical Hospital Mostar, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina, ⁶Public Institute for Addiction Disorders of Canton Sarajevo, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, ⁷Faculty of Medicine, University of Rijeka and Teaching Institute of Public Health, Rijeka, Croatia

Background: Impulsivity, affective instability, and neglect of oneself and other people's safety as symptoms of personality dysfunction are associated with risky behaviors regarding the transmission of infectious diseases either sexually or by intravenous drug abuse.

Objective: The aim of this study was to analyze the association between hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and personality dysfunction in opiate addicts on opioid substitution treatment.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional, observational investigation of patients over 18 years of age who were actively participating in opioid substitution treatment at five centers in Bosnia and Herzegovina. The occurrence of HCV infection was the primary study outcome, and personality functioning, the main independent variable, was assessed using the Severity Indices of Personality Problems (SIPP–118) questionnaire. The association between scores of personality functioning domains items and HCV infection status was determined by binary logistic regression analysis.

Results: Patients on opioid substitution therapy with HCV infection more frequently had personality disorders (OR 2.168, 95% CI 1.161–4.05) and were treated longer than patients without HCV infection (OR 1.076, 95% CI 1.015–1.14). HCV infection was associated with lower self-respect (OR 0.946, 95% CI 0.906–0.988), decreased capacity to have enduring relationships with other people (OR 0.878, 95% CI 0.797–0.966), and lower capability to cooperate with others (OR 0.933, 95%CI 0.888–0.98). On the other hand, except for self-respect, other elements of the Identity Integration domain (enjoyment, purposefulness, stable self-image, and self-reflexive functioning), when more functional, increased the risk of HCV infection.

Conclusions: Our study demonstrates that opiate addicts on opioid substitution treatment have a higher risk of HCV infection if their personality is dysfunctional, especially in the aspects of self-respect, enduring relationships, and cooperativity. The risk is even higher in addicts who have an established diagnosis of any kind of personality disorder.

KEYWORDS

opiate substitution treatment, hepatitis C, chronic, risk factors, personality disorders, opiate addicts

Introduction

The connection between personality and somatic diseases has long been present in the medical literature; however, until recently, there was not much evidence to confirm the existence of concrete examples. In the last decade, it was recognized that severe psychological traumas, which cause personality disorders, cause both somatoform and some somatic diseases (1). The extent to which personality dysfunction is a necessary link between psychological trauma and somatic illness is still unclear; however, there is much current research addressing this issue in various fields of medicine. It has recently been shown that personality disorders related to obesity, accompanied by a large number of comorbidities, are also associated with personality functioning (2). The connection between personality disorders and infections is particularly interesting. In a study by Scheidell et al., it was shown that personality disorders increase the risk of sexually transmitted infections, especially the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection (3). It has been observed that impulsivity, affective instability, and neglect of oneself and other people's safety as symptoms of personality dysfunction have been associated with risky behaviors regarding sexually transmitted diseases, such as condom-free sex in women and condom-free oral sex in women and men (4). A connection between risky behavior and prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection was reported by Handanagić et al. in Croatia (5).

In the psychiatric literature, a distinction is made between personality disorders (of which there are ten main types with their own special characteristics) and the degree to which a person can adapt to the environment (6). A person may have a certain personality disorder (e.g., obsessive-compulsive-type disorder) and be very adaptable, especially in certain situations (e.g., in situations that require exceptional achievement at work). Thus, there is no ideal inverse correlation between the severity of personality disorders (the degree of expression of characteristic traits) and the degree of adjustment (adaptation) of personality functioning (7). Therefore, the degree of adjustment of personality functioning is a special general entity that exists in groups of individuals with personality disorders and normal people; as such, it can be measured separately.

In subjects on opioid substitution treatment, very often (60.1%), behavioral disorders in childhood (conduct disorders) could be found, which are considered prodromes of personality disorders and problems with personality functioning (8). One type of personality disorder (the antisocial type) was found in as many as 14.7% of patients on opioid substitution treatment at a Malaysian university hospital (9). On the other hand, the prevalence of HCV infection among patients on opioid substitution treatment is high, varying from 70 to 72.51% (10, 11). Although it has been previously shown that personality disorders are risk factors for HCV infection, e.g., in prisons (11, 12), it remains uncertain whether personality malfunction is a risk factor for HCV infection and, if such a link exists, whether it is working in a subpopulation of opioid substitution treatment subjects regardless of whether or not the patients were diagnosed with personality disorders. Also, genotype 1 HCV replicates more efficiently, damages the liver more severely, and infection with it has a worse prognosis than infection with other genotypes (13). The severity of the disease certainly affects the quality of life and further complicates the adjustment of the patient's personality to external requirements; so, it is interesting to examine whether people on opioid substitution treatment having personality malfunction are at higher risk of infection with this genotype than others.

The aim of this study was to analyze the association between HCV infection and personality dysfunction in opiate addicts on opioid substitution treatment.

Methods

The study was designed as a cross-sectional, observational investigation. The inclusion criteria were as follows: age over 18 years, participation in opioid substitution treatment for at least 3 months, and provision of written informed consent to participate in the research. The exclusion criteria were as follows: presence of malignant tumors, presence of major mental or neurological disorders (schizophrenia, cognitive disorders, mental retardation, etc.), and pregnancy. The study sample was of the convenient type, capturing all available subjects treated in five centers for opioid substitution in Bosnia and Herzegovina in 2019–2021 at the following healthcare facilities: Clinic for Psychiatry, University Clinical Center of Republic of Srpska, Banja Luka; Center for Prevention and Outpatient Treatment of Addiction Mostar, Mostar; Institute for Addiction Diseases of Sarajevo Canton, Sarajevo; Institute for Addiction Diseases of Zenica-Doboj Canton, Zenica; Clinic for Psychiatry; University Clinical Center Tuzla, Tuzla. The study was approved by the ethics committees of the involved healthcare facilities.

The primary study outcome was HCV infection; it was tested in laboratories at the study sites first *via* serological methods (detection of anti-HCV antibodies) and confirmed *via* molecular methods based on a combination of amplification methods (polymerase chain reaction, PCR, which allows for detection of HCV in the blood) and detection methods (hybridizations with HCV-specific assays labeled with chromogenic or fluorescent dyes, which enable the quantification of viremia) (14, 15). The genotypes of the virus (1–6) in each subject were determined *via* the same methods.

Potential independent variables and confounders (general, demographic, social, and health variables) in opiate addicts relevant to this study were examined using the standardized questionnaire, "Treatment Requirement Indicator 3.0" (TDI 3.0) (16). The existence of a diagnosis of a personality disorder (of any type) was determined by inspecting the medical records of the study subjects. Among the potential predictors of HCV infection were scores of the Severity Indices of Personality Problems (SIPP-118) questionnaire (6). SIPP 118 is the full version of the questionnaire, while SIPP SF is an abbreviated version intended primarily to monitor the effects of treatment. Both versions of the questionnaire are used to measure the degree of adjustment of personality functioning not only in people diagnosed with personality disorders but also in healthy ones. Based on the questionnaire, a T-score ranging from 0 to more than 70 is determined for each respondent. The higher the score, the more adjusted the functioning of the personality. The limit below which the subject is considered to be in the category of personality dysfunction is lower for the healthy population (T-score 30) than for the population of respondents with personality disorders (T-score 35). The questionnaire has five domains: self-control, identity integration, accountability, ability to establish relationships with others, and ability to cooperate with others. In the Dutch population, it has been validated for both adults and adolescents (17), while in the Croatian population it has been validated for adults (18). Consent to use the Croatian-translated version in this study was obtained from both the author of the Croatian validation study and the author of the original questionnaire in English (the consent form was signed by Mrs. Laura Weekers on 20 February 2017).

