An Indispensable Role for the MavE Effector of in Lysosomal Evasion

Vaughn, Bethany; Voth, Kevin; Price, Christopher T.; Jones, Snake; Ožanič, Mateja; Šantić, Marina; Cygler, Miroslaw; Abu Kwaik, Yousef

Source / Izvornik: mBio, 2021, 12

Journal article, Accepted version Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.03458-20

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:184:265093

Rights / Prava: Attribution 4.0 International/Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-03-28



Repository / Repozitorij:

Repository of the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Medicine - FMRI Repository









An Indispensable Role for the MavE Effector of *Legionella pneumophila* in Lysosomal Evasion

Bethany Vaughn,^a Kevin Voth,^b Christopher T. Price,^a Snake Jones,^a Mateja Ozanic,^c Marina Santic,^c ^b Miroslaw Cygler,^b Yousef Abu Kwaik^{a,d}

^aDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA ^bDepartment of Biochemistry, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada ^cFaculty of Medicine, Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, University of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia ^dCenter for Predictive Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA

Bethany Vaughn and Kevin Voth contributed equally to this work. The first two authors agreed on the order of names and that was approved by Yousef Abu Kwaik.

ABSTRACT Diversion of the Legionella pneumophila-containing vacuole (LCV) from the host endosomal-lysosomal degradation pathway is one of the main virulence features essential for manifestation of Legionnaires' pneumonia. Many of the \sim 350 Dot/Icm-injected effectors identified in L. pneumophila have been shown to interfere with various host pathways and processes, but no L. pneumophila effector has ever been identified to be indispensable for lysosomal evasion. While most single effector mutants of L. pneumophila do not exhibit a defective phenotype within macrophages, we show that the MavE effector is essential for intracellular growth of L. pneumophila in human monocyte-derived macrophages (hMDMs) and amoebae and for intrapulmonary proliferation in mice. The mavE null mutant fails to remodel the LCV with endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-derived vesicles and is trafficked to the lysosomes where it is degraded, similar to formalin-killed bacteria. During infection of hMDMs, the MavE effector localizes to the poles of the LCV membrane. The crystal structure of MavE, resolved to 1.8 Å, reveals a C-terminal transmembrane helix, three copies of tyrosine-based sorting motifs, and an NPxY eukaryotic motif, which binds phosphotyrosine-binding domains present on signaling and adaptor eukaryotic proteins. Two point mutations within the NPxY motif result in attenuation of L. pneumophila in both hMDMs and amoeba. The substitution defects of P^{78} and D^{64} are associated with failure of vacuoles harboring the mutant to be remodeled by the ER and results in fusion of the vacuole to the lysosomes leading to bacterial degradation. Therefore, the MavE effector of L. pneumophila is indispensable for phagosome biogenesis and lysosomal evasion.

IMPORTANCE Intracellular proliferation of *Legionella pneumophila* within a vacuole in human alveolar macrophages is essential for manifestation of Legionnaires' pneumonia. Intravacuolar growth of the pathogen is totally dependent on remodeling the *L. pneumophila*-containing vacuole (LCV) by the ER and on its evasion of the endoso-mal-lysosomal degradation pathway. The pathogen has evolved to inject ~350 protein effectors into the host cell where they modulate various host processes, but no *L. pneumophila* effector has ever been identified to be indispensable for lysosomal evasion. We show that the MavE effector localizes to the poles of the LCV membrane and is essential for lysosomal evasion and intracellular growth of *L. pneumophila* and for intrapulmonary proliferation in mice. The crystal structure of MavE shows an NPxY eukaryotic motif essential for ER-mediated remodeling and lysosomal evasion by the LCV. Therefore, the MavE effector of *L. pneumophila* is indispensable for phagosome biogenesis and lysosomal evasion.

KEYWORDS MavE, lysosomal evasion, trafficking, Legionnaires', NPxY

Citation Vaughn B, Voth K, Price CT, Jones S, Ozanic M, Santic M, Cygler M, Abu Kwaik Y. 2021. An indispensable role for the MavE effector of *Legionella pneumophila* in lysosomal evasion. mBio 12:e03458-20. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mBio.03458-20.

Editor Nancy E. Freitag, University of Illinois at Chicago

Copyright © 2021 Vaughn et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Miroslaw Cygler, miroslaw.cygler@usask.ca, or Yousef Abu Kwaik, abukwaik@louisville.edu.

This article is a direct contribution from Yousef Abu Kwaik, a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology, who arranged for and secured reviews by Amal Amer, Ohio State University; Nicholas Cianciotto, Northwestern University Medical School; and Rey Carabeo, University of Nebraska.

Received 14 December 2020 Accepted 23 December 2020 Published 9 February 2021 ntracellular pathogens that reside in vacuoles within macrophages have evolved mechanisms to evade the endosomal lysosomal degradation (1, 2) and autophagy pathways (3) as well as other innate defense pathways (4, 5). Cytosolic pathogens have also evolved mechanisms for cytosolic detection by the innate defense processes of macrophages (6).

Legionella pneumophila is a Gram-negative environmental bacterium, naturally infecting amoebae in water sources (7). The bacterium evades lysosomal degradation by amoebae and proliferates intracellularly (7). *L. pneumophila* can become aerosolized allowing for environmental transmission to human hosts. Once inhaled into the lungs, *L. pneumophila* infects resident alveolar macrophages, causing a severe pneumonia known as Legionnaires' disease (8–10). Within macrophages, *L. pneumophila* grows in a membrane-bound vesicle known as the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) (11, 12). The LCV fuses with endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-derived vesicles and evades lysosomal fusion (13). Proliferation of *L. pneumophila* within the LCV is dependent on the Dot/Icm type IV secretion system (T4SS) (14, 15), which translocates ~350 effector proteins into the host cell (12, 16–19). These injected effectors have evolved to manipulate various host cell processes in order to remodel the host cell into a proliferative niche for pathogen proliferation (11, 20). The loss of function of this secretion system causes defective phagosome biogenesis in terms of recruitment of ER-derived vesicles to the LCV and in rapid fusion of the LCV to the lysosomes (11, 14, 21).

The ability of the LCV to be remodeled into an ER-derived vacuole and evade degradation through the endosomal-lysosomal pathway is a key virulence determinant of L. pneumophila (11, 22, 23). However, the mechanism L. pneumophila employs to evade lysosomal fusion is still unclear, but it is regulated at various levels (24). Multiple Dot/ Icm translocated effectors directly regulate vesicular trafficking associated with the early secretory system (19, 25-27). Few of the T4SS effector proteins, such as DrrA/ SidM, LidA, VipD, and LepB have been shown to be partially required for lysosomal evasion (26, 28, 29). Many of these effectors interact with small host GTPases and/or Rab proteins, which are prominent targets of *L. pneumophila* effector proteins (30–33). However, to date, no known effector has proven to be indispensable for evasion of the endosomal-lysosomal degradation pathway. The prevalence of functional redundancy among the 350 L. pneumophila effectors suggests that key host pathways are targeted by L. pneumophila (34), and many of these pathways are highly conserved through evolution from unicellular eukaryotes, such as amoeba, to mammals (35, 36). Redundancy of effectors occurs in many different manners, including molecular mimicry, targets, pathways, cellular processes, and system redundancy (37). However, the redundancy of effectors likely represents a tool box for L. pneumophila to replicate within diverse environmental hosts; having specific effectors for certain hosts and some of the amoebaadapted effectors may have unpredicted paradoxical effects on human macrophages (7, 11, 37-39).