Data processing was carried out first via descriptive statistical methods. The normality of the sample distribution

of continuous variables was analyzed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data are presented as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables, as means \pm standard deviations for normally distributed continuous variables, and as medians (with interquartile ranges) for continuous variables with skewed data distributions. Chi-square test, Student's t-test for independent samples, one-way analysis of variance for normal data distributions, and Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric analysis of variance for skewed data distributions were conducted to test the difference between groups. Binary logistic regression analyses were performed to determine predictive values of risk factors for HCV infection. A stepwise regression elimination procedure was used to select variables to be included in the regression model. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS for Windows (version 20.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) was used for statistical analyses.

Results

A total of 206 opioid-dependent patients on the opioidsubstitution program participated in the study, of whom 19 were women and 187 were men. The median of the study participants was 29 years, and the interquartile range was 10 years. The subjects were classified into two groups according to the presence (n = 120) or absence (n = 86) of HCV infection. The characteristics of patients in the two groups are shown in Table 1.

In terms of the value of individual domains of the SIPP 118 questionnaire (which measures the severity of personality dysfunction), the HCV-positive patients differ from the HCV-negative patients only in terms of self-esteem, which was significantly lower (p = 0.021). All other aspects of personality functioning were similarly assessed in the HCV-positive and HCV-negative patients (Table 2).

Comparisons of individual domains and elements of the SIPP 118 questionnaire in a subgroup of patients diagnosed with personality disorders (n = 101) according to the presence (n = 69) or absence (n = 32) of HCV infection found no significant differences. On the other hand, in the subgroup of patients who were not diagnosed with personality disorders (n = 105), the difference between those who were HCV-positive (n = 51) and HCV-negative (n = 54) was found in the self-esteem aspect. The mean HCV score of the HCV-positive patients was significantly lower (62.6 ± 10.1) than that of the HCV-negative patients (66.7 ± 9) according to the Mann-Whitney U test (U = 1,729.5, p = 0.024).

There was no significant difference in SIPP 118 questionnaire scores between the HCV-positive patients infected with genotype 1 and patients infected with other HCV genotypes.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of patients according to HCV status.