The MavE (*lpg2344*) effector was first identified in a screen aimed at identification of new translocated substrates based on the presence of a glutamate-rich motif found in more than half of *L. pneumophila* effectors (19). While the amino acid sequence of MavE has no homology to known proteins, a BLAST search revealed MavE is widely distributed throughout the *Legionella* genus, but its role during infection remains unknown (40, 41). Recently, a yeast two-hybrid screen revealed that MavE has a direct interaction with another effector protein, a metaeffector, YlfA/LegC7 (19, 42). YlfA/LegC7 modulates ER vesicle trafficking events (43). YlfA/LegC7 along with two other effectors, YlfB/LegC2 and LegC3, assemble as a complex on the LCV that interacts with ER-derived vesicles to initiate membrane fusion (43–45). Single *ylfA* and *ylfB* mutants and a *ylfA-ylfB* double mutant replicate similar to the wild-type (WT) strain in macrophages (46). However, in single cell assays, the *YlfA-YlfB* double mutant exhibits ~30% reduction in ER-mediated remodeling and formation of replicative LCVs (47). However, the *ylfA-ylfB* double mutant is similar to the WT strain in lysosomal evasion, indicating that ER-mediated remodeling and lysosomal evasion of the LCV are two independent events (47). However, the role of this

LegC7/LegC2/LegC3 complex in association with MavE in intracellular proliferation and lysosomal evasion by *L. pneumophila* is not known.

The combination of the eukaryotic motifs in MavE and metaeffector activity of YIfA/ LegC7 suggests the MavE effector is likely involved in governing biogenesis of the nascent LCV (19). Here we demonstrate that the MavE effector is the first effector identified to be indispensable for diverting the LCV from the endosomal-lysosomal pathway and is essential for intracellular replication in human macrophages and amoebae, as well as for intrapulmonary proliferation in mice. MavE is localized to the LCV pole, which is consistent with localization of the Dot/Icm translocation apparatus. The crystal structure of MavE shows a single-pass transmembrane domain at its C terminus, an NPxY eukaryotic motif, and three copies of the tyrosine-based sorting motifs (48–50). Our data show the NPxY eukaryotic motif specifically the P and the upstream D residue of this motif are required for its function in ER-mediated remodeling of the LCV and lysosomal evasion, both of which are essential for intracellular proliferation.

RESULTS

Subcellular localization of MavE. Since pathogenic effectors injected into host cells have distinct subcellular localization where they interact with specific targets, the subcellular localization of MavE during infection was determined by transiently transfecting HEK293T cells with a construct encoding $3 \times$ -FLAG-tagged MavE to enhance expression and detection of MavE. Following 24 h, the cells were infected with wild-type *L. pneumophila*, a translocation-deficient ($\Delta T4SS$) *dotA* mutant, or the *mavE* mutant for 2 h. Ectopic expression of MavE exhibited distinct punctate distribution throughout the cell, indicative of vesicular localization (Fig. 1A). Remarkably, $3 \times$ -FLAG-tagged MavE was trafficked to 90% of wild-type strain-containing LCVs, and this colocalization is Dot/Icm dependent, since the $\Delta T4SS$ mutant, MavE was trafficked to 10% of LCVs only. These data suggest that other Dot/Icm-injected effectors may be required during infection, and injection of various effectors is required for proper localization of MavE to the LCV membrane.

To examine subcellular localization of MavE during infection of macrophages, we constructed *L. pneumophila* strains that express four hemagglutinin (4HA)-tagged MavE (4HA-MavE) fusions and infected human monocyte-derived macrophages (hMDMs) for 1 h. Following fixation, the plasma membranes of infected hMDMs were differentially permeabilized using digitonin to detect whether MavE was exposed to the cytosol. The 4HA-MavE translocated by wild-type bacteria was spatially and exclusively localized to the cytosolic side of 80% of the LCVs (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, MavE was concentrated at the LCV poles, which is consistent with the localization of the Dot/Icm translocation system to the bacterial poles (51). MavE was not detected on LCVs harboring the $\Delta T4SS$ mutant (Fig. 1B). To exclude the potential effect of digitonin on colocalization to the LCV, methanol fixation was utilized after the infection without digitonin. The data showed that the 4HA-tagged MavE was consistently concentrated at the LCV poles in hMDMs infected by the WT strain in a Dot/Icm-dependent manner (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

The detection of MavE on wild-type strain-containing LCVs decreased rapidly and significantly over time, with only 30% of LCVs decorated with MavE at 3 h postinfection. As the HA-tagged MavE used was isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) inducible, the MavE was synthesized by the bacteria prior to infection. To determine whether the loss of MavE was due to host proteasomal degradation, MG132 was used to inhibit the proteasomes (52). We have shown previously that inhibition of proteasomal degradation blocks replication of *L. pneumophila* within hMDMs due to the lack of sufficient amino acids but has no impact on phagosomal trafficking and is totally reversible upon supplementation of amino acids (52). The data showed that upon inhibition of the proteasomes, MavE was retained on the LCVs, suggesting that MavE is degraded by the host proteasomes (Fig. 1C).



FIG 1 Localization of MavE during ectopic expression and during infection of hMDMs. (A) Representative confocal microscopy images of transfected HEK293T cells with 3×-FLAG-tagged MavE. Cells were infected for 2 h, in triplicate, using wild-type *L. pneumophila*, the $\Delta T455$ mutant, or the *mavE* mutant (green). Ectopic expression of MavE exhibited distinct punctate distribution throughout the cell (red). Quantification of colocalization of MavE with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. (B) Representative confocal microscopy images of 4HA-tagged MavE (red) constructs in both wild-type and *T455 L. pneumophila* (green), following 1-h infection in hMDMs. Quantification of colocalization of MavE with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. ND, not detectable. (C) The presence of MavE on wild-type LCVs decreased from 1 h to 3 h postinfection. The proteasome inhibitor MG132 blocked the loss of MavE. Values that are significantly different by Student's *t* test are indicated as follows: ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

Role of MavE in intracellular replication. With few exceptions, most single effector mutants in *L. pneumophila* do not exhibit a defective phenotype in macrophages (7). To further examine the function of MavE, we determined whether MavE was required for intracellular replication. An isogenic mutant was generated and used to infect hMDMs. Growth of the *mavE* mutant during *in vitro* broth culture was identical to the wild-type strain (Fig. S2A). The data showed that the *mavE* mutant failed to replicate in hMDMs, similar to the translocation-deficient *T4SS* mutant (Fig. 2A). Complementation of the *mavE* mutant (*mavE/C*) reversed the severe intracellular growth defect. Infection of *Acanthamoeba polyphaga*, a natural host of *L. pneumophila*, exhibited ~10-fold-less *mavE* mutant bacteria recovered at 24 and 48 h postinfection compared to those infected with the wild type or the complemented mutant (*mavE/C*) (Fig. 2B).



FIG 2 MavE is essential for intracellular replication in hMDMs and *A. polyphaga*. (A) To determine intracellular replication of the WT strain, the *T4SS* mutant, the *mavE* mutant, and complemented *mavE* mutant (*mavE/C*), hMDMs were infected, and the number of CFU was determined at 2 and 24 h postinfection. Data points represent mean CFU \pm standard deviations (SD) (error bars) and are representative of three independent experiments. (B) To determine intracellular replication of the WT strain, the *T4SS* mutant, the *mavE* mutant, and complemented *mavE* mutant (*mavE/C*), *A. polyphaga* was infected, and the number of CFU was determined at 2, 24, and 48 h postinfection. Data points represent mean CFU \pm SD and are representative of three independent experiments. Statistical significance by Student's *t* test is indicated as follows: **, *P* ≤ 0.01; ***, *P* ≤ 0.001.

In the A/J mouse lethality model, using an intratracheal inoculation of 10^7 CFU (50% lethal dose [LD₅₀]), the *mavE* mutant was completely attenuated, with 100% animal survival at 10 days postinfection (Fig. 3A). In contrast, both the WT and the complemented *mavE* mutant showed 50% lethality (Fig. 3A). To determine whether the *mavE* mutant has a defect in intrapulmonary growth, A/J mice were intratracheally infected with 10^6 CFU to determine intrapulmonary proliferation. At 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h, the bacterial burden was determined in the lungs, spleen, and liver. Compared to the WT bacterial burden, a decrease of bacterial burden of the *mavE* mutant was observed in lung tissue at 72 h postinfection (Fig. 3B). Following 24 h postinfection, a decrease in bacterial burden was found in both the spleen and liver for the *mavE* mutant bacterial strain (Fig. 3C and D). The A/J mice intratracheally infected with the complemented *mavE* mutant and mice infected by WT bacteria exhibited similar bacterial burdens in the lungs, spleen, and liver. Taken together, the MavE effector is essential for intracellular growth in macrophages, amoeba and for intrapulmonary proliferation in mice.