Age (years) 28.9 ± 6.8, 29.0 [10.0], n = 120 30.1 ± 8.7, 29.0 [12.3], n = 86 0.484 Ser, (n/r) 10713 (89.2/10.8%) 80/6 (93.07.0%) 0.345 Daration of substance dependency 9.3 ± 5.6, 10.0 [7.8], n = 120 21.5 ± 5.1, 7.0 [8.3], n = 86 0.088 Age of the first substance abuse 20.0 ± 5.4, 19.0 [7.0], n = 120 21.5 ± 6.1, 20.0 [8.0], n = 86 0.088 (years)	Characteristics	HCV-positive patients (mean ± SD, median, IQR)	HCV-negative patients (mean ± SD, median, IQR)	Null hypothesis probability (<i>p</i>)
Seq (m/n) 10713 (89.20.08%) 80% (93.07.0%) 0.345 Duration of substance dependency 9.3 ± 5.6, 10.0 [7.8], n = 120 7.3 ± 5.7, 7.0 [8.3], n = 68 0.021 Herrapy (year)	Age (years)	$28.9 \pm 6.8, 29.0 \ [10.0], n = 120$	30.1 ± 8.7, 29.0 [12.3], <i>n</i> = 86	0.484
Duration of substance dependency 9.3 ± 5.6, 10.0 [7.8], n = 120 7.3 ± 5.1, 7.0 [8.3], n = 86 0.021 therapy (years)	Sex (m/f)	107/13 (89.2/10.8%)	80/6 (93.0/7.0%)	0.345
<table-container>thranger (years)Use of 24,019,017,01, m = 12021,512,018,01, m = 860.081Age of heir far skubstance abuse28,8 ± 64,28,519,81, m = 12030,4 ± 8,5,290,113,01, m = 860.295(years)22,3 ± 5,9,200,180,1, m = 9823,7 ± 5,6,230,17,81, m = 480.075Viral load (copies/ml)2,974,130 ± 6,281,629,837,531NANAType of rearmer facility6,4/57,1 (33,345,80,80%)5,8/280 (67,4/32,6/0%)0.137(fourptient/hospital/prison)10,017,17,5/2,5%)1,4/51/21/00.687Children: none/filving with6/28/19/4 (57,5/23,3/1,5,8/3,4%)51,19/19/30.996Children: none/filving with6/28/19/4 (57,5/23,3/1,5,8/3,4%)51,19/19/30.967Children: none/filving with6/28/19/4 (57,5/23,3/1,5,8/3,4%)51,19/19/30.863Children: none/filving with6/28/19/4 (57,5/23,3/1,5,8/3,4%)51,19/19/30.863Children: none/filving with6/28/19/4 (57,5/23,3/1,5,8/3,4%)51,19/19/30.863Children: none/filving with6/28/19/4 (57,5/23,3/1,5,8/3,4%)6/21/21/21/210.863Children: none/filving with6/28/19/4 (57,5/23,3/1,5,8/3,4%)6/21/21/210.863Children: none/filving with6/8/28 (50,17,12/3,3%)2/20/1/1/20.863Children: none/filving with6/8/28 (50,17,12/3,3%)6/4/1/3/90.863Children: none/filving with6/8/28 (50,17,12/3,3%)6/4/1/3/90.863Children: none/filving with6/3/10/20/11/23 (50,105,01/12/3,3%)6/4/1/3/90.863Children: none/filving with6/8/28 (50,17,12/</table-container>	Duration of substance dependency	$9.3 \pm 5.6, 10.0$ [7.8], $n = 120$	$7.3 \pm 5.1, 7.0$ [8.3], $n = 86$	0.021
Age of the first substance abuse 20.0 ± 5.4, 19.0 [7,0], n = 120 21.5 ± 6.1, 20.0 [8,0], n = 86 0.088 (years)	therapy (years)			
(years) Age of opioid substitution onset 2.8.8.4.6.4.2.5.19.8.1, m = 120 3.4.8.5.2.9.0.113.01, m = 86 0.2.95 Age of the first intravenous 2.2.3.4.2.5.9.2, 0.0.018.01, m = 98 2.3.7.2.5.2.0.01.7.81, m = 4.8 0.077 Age of the first intravenous 2.2.3.4.2.5.9.2, 0.0.018.01, m = 98 2.3.7.2.5.2.0.01.7.81, m = 4.8 0.077 Viral load (copies/ml) 2.9.74,130.5.6.2.8.10.29, 837,531 NA NA Type of freatment facility 6.455/1 (5.3.3/45.8/0.8%) 5.8/2.8/0 (67.4/32.6.0%) 0.3.07 (compation/hospital/prisor) U 1.0.8.7 0.0.97 Using alone/with primary 2.02/4/2.1/3 (18.3/6.1.7/17.5/2.5%) 1.4/51/2.1/0 0.587 Calderan: none/living with 69/2.8/19/4 (57.5/2.3.3.1/15.8/3.4%) 5.1/19/1.3/3 0.996 Children: none/living with 69/2.8/19/4 (57.5/2.3.3/15.8/3.4%) 5.1/19/1.3/3 0.996 Logding stabile/dom stable or 11.19 (92.5/7.5%) 8.24 (95.3/3.1/247.7/2.3%) 0.803 Logding stabile/metally school or loss 2.1/2.0/1.4/1/2 0.104 0.803 Cacasional/regular/stadent/unemployed/other (2.0/18.3/0.0/00.8/10 0.62/4.7/1.2.12.3.2.1/1.5.1/1.5%)	Age of the first substance abuse	$20.0 \pm 5.4, 19.0$ [7.0], $n = 120$	$21.5 \pm 6.1, 20.0$ [8.0], $n = 86$	0.088
Age of opioid substitution onset 28.8 ± 6.4.28.5 [9.8], n = 120 30.4 ± 8.5.29.0 [13.0], n = 96 0.295 (years)	(years)			
(spars) 23.5 ± 5.5, 2.0.0 [.8.0], n = 98 23.7 ± 5.6, 2.3.0 [7.8], n = 48 0.077 abstance abuse (years) 1 1 Viral load (copies/m) 2.974,13.0 ± 6.281,029, 837,551 NA NA Type of reatment facility 2.974,13.0 ± 6.281,029, 837,551 NA NA Untal load (copies/m) 2.974,13.0 ± 6.281,029, 837,551 NA NA Type of reatment facility 2.974/21/3 (18.3/61.717.572.5%) S8/280 (67.4/32.6/0%) 0.587 (chatterit/hospital/prison) (16.3/59.3/24.4/0%) 0.587 58/280 (05.4/32.6/0%) 0.587 (chatterit/hospital/prison) 22.74/21/3 (18.3/61.717.5/2.5%) 1.4/51/21/0 0.587 (chatterit/hospital/prison) 22.74/21/3 (18.3/61.717.5/2.5%) 59/32.21.715.1/3.5%) 0.996 (chitdren/not living with 6/92.81/94 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%) 51.1/91/33 0.583 Chitdren/not living with 6/92.81/94 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%) 52.0/22.1/15.1/3.5%) 0.683 Catoging stable/unstable or 111/9 (92.5/7.5%) 8.24 (95.3/1/12.1/2 0.683 Catoging stable/unstable or 111/9 (92.5/15.8) (46.2/20 (47.71.21.3.5%) 0	Age of opioid substitution onset	$28.8 \pm 6.4, 28.5$ [9.8], $n = 120$	$30.4 \pm 8.5, 29.0$ [13.0], $n = 86$	0.295
Age of the first intravenous 22.3 ± 5.9, 20.0 [8.0], n = 98 23.7 ± 5.6, 23.0 [7.8], n = 48 0.077 substance abuse (years)	(years)			
<table-container>sabarace abuse (years) (Yin (a copies/ml) 2,974,103 62,81,039, 83,73) NA NA (1,31,08,176), n = 84 (1,31,08,176), n = 84 (Type of treatment facility 6,9551 (53,34,58,08,8%) 58/28/0 (67,4/32,60%) 0,137 ((outpatient/hospital/prison) (Usingation/with primary 2,27/4/21/3 (18,3/61.7/17,5/2,5%) 14/51/21/0 0,578,578,578 (family/with partner/else (Children: none/linky 0,672,81/3,158,34,58) 51/19/13/3 0,908 (children: none/linky 0,028/19/14 (57,5/2,3,3/15,8/3,4%) 51/19/13/3 0,908 (children: none/linky 0,002,81/9/14 (57,5/2,3,3/15,8/3,4%) 51/19/13/3 0,908 (children: none/linky 0,008 (children: none/linky 0,0008 (children: none/linky 0,0008 (children: none/linky 0,0008 (children: none/linky 0,008 (</table-container>	Age of the first intravenous	$22.3 \pm 5.9, 20.0$ [8.0], $n = 98$	$23.7 \pm 5.6, 23.0$ [7.8], $n = 48$	0.077
Nind load (copies/ml)2,97,4130 ± 6,281,029,837,531NANA1,3108,1761, n = 843,108,1761, n = 84Type of reatment facility (So 5/1 (S3.3/4.5.8008%))58/828/0 (S7.3/3.6.00%)0.87(outpatient/hospital/prison)Living alon(vith primary)2/7/4/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%)1.4/51/2100.587family/with partner/else(16.3/59.3/24.4/0%)0.976Children, rone/living with69/28/19/4 (S7.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)5.1/19/13/30.996children/not living with69/28/19/4 (S7.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)5.1/19/13/30.863children/not living with69/28/19/59/132/20/14/120.863children/not living with30/220/55/132/20/14/120.863cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet2/50/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)4/62/20 (4.7/7.21/32.5%)0.883cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.07.17/23.8%)6/4/13/90.904cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.07.17/23.8%)6/6/11/390.804cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.07.17/23.8%)6/6/11/390.804cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.07.17/23.8%)6/6/11/390.804cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.07.17/23.8%)6/6/11/390.804cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.07.17/23.8%)6/2/21/23.5%0.804cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.07.17/23.8%)6/2/21/23.5%0.804cocisional/regular/student/uneployed/unet6/86/28 (S.	substance abuse (years)			
[3,108,176], n = 84 Type of treatment facility 64/55/1 (53,3/45.8/0.8%) 58/28/0 (67,4/32.6/0%) 0.137 (oupatent/hospital/prison)	Viral load (copies/ml)	$2{,}974{,}130 \pm 6{,}281{,}029{,}837{,}531$	NA	NA
Type of treatment facility64/55/1 (53.3/45.8/0.8%)58/28/0 (67.4/32.6/0%)0.137(outpatient/hospital/prison)Living, alon/with primary22/74/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%)14/51/21/00.966family/with partner/dse(16.3/59.3/24.4/0%)0.996Children: nonell/wing with69/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)511/19/13/30.996children: nonell/wing with69/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)51/19/13/30.996children: nonell/wing with69/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)51/19/13/30.996children: nonell/wing with69/28/19/9 (57.5%)82/4 (95.3/4.7%)0.836homeless11/19 (92.57.5%)22/20/1/41/20.164cocsional/regular/student/unemployed/other0.20/15/1322/20/1/41/20.164cocsional/regular/student/unemployed/other0.50/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)0.50/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%)0.838school/enentary school reles </td <td></td> <td>[3,108,176], n = 84</td> <td></td> <td></td>		[3,108,176], n = 84		
(outpatient/hospital/prison) 2/74/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%) 1.4/51/21/0 0.587 family-victip rimary 2/274/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%) 1.4/51/21/0 0.587 family-victip rimary 2/274/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%) 1.4/51/21/0 0.587 family-victip rimary 6/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%) 5.1/19/13/3 0.996 children/not living with (5.9.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%) 1.0 0.630 children/not living with 5.93/22.1/15.1/3.5%) 8.2/4 (95.3/4.7%) 0.663 homeless 0.01/19 (92.5/7.5%) 8.2/4 (95.3/4.7%) 0.663 bondeless 0.01/22 (95.5/13 2.2/20/1/11/2 0.164 ccasional/regular/student/unemployed/other 6.0662 (8 (5.0/17/23.3%) 4/6/22 (0 (.7/2.1/23.5%) 0.664 scobol/elementary school ores 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 <	Type of treatment facility	64/55/1 (53.3/45.8/0.8%)	58/28/0 (67.4/32.6/0%)	0.137