Role of MavE in ER-mediated remodeling and lysosomal evasion by the LCV. Since the *mavE* mutant is defective for intracellular growth, we determined whether trafficking of the vacuole harboring the mutant was altered. To determine whether the *mavE* mutant failed to create an ER-derived vacuole, we utilized confocal microscopy to determine colocalization of the LCV with the ER marker, KDEL. The data showed that over 90% of LCVs harboring wild-type bacteria colocalized with KDEL, while only 15% and 8% of the *mavE* mutant and formalin-killed WT (FK-WT)-containing vacuoles, respectively, colocalized with the ER marker (Fig. 4A). Much like WT-containing LCVs, 87% of the complemented mutant (*mavE/C*) containing LCVs colocalized with KDEL.



FIG 3 The MavE effector is essential for intrapulmonary replication *in vivo*. (A) Mouse lethality assay in which A/J mice were intratracheally infected with the wild type, the *mavE* mutant, and complemented strains (*mavE/C*) at 10⁷ CFU and monitored for 10 days. (B) Lung CFU were determined in A/J mice at 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h postinoculation. The CFU in the *mavE* mutant intratracheally infected mice compared to both the wild type and complemented strains (*mavE/C*) are shown. (C) Spleen CFU of the wild type, *mavE* mutant, and complemented strain (*mavE/C*) were determined in intratracheally infected A/J mice at 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h postinoculation. (D) Liver CFU were determined in intratracheally infected A/J mice at 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h postinoculation for the wild type, *mavE* mutant, and complemented strain (*mavE/C*) by Student's *t* test is indicated as follows: *, $P \le 0.05$; **, $P \le 0.01$.

To examine colocalization with late endosomes/lysosomes, the late endosome/lysosomal marker, LAMP1, was utilized. Our data showed that only 5% of the wild-type bacteria-containing LCVs colocalized with the LAMP1 marker. In contrast, 88% of LCVs harboring the *mavE* mutant colocalized with LAMP1 (Fig. 4B). The defect of the mutant was restored upon complementation where only 15% of the vacuoles harboring the complemented *mavE* mutant colocalized with LAMP1. For the LCVs containing FK-WT bacteria as a control, ~90% of the vacuoles colocalized with the LAMP1 marker.



FIG 4 Fusion of the vacuoles containing the *mavE* mutant with the lysosome. (A) Colocalization of the LCVs containing the wild type, formalin-killed (FK) *L. pneumophila, mavE* mutant, or the complemented strain (*mavE/C*) labeled with the ER marker, KDEL (green), DAPI (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. (B) Colocalization of the LCVs containing the wild type, formalin-killed (FK) *L. pneumophila, mavE* mutant, or the complemented strain (*mavE/C*) stained with the late endosome/early lysosomal marker, LAMP1 (green), DAPI (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. (C) Colocalization of the LCVs containing the wild type, formalin-killed (*L. pneumophila, mavE* mutant, or the complemented strain (*mavE/C*) stained with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. (C) Colocalization of the LCVs containing the wild type, formalin-killed *L. pneumophila, mavE* mutant, or the complemented strain (*mavE/C*) stained with the lsysonal marker, Cathepsin D (green), DAPI (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization (*mavE/C*) stained with the lsysonal marker, Cathepsin D (green), DAPI (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. All analyses were performed on 100 infected cells analyzed from multiple coverslips. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

Importantly, 70% of LCVs harboring the *mavE* mutant colocalized with the lysosomal marker, Cathepsin D, similar to formalin-killed bacteria, while only 9% of LCVs harboring the wild-type strain localized with Cathepsin D (Fig. 4C). Importantly, in contrast to the bacillus shape of WT bacteria, the majority of the *mavE* mutant bacteria exhibited altered morphology that included rounding and blebbing, indicative of bacterial degradation, which is consistent with fusion of the LCV to the lysosome (Fig. 5). Taken together, MavE is the first effector of *L. pneumophila* shown to be indispensable for biogenesis of the LCV and lysosomal evasion.