Living: alone/with primary22/74/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%)14/51/21/00.587family/with partner/dse(16.3/59.3/24.4/0%)0.996Childer: none/living with69/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)51/19/13/30.996childer: none/living with(59/22.1/15.1/3.5%)52/4 (59.3/2.1/15.1/3.5%)childer: none/living with(59/22.1/15.1/3.5%)82/4 (59.3/4.7%)0.863childer: none/living with30/22/0/55/1322/20/1/41/20.164noneless30/22/0/55/1322/20/1/41/20.164cccasional/regular/student/umenployed/orber(25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)(25.0/23.3/1.2/47.7/1.3.3%)0.863scholo/elementary school or less30/20/0/3 (80.8/0/0/19.2%)69/4/1/3/90.004rimary substance of abuse:97/0/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%)69/4/1/3/90.004netroin/other(80.2/8.3/10.0/0.8%)(32/20/6/8.7/10.004netroin/other97/10/12/0/137/16/22/10/10.609netroin/other(34.20.8/3.10.0/0.8%)(32/2/6/8.37/10.609weekly/a-5 days weekly/once(34.20.5/5.5/5.2/1.7%)(32/2/6/8.37/10.609weekly/a-5 days weekly/once(34.20.5/5.5/5.2/1.7%)(37.2/2.37/9.3/4/1.2%)1.62weekly a-tesin out an the last30/33 (61.6/8.4%)0.9959.97yen/no75/4/1 (62.5/3.67.08%)53/33 (61.6/8.4%)0.909yen/no75/4/1 (62.5/3.67.08%)53/31 (64/360%)0.001weekly a-tesin out an the last71/4/4 (61.7/38.3%)53/31 (64/36.0%)0.995yen/no7	(outpatient/hospital/prison)			
family/with partner/else (16.3/59.3/24.4/0%) 9.9 Children: none/living with 69/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%) 51/19/13/3 0.96 children:/not living with (59.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%) children:/not living with (59.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%) children:/not known 82/4 (95.3/4.7%) 82/4 (95.3/4.7%) 0.663 bomeless 1119 (92.5/7.5%) 82/4 (95.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%) 0.663 cocasional/regular/student/unemployed/other 0.202/055/13 2/20/14/12 0.663 cocasional/regular/student/unemployed/other (25.0/13.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%) (25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/12.32%) 0.838 cocasional/regular/student/unemployed/other (25.0/17.1/23.3%) 4/62/20 (4.7/72.1/23.2%) 0.838 cocasional/regular/student/unemployed/other (25.0/17.1/23.3%) 4/62/20 (4.7/72.1/23.2%) 0.838 colorleementary school or less 97/0/0/02 (80.8/0/00/01.92%) 69/4/1/39 0.004 0.004 choids/scainin/strainen: 97/10/1/20/1 7/16/22/10/1 0.009 0.004 choids/scainin/strainen 97/10/12/0/1 3/12/6/8/371 0.69 <td>Living: alone/with primary</td> <td>22/74/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%)</td> <td>14/51/21/0</td> <td>0.587</td>	Living: alone/with primary	22/74/21/3 (18.3/61.7/17.5/2.5%)	14/51/21/0	0.587
Children: none/living with69/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)51/19/13/30.996children/not living with(59.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%)children/not living with(59.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%)children/not living with111/9 (92.5/7.5%)82/4 (95.3/4.7%)0.863homeless1Employment:30/22/0/55/132/2/0/1/1/20.16occasional/regular/student/unemployed/other(25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)(25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%)0.863school/elemstry school or less82/4 (652.50.71.7/23.3%)4/62/20 (4.7/7.2.1/23.2%)0.804opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown(80.8/8.0/00/01/9.2%)69/4/1/3/90.004heroin/other(80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%)0.001opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown(80.8/8.3/10.00/0.8%)(43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%)Rate of administration:97/10/12/0/137/16/22/10/10.002injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other(80.8/8.3/10.00/0.8%)(3.2/2.3/7).3/3/31.2%)0.669weekly/2-3 days weekly/once(3.4/2.0.8/5.5.8/5.2.5/1.7%)(3.2/2.0/8/37/10.695weekly/2-3 days weekly/once74/46 (6.1/38.3%)(3.7/2.2.3/9.3/33.12.8%)0.995yes/no1111yes/no1111yes/no1111yes/no1111yes/no1111yes/no1111yes/no1111yes/no1 <t< td=""><td>family/with partner/else</td><td></td><td>(16.3/59.3/24.4/0%)</td><td></td></t<>	family/with partner/else		(16.3/59.3/24.4/0%)	
children/not living with(59.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%)children/not known82/4 (95.3/4.7%)0.863koncless82/4 (95.3/4.7%)0.863broneless82/20/55/1322/20/1/1/20.164cocasion/regular/student/unemployed/other(25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)(25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%)0.863cocasion/regular/student/unemployed/other(25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)(25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%)0.863cocasion/regular/student/unemployed/other(25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)(25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%)0.863cocasion/regular/student/unemployed/other(25.0/1.7/23.3%)0.4/62.20 (4.7/7.2.1/32.9%)0.863cocasion/regular/student/unemployed/other(25.0/1.7/23.3%)0.6940.004cocasion/andreg classe97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%)69/41/1/30.004choris/other(80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%)(37.1/2.2.5/10.5%)0.004choris/other97/10/12/0/137.1/6/22/10/10.004cipicids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown(34.0/8.5/5.8/5.2.5/1.7%)(37.2/2.6/8/37/10.669wedk/2-3 day wedk/once(34.2/0.8/5.8/5.2.5/1.7%)(37.2/2.6/8/37/10.695wedk/2-3 day wedk/once74/46 (6.7/38.3%)6.3/3.3 (6.6/38.4%)0.995cystor91.00053.3/3 (6.6/38.4%)0.995cystor91.00053.3/3 (6.6/36.9%)1.000cystor91.00053.3/3 (6.6/36.9%)0.001cystor91.00053.3/3 (6.6/36.9%)0.001cystor91.00053.3/3 (6.6/36.9%)0.995cystor91	Children: none/living with	69/28/19/4 (57.5/23.3/15.8/3.4%)	51/19/13/3	0.996
children/not known 111/9 (92.5/7.5%) 82/4 (95.3/4.7%) 0.863 homeless Employment: 30/20/55/13 22/20/1/41/2 0.164 occasional/regular/student/unemployed/other (25.018.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%) (25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%) 0.838 Education: university/high 6/86/28 (5.0/17.7/2.3.3%) 4/62/20 (4.7/7.2.1/2.3.2%) 0.838 school/elementary school or less 0.004 0.004 heroin/other 70/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/1/39 0.004 heroin/other (80.2/4.7/1.2/.3.5/10.5%) 0.004 0.004 heroin/other 97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/1/39 0.004 0.004 heroin/other 97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/1/39 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 <td< td=""><td>children/not living with</td><td></td><td>(59.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%)</td><td></td></td<>	children/not living with		(59.3/22.1/15.1/3.5%)	
Lodging: stable/unstable or111/9 (92.5/7.5%)82/4 (95.3/4.7%)0.863homeless30/22/0/55/1322/20/1/1/20.164cocasional/regular/student/unemployed/other(25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)(25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%)0.838Education: university/high6/86/28 (5.0/7.17/2.3.3%)4/62/20 (4.7/7.2.1/2.3.2%)0.838school/elementary school or less97/0/0/23 (80.8/00/0/19.2%)6/6/4/1/390.004heroin/other80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%)0.004optiod/scocaine/amphetamines/unknown80.8/8.3/10.0/00.8%)6/3/1/6/22/10/10.000Rate of administration:97/10/12/0137/16/22/10/10.000injection/smoking/sonting/oral/other(80.8/8.3/10.0/00.8%)(34.2/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%)0.669weekly/2-3 days weekly/once(34.20.8/55.8/52.5/1.7%)(37.2/2.7/9.3/43/1.2%)0.695weekly-2-3 days weekly/once(34.20.8/55.8/52.5/1.7%)(37.2/2.7/9.3/43/1.2%)0.905weekly-2-3 days weekly/once(34.20.8/55.8/52.5/1.7%)53/33 (6.16/38.4%)0.905weekly-2-3 days weekly/once74/46 (61.7/38.3%)53/33 (6.16/38.4%)0.905yen/on97.0/12/0153/33 (0.16/36.0%)1.000weekly-2-3 days usbatance:74/46 (61.7/38.3%)53/33 (61.6/38.4%)0.905yen/on97.0/12/0.2%53/33 (0.16/36.0%)1.000yen/on97.0/12/0.1%55/31/0.6(4/36.0%)1.000weekly-2-3 days usbatance:74/46 (61.7/38.3%)55/31/0.6(4/36.0%)1.000yen/on97.0/12/0.1%55/31/0.6(4/36.0%)	children/not known			
homeless 2/20/1/41/2 0.164 Employment: 3/0/20/55/13 2/20/1/41/2 0.164 occasional/regular/student/unemployed/other (25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%) (25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/23.3%) 0.838 Education: university/high 6/86/28 (5.0/17.1/23.3%) 4/62/20 (4.7/7.2.1/23.2%) 0.838 school/elementary school or less 97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 6/9/1/3/9 0.004 heroin/other (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0.004 0.004 opioids/cocaine/ampletamines/unknown 97/10/12/01 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/00.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) 0.004 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/55.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7).3/43/1.2%) 0.669 weekly or less/not used in the last 30/3/0.6/0.58/5.5.5.1/5%) (37.2/2.3/19.3/43.12.%) 0.995 weekly 53/33 (61.6/3.8.4%) 0.995 9.995 yers/no 53/33 (61.6/3.6.4%) 0.995 yers/no 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 0.906 yers/no 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 0.906 <td>Lodging: stable/unstable or</td> <td>111/9 (92.5/7.5%)</td> <td>82/4 (95.3/4.7%)</td> <td>0.863</td>	Lodging: stable/unstable or	111/9 (92.5/7.5%)	82/4 (95.3/4.7%)	0.863
Employment: 3/022/0/5/13 2/20/1/1/2 0.164 occasional/regular/student/unemployed/other (25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%) (25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%) 0.838 Education: university/high 6/86/28 (5.0/7.1/23.3%) 4/6/20 (4.7/7.2.1/32.2%) 0.838 school/elementary school or less 97/00/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/1/3/9 0.004 heroin/other (80.2/4.7.1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0.004 opioids/cocaine/ampletamines/unknown (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0.000 rijection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) Rate of abuse: daily/4-6 days 41/1/6/763/2 32/2/6/8/37/1 0.669 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5.5.8/5.5.1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7).9.3/43/1.2%) 0.695 weekly or less/not used in the last 30/ags/not known 0.995 0.995 Abuse of more than one substance: 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yers/no 97/41/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yers/no 97/41/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 0.004	homeless			
occasional/regular/student/unemployed/other (25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%) (25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%) 0.838 Education: university/high 6/86/28 (5.0/71.7/23.3%) 4/62/20 (4.7/72.1/23.2%) 0.838 school/elementary school or less 97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/1/3/9 0.004 heroin/other (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0.004 0.004 opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) 0.669 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7).9.3/43/1.2%) 0.695 weekly or less/not used in the last	Employment:	30/22/0/55/13	22/20/1/41/2	0.164
Education: university/high 6/86/28 (5.0/71.7/23.3%) 4/62/20 (4.7/72.1/23.2%) 0.838 school/elementary school or less 97/0/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/41/3/9 0.004 heroin/other (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0.904 opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown 80.8/8.3/10.0/0/.8%) 6/3.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) Rate of administration: 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) 0.669 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7).3/43/1.2%) 0.669 weekly or less/not used in the last 32/26/8/37/1 0.609 0.695 yes/no (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7).3/43/1.2%) 0.695 yes/no (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7).3/43/1.2%) 0.995 weekly or less/not used in the last 30 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 75/4/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 55/31/	occasional/regular/student/unemployed/other	(25.0/18.3/0.0/45.8/10.8%)	(25.6/23.3/1.2/47.7/2.3%)	
school/elementary school or less 97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/13/9 0.004 Primary substance of abuse: 97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/13/9 0.004 heroin/other (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0 0 opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknowm 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) 0.609 Rate of abuse: daily/4–6 days 41/16/7/63/2 32/2/6/8/37/1 0.609 weekly/2–3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7)9.3/43/1.2%) 0.699 weekly or less/not used in the last 30 33/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 30 days/not known 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 Previous opioid substitution: 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 1.000 yes/no/not known 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 0.004 1.000	Education: university/high	6/86/28 (5.0/71.7/23.3%)	4/62/20 (4.7/72.1/23.2%)	0.838
Primary substance of abuse: 97/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/19.2%) 69/4/1/3/9 0.004 heroin/other (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) 0 opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) 0.000 Rate of abuse: daily/4-6 days 41/1/6/763/2 32/2/6/8/37/1 0.669 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7)9.3/43/1.2%) 0.905 weekly or less/not used in the last 30/2/6/8/37/1 0.699 0.995 30 days/not known 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 75/4/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 75/4/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 99/1 (57.5/42.5%) 32/2 (47.2/8%) 0.004	school/elementary school or less			
heroin/other (80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%) opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown 77/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 Route of administration: 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) 0.000 Rate of abuse: daily/4-6 days 41/16/763/2 32/2/6/8/37/1 0.669 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%) 0.669 weekly or less/not used in the last 32/26/8/37/1 0.669 0.995 30 days/not known 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	Primary substance of abuse:	97/0/0/0/23 (80.8/0/0/0/19.2%)	69/4/1/3/9	0.004
opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 Route of administration: 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) 0.669 Rate of abuse: daily/4-6 days 41/16/7.63/2 32/2.6/8/37/1 0.669 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%) 0.669 weekly or less/not used in the last 30/2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%) 0.699 0.995 30 days/not known 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 9 9 9 yes/no 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 9 9 9 9 Uiggnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	heroin/other		(80.2/4.7/1.2/3.5/10.5%)	
Route of administration: 97/10/12/0/1 37/16/22/10/1 0.000 injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) Administration: Rate of abuse: daily/4-6 days 41/16/7/63/2 32/2/6/8/37/1 0.669 weekly/2-3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%) 0.669 weekly or less/not used in the last 30 days/not known 30 days/not known 0.995 Abuse of more than one substance: 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 9 9 9 9 yes/no tknown 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 0.004	opioids/cocaine/amphetamines/unknown			
injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other (80.8/8.3/10.0/0/0.8%) (43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%) Rate of abuse: daily/4–6 days 41/1/6/7/63/2 32/2/6/8/37/1 0.669 weekly/2–3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%) 100 weekly or less/not used in the last 30 days/not known 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 30 days/not known 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 0.004	Route of administration:	97/10/12/0/1	37/16/22/10/1	0.000
Rate of abuse: daily/4–6 days 41/1/6/7/63/2 32/2/6/8/37/1 0.669 weekly/2–3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%) weekly or less/not used in the last 30 days/not known 30 days/not known 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	injection/smoking/snorting/oral/other	(80.8/8.3/10.0/0/0.8%)	(43.0/18.6/25.6/11.6/1.2%)	
weekly/2–3 days weekly/once (34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%) (37.2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%) weekly or less/not used in the last 30 days/not known Abuse of more than one substance: 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 9 9 9 9 Previous opioid substitution: 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 9 9 9 9 Diagnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	Rate of abuse: daily/4-6 days	41/1/6/7/63/2	32/2/6/8/37/1	0.669
weekly or less/not used in the last 30 days/not known Abuse of more than one substance: 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no Previous opioid substitution: 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known Diagnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	weekly/2–3 days weekly/once	(34.2/0.8/5/5.8/52.5/1.7%)	(37.2/2.3/7/9.3/43/1.2%)	
30 days/not known Abuse of more than one substance: 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no Previous opioid substitution: 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known Diagnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	weekly or less/not used in the last			
Abuse of more than one substance: 74/46 (61.7/38.3%) 53/33 (61.6/38.4%) 0.995 yes/no 0.995	30 days/not known			
yes/no 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 Diagnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	Abuse of more than one substance:	74/46 (61.7/38.3%)	53/33 (61.6/38.4%)	0.995
Previous opioid substitution: 75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%) 55/31/0 (64/36/0%) 1.000 yes/no/not known 0.004 Diagnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	yes/no			
yes/no/not known Diagnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	Previous opioid substitution:	75/44/1 (62.5/36.7/0.8%)	55/31/0 (64/36/0%)	1.000
Diagnosed with personality 69/51 (57.5/42.5%) 32/54 (37.2/62.8%) 0.004	yes/no/not known			
	Diagnosed with personality	69/51 (57.5/42.5%)	32/54 (37.2/62.8%)	0.004
disorder: yes/no	disorder: yes/no			

To examine the potential predictors of HCV infection after adjusting for other relevant factors (gender, education, employment status, family status, diagnosis of personality disorder, length of treatment, age of the patient when starting addiction treatment, start of opioid supplementation, duration of treatment, age when opioid abuse was first started, and scores in SIPP 118 domains and items), a binary logistic regression model was built using a stepwise regression elimination

04

SIPP 118 items and	HCV-positive patients	HCV-negative	Null hypothesis probability (<i>p</i>)	
domains	(mean \pm SD, median,	patients (mean \pm SD,		
	IQR)	median, IQR)	· · · · ·	
Self-control domain	55.9 ± 10.9, 55.6 [15.4]	57.6 ± 12.0, 57.8 [20.9]	0.310	
Identity integration domain	$63.6 \pm 12.3, 64.0 \; [17.7]$	$66.4 \pm 11.1, 66.9 \ [18.0]$	0.152	
Responsibility domain	$47.1 \pm 9.7, 46.8$ [13.8]	$49.3 \pm 10.9, 49.6 \ [18.2]$	0.130	
Relational capacity domain	$59.1 \pm 9.1, 59.7$ [13.0]	$60.1 \pm 8.7, 60.2 \ [15.4]$	0.562	
Social concordance domain	$54.3 \pm 10.6, 55.9 \ [16.4]$	$55.5 \pm 10.8, 57.7$ [18.9]	0.403	
Self-control	$56.8 \pm 10.6, 57.0 \ [14.9]$	58.1 ± 11.5, 59.1 [21.3]	0.484	
domain—emotional				
regulation item				
Self-control	50.5 ± 9.2, 49.3 [13.9]	52.2 ± 9.9, 52.3 [13.9]	0.159	
domain—effortful control				
item				
Identity integration	$62.2 \pm 10.2, 63.2$ [15.6]	$65.4 \pm 9.5, 67.1$ [15.7]	0.021	
domain—self-respect	, []			
Identity integration	59.4 ± 11.1 58.8 [15.3]	62.3 ± 11.0 63.3 [18.7]	0.077	
domain_stable self_image	57.1 ± 11.1, 50.0 [15.5]	02.0 ± 11.0, 03.5 [10.7]	0.077	
Identity integration	57.1 ± 10.0 57.1 [15.6]	58.1 ± 10.9 58.2 [17.9]	0.598	
domain self reflexive	57.1 ± 10.0, 57.1 [15.0]	50.1 ± 10.9, 50.2 [17.9]	0.550	
functioning				
Iunctioning	501 108 502 [105]		0.252	
	$59.1 \pm 10.8, 59.5$ [19.5]	$61.5 \pm 9.1, 61.6 [13.4]$	0.255	
domain—enjoyment			0.251	
Identity integration	59.7 ± 10.9, 60.0 [15.2]	61.3 ± 9.7, 63.3 [15.8]	0.351	
domain—purposefulness				
Responsibility	47.1 ± 9.7, 45.0 [13.0]	49.1 ± 11.0, 49.3 [17.4]	0.116	
domain—responsible industry				
Responsibility	$47.0 \pm 9.8, 45.9$ [12.3]	$49.2 \pm 10.7, 50.0$ [14.9]	0.106	
domain—trustworthiness				
Relational capacity	54.7 ± 7.7, 52.8 [11.9]	$53.6 \pm 8.2, 53.8$ [13.9]	0.347	
domain—intimacy				
Relational capacity	$61.3 \pm 8.8, 62.5 [13.2]$	63.1 ± 8.7, 63.6 [15.4]	0.190	
domain—enduring				
relationship				
Relational capacity	$53.0 \pm 10.5, 52.7 \ [15.1]$	$52.8 \pm 11.1, 53.8 \ [17.3]$	0.851	
domain—feeling recognized				
Social concordance	$48.3 \pm 9.8, 50.1 \ [18.9]$	$49.6 \pm 11.3, 54.6 \ [18.5]$	0.337	
domain—aggression				
regulation				
Social concordance	$62.4 \pm 11.7, 63.1 \ [16.5]$	$62.8 \pm 12.8, 63.1 \ [18.8]$	0.677	
domain—frustration				
tolerance				
Social concordance	$54.2 \pm 10.6, 53.9 \ [19.1]$	$56.5 \pm 10.9, 56.0$ [19.1]	0.108	
domain-cooperation				
Social concordance	$54.4 \pm 9.8, 55.2 \ [13.3]$	$55.2 \pm 9.6, 55.2 \ [15.9]$	0.598	
domain—respect				
-				

TABLE 2 Values of SIPP 118 items and domains according to HCV infection status.

procedure. The final model included nine variables (chi-square = 33.971, df = 8, p = 0). The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test confirmed that the model described the data satisfactorily

(p = 0.183), and the Cox and Snell R-square and Nagelkerke R-square values were 0.152 and 0.205, respectively. The potential predictors of HCV infection are shown in Table 3.

Predictor	Adjusted odds ratio	95% Confidence Interval	<i>p</i> -value
Relational Capacity SSIP domain	1.203	1.067-1.356	0.003
Identity Integration domain—Self-respect	0.946	0.906-0.988	0.012
Relational capacity domain—Enduring relationships	0.878	0.797-0.966	0.008
Social concordance domain—Cooperation	0.933	0.888-0.980	0.005
Duration of treatment	1.076	1.015-1.140	0.013
Any type of personality disorder	2.168	1.161-4.050	0.015

TABLE 3 Potential predictors of HCV infection.

Discussion

Our study showed that the patients with HCV infection on opioid substitution therapy more frequently had personality disorders, and that they were treated longer than the patients without HCV infection. HCV infection was associated with lower self-respect, decreased capacity to have enduring relationships with other people, and lower capability to cooperate with others. On the other hand, except for selfrespect, the other elements of the Identity Integration domain (enjoyment, purposefulness, stable self-image, and self-reflexive functioning), when more functional, increased the risk of HCV infection.

Impulsivity is a characteristic of several types of personality disorder; however, it is especially prominent in the borderline type (19). The link between impulsivity and increased risk of HCV infection was already demonstrated when a sample of HCV-positive adult patients was compared with a sample of patients with human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) infection: The HCV-positive patients showed higher degrees of impulsivity and risky behavior (20). Borderline personality disorder among young adults was significantly associated with greater probability of syringe sharing, a well-known risk factor for HCV infection (21). Obviously, many traits of personality disorders make the subjects less cautious, less analytical, and more prone to disregard mechanisms of HCV transmission, which requires close contact and exchange of blood or bodily fluids. Besides, psychiatric patients with a significant physical co-morbidity, such as HCV infection, are more frequently readmitted to hospitals and treated much longer than other patients (22), which can explain the association between the duration of treatment and the presence of HCV infection that was observed in our study.

It is not surprising that low self-respect was associated with higher risk of HCV infection. In a study on 418 HCV-positive injection drug users, it was demonstrated that low self-respect contributed to injection-related risk behaviors such as needleor syringe-lending or sharing drug preparation equipment (23). The association between low self-esteem and risky sexual behavior was found in Nigerian adolescents: subjects with low self-esteem were 1.7 times more likely to engage in sexual activities; therefore, they were more likely to contract HCV infection than those with higher self-esteem (24). A survey conducted among college students revealed that those with higher self-esteem, who consumed less alcohol or did not drink it at all, and more often used condoms when engaging in sexual activities with partners they did not know well had a lower risk of contracting HCV infection (25).

Enduring relationships between younger adults (especially when partners are confident in the relationship) protect against types of sexual behaviors that come with a high risk of contracting HCV or other sexually transmitted infections (26). Within enduring relationships, there is more chance for psychological bonding and sustenance to be developed between the partners involved, which helps drug-dependent people to decrease their sense of social isolation and see meaning in life, Having such feelings, a drug-dependent person is more prone to quit the dependence or at least to avoid intravenous injection practices that increase the risk of contracting HCV infection (27). Our study confirmed these findings as the ability to achieve more enduring interpersonal relationships was associated with the HCV-negative status of the study participants. Social relations by themselves, if devoid of emotional and rational depth, may rather promote risky behavior and help establish alliances in substance abuse practices, consequently increasing the risk of HCV infection. This is a plausible explanation for our findings that higher values in the Relational Capacity domain of the SIPP 118 questionnaire were associated with HCV infection.

Social exclusion and uncooperative personality traits are associated with higher risk of HCV infection, as was observed in the Roma community in Brno, Czech Republic, whose members engaged in risky behaviors (28). The uncooperative behavior among drug addicts is one of the main reasons for the missed diagnosis of HCV infection, even when they are targeted *via* special programs, as experienced by physicians who run an outpatient addiction treatment clinic (29). Uncooperativeness is also a reason why drug addicts diagnosed with HCV infection rarely proceed with subsequent investigations or treatment (30). Patients in the opioid-substitution program in our study who were more cooperative were less frequently HCV-positive, and this finding identified cooperativeness as a personality functioning aspect that may protect against HCV infection.

There are several limitations to our study. First, the study sample was relatively small, precluding the identification of more subtle differences in personality functioning between the HCV-positive and HCV-negative study participants. Second, the participants were not randomly selected from the target population, which could have introduced a selection bias that is difficult to control with available study settings. Finally, there are other available instruments or methods for measuring personality functioning that, if used, could have given a more complete insight into the link between personality dysfunction types and risk of HCV infection.

Our study has shown that opiate addicts on opioid substitution treatment have a higher risk of HCV infection if their personality is dysfunctional, especially in the aspects of self-respect, enduring relationships, and cooperation. The risk is even higher in addicts who have an established diagnosis of any kind of personality disorder.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Center for Prevention and Outpatient Treatment of Addiction, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No 01-54/17; Public Institute for Addiction Disorders of Canton Sarajevo, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No 0202-489/17; University Clinical Center of Republic of Srpska, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No 01-9-339-2/17; University Clinical Center Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No 02-09/2-55/17; and Public Institute for Addiction Disorders of Canton Zenica-Doboj, Zenica, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No 05-34-304-2/17. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SS designed the study. SS, NZ-R, MH, HA, and NM-B contributed to the implementation of the study. SS and DK-K wrote the draft of the manuscript. NM-B and TR helped with data analyses and supervised the study. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Milena Latincic (a social worker) and Dario Icanovic (a medical technician) for their unwavering support during the interviews and blood collection. We also thank Dr. Maja Ostojic and, in particular, Borka Tutis for their contribution to the confirmatory molecular analysis of HCV.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer NS declared a shared affiliation with one of the author MH to the handling editor at time of review.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Darves-Bornoz JM. [Personality and somatic disorders]. L'Encephale. (2018) 44:471–5. doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2018.02.002

2. Muehleck J, Richter F, Adametz L, Strauß B, Berger U. [Psychiatric and somatic comorbidities of eating disorders and obesity in female adolescent and adult inpatients]. *Psychiatr Prax.* (2017) 44:406–12. doi: 10.1055/s-0043-117053

3. Scheidell JD, Lejuez CW, Golin CE, Hobbs MM, Wohl DA, Adimora AA, et al. Borderline personality disorder symptom severity and sexually transmitted infection and HIV risk in African American incarcerated men. *Sex Transm Dis.* (2016) 43:317–23. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.000000000000433

4. Mainville CH, Richardson MA, Brady SM, Berger-Greenstein J, Bacic J, HIV. Risk, substance use, and personality characteristics among adults

with history of serious mental illness. Behav Med Wash DC. (2017) 43:165-75. doi: 10.1080/08964289.2017.1301874

5. Handanagic S, Bozicevic I, Civljak M, Dominkovic Z, Sevic S, Barbaric J, et al. HIV and hepatitis C prevalence, and related risk behaviours among people who inject drugs in three cities in Croatia: findings from respondent-driven sampling surveys. *Int J Drug Policy.* (2016) 32:57–63. doi: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2016. 04.007

6. Verheul R, Andrea H, Berghout CC, Dolan C, Busschbach JJV, van der Kroft PJA, et al. Severity indices of personality problems (SIPP-118): development, factor structure, reliability, and validity. *Psychol Assess.* (2008) 20:23–34. doi: 10.1037/1040-3590.20.1.23

7. Livesley WJ, Jang KL. Toward an empirically based classification of personality disorder. J Personal Disord. (2000) 14:137–51. doi: 10.1521/pedi.2000.14.2.137

8. Carpentier PJ. [Addiction from a developmental perspective: the role of conduct disorder and ADHD in the development of problematic substance use disorders]. *Tijdschr Voor Psychiatr.* (2014) 56:95–105.

9. Teoh Bing Fei J, Yee A, Habil MHB. Psychiatric comorbidity among patients on methadone maintenance therapy and its influence on quality of life. *Am J Addict.* (2016) 25:49–55. doi: 10.1111/ajad.12317

10. Li ZB, Zhang L, Wang J, Huang LP, Zhou ZR, Cao YN, et al. Hepatitis C infection, related services, and barriers to HCV treatment among drug users in methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) clinics in Shanghai, China. *Harm Reduct J.* (2017) 14:71. doi: 10.1186/s12954-017-0197-3

11. Marco A, Antón JJ, Trujols J, Saíz de la Hoya P, de Juan J, Faraco I, et al. Personality disorders do not affect treatment outcomes for chronic HCV infection in Spanish prisoners: the Perseo study. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2015) 15:355. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1102-x

12. Burek V, Horvat J, Butorac K, Mikulić R. Viral hepatitis B, C and HIV infection in Croatian prisons. *Epidemiol Infect.* (2010) 138:1610-20. doi: 10.1017/S0950268810000476

13. Zhang Y, Chen LM, He M. Hepatitis C Virus in mainland China with an emphasis on genotype and subtype distribution. Virol J. (2017) 14:41. doi: 10.1186/s12985-017-0710-z

14. Skocibusic S, Martinac M, Arapovic J, Grgic S, Nikolic J, Hasanagic D, et al. HBV and HCV serological monitoring among injection drugs users in opiate substitution treatment in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* (2016) 10:968–72. doi: 10.3855/jidc.7445

15. Chevaliez S. Strategies for the improvement of HCV testing and diagnosis. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* (2019) 17:341–7. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2019.1604221

16. Montanari L, Pirona A, Guarita B, Hedrich D, Mounteney J, Vicente J. The experience of the treatment demand indicator in europe: a common monitoring tool across 30 countries. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs Suppl.* (2019) 18:139–51. doi: 10.15288/jsads.2019.s18.139

17. Feenstra DJ, Hutsebaut J, Verheul R, Busschbach JJV. Severity indices of personality problems (SIPP-118) in adolescents: reliability and validity. *Psychol Assess.* (2011) 23:646–55. doi: 10.1037/a0022995

 Žulj A. Prijevod i validacija upitnika Simptoma poremećaja ličnosti (SIPP) [Diplomski rad]. Filozofski fakultet, Sveučilište Josipa Jurja Strossmayera u Osijeku, Osijek, Croatia (2016).

19. Videler AC, Hutsebaut J, Schulkens JEM, Sobczak S, van Alphen SPJ. A life span perspective on borderline personality disorder. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* (2019) 21:51. doi: 10.1007/s11920-019-1040-1

20. Henrique-Araújo R, Quarantini LC, Morais-de-Jesus M, Jesus-Nunes AP, Dantas-Duarte A, Caribé AC, et al. Comparative study of impulsiveness and risk behaviors among infected individuals with hepatitis C virus and human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1. *Ann Hepatol.* (2020) 19:166–71. doi: 10.1016/j.aohep.2019.09.005

21. Mackesy-Amiti ME, Donenberg GR, Ouellet LJ. Psychiatric correlates of injection risk behavior among young people who inject drugs. *Psychol Addict Behav J Soc Psychol Addict Behav.* (2014) 28:1089–95. doi: 10.1037/a00 36390

22. Šprah L, Dernovšek MZ, Wahlbeck K, Haaramo P. Psychiatric readmissions and their association with physical comorbidity: a systematic literature review. *BMC Psychiatry.* (2017) 17:2. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-1172-3

23. Latka MH, Hagan H, Kapadia F, Golub ET, Bonner S, Campbell JV, et al. A Randomized intervention trial to reduce the lending of used injection equipment among injection drug users infected with Hepatitis C. *Am J Public Health.* (2008) 98:853–61. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2007.113415

24. Enejoh V, Pharr J, Mavegam BO, Olutola A, Karick H, Ezeanolue EE. Impact of self-esteem on risky sexual behaviors among Nigerian adolescents. *AIDS Care.* (2016) 28:672–6. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2015.1120853

25. McNair LD, Carter JA, Williams MK. Self-esteem, gender, and alcohol use: relationships with HIV risk perception and behaviors in college students. *J Sex Marital Ther.* (1998) 24:2936. doi: 10.1080/009262398084 14666

26. Knudsen HK, Leukefeld C, Havens JR, Duvall JL, Oser CB, Staton-Tindall M, et al. Partner relationships and HIV risk behaviors among women offenders. *J Psychoactive Drugs.* (2008) 40:471–81. doi: 10.1080/02791072.2008.104 00653

27. Lee GKW, Chan G, Lo TW, Yeung JWK, Tam CHL, Guan X. An inquiry into the relationship between drug users' psychological situations and their drug-taking behaviour. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18:12730. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182312730

28. Věchetová S, Krekulová L, Oktábec Z, Rehák V. A high prevalence of viral hepatitis C in a socially excluded Roma community in Brno. *Epidemiol Mikrobiol Imunol.* (2019) 68:115–21.

29. Smyth BP, Keenan E, O'Connor JJ. Assessment of hepatitis C infection in injecting drug users attending an addiction treatment clinic. *Ir J Med Sci.* (2000) 169:129–32. doi: 10.1007/BF03166917

30. Cullen W, Stanley J, Langton D, Kelly Y, Bury G. Management of hepatitis C among drug users attending general practice in Ireland: baseline data from the Dublin area hepatitis C in general practice initiative. *Eur J Gen Pract.* (2007) 13:5–12. doi: 10.1080/14017430601049365