Crystal structure of MavE. MavE contains a predicted transmembrane helix at the C terminus (amino acids [aa] 183 to 206). This region was excluded from the constructs submitted to crystallization to ensure protein solubility. We succeeded in crystallizing



FIG 5 An essential role for MavE in bacterial viability within the LCVs of hMDMs. (A) Representative confocal microscopy images of the wild type, formalin-killed wild type, *mavE* mutant, and the translocation-deficient *T4SS* mutant *L. pneumophila*, following 1-h infection in hMDMs. The cells were fixed and stained with anti-*Legionella* (green) and DAPI (blue). All analyses were performed on 100 infected cells analyzed from multiple coverslips. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate, and error bars represent standard deviations. ***, $P \leq 0.001$ by Student's t test.



FIG 6 Crystal structure of MavE (39-172). (A) Overall structure of a single MavE chain. Helices A, B, C, D, and E are colored red, yellow, pink, green, and orange, respectively. Loops are shown in gray. (B) Overlay of MavE (39-172) and the grass pollen allergen Phlp 5b. MavE is colored as in panel A, and the grass pollen allergen is colored wheat. Hydrophobic core residues are depicted as sticks. (C) View of the overlay shown in panel B from below. Note that helix E and the loop connecting it to helix B are absent in Phlp 5b. The dimer interface for AA and BC chains is depicted in panels D and E, respectively.

construct MavE (39-172 [aa 39 to 172]). Its crystal structure was solved by single anomalous dispersion (SAD) and refined to a resolution of 1.8 Å. There are three molecules in the asymmetric unit, designated A, B, and C. Molecules B and C are related by twofold symmetry, and molecule A is also related by a twofold axis to a B chain in a neighboring unit cell (Fig. 6A). This organization results in two layers of B and C molecules being sandwiched between a single layer of A molecules (Fig. 6A). MavE (39-172) is comprised of five α -helices, which are designated from the N to C terminus as A, B, C, D, and E. The N- and C-terminal residues of MavE are near one another, with helices A and E making contacts via Arg155 and Arg162 guanidinium nitrogen atoms in E (Arg155/162) forming hydrogen bonds to Leu57 carbonyl oxygen and Glu54 side chain oxygen atoms in A, respectively. Helices B and C are connected by a long (22-residue) loop, with the first \sim 10 residues (Gln72-Arg79) having poorly defined electron density. The N77PxY80 motif, which is disordered in the structure, is located within this loop and stretches across helices C and D which, in turn, lie diagonally overtop helices A and E. Thus, helices A and E act as a narrow scaffold upon which helices B, C, and D are positioned, with the longest loop of the structure (between helices B and C) rendered solvent accessible.

Significant intermolecular contacts in the MavE crystal occur at the AA or BC dimer interfaces. Each ~1,000-Å² interface is formed by N- and C-terminal residues, which contact a kinked region of helix C in the symmetry-related molecule. Two centrally positioned citrate molecules strengthen the BC dimer interaction using a water-mediated hydrogen bond network. Residues contributing to this interaction are Ala38, Glu42, Gln125, and Ser128 of each chain. The difference electron density map (mFo – DFc) indicates the presence of another stabilizing element at the A-A interface, although the identity of this small molecule remains unclear.

To gain insight into MavE function, we searched for proteins with a similar fold. To this end, we submitted MavE (39-172) coordinates to the DALI server (53). The most interesting result in our search for homologues was a core domain of the grass pollen allergen, Phlp 5b (PDB identifier [id] 1L3P, Z-score = 7.1). This domain is comprised of a four-helix bundle (54). Helices A, B, C, and D of MavE (39-172) align well with the Phlp

5b core domain (Fig. 6B), but helix E has no counterpart in PhIp 5b. Two 35-residue helix-turn-helix motifs are present in PhIp 5b, and they share 37% sequence identity with one another. These motifs adopt remarkably similar helix termination and chain reversal strategies. As such, PhIp 5b was described as a twinned two-helix bundle. The corresponding helix-turn-helix motifs in MavE also share several residues, with some stabilizing the core architecture. Based on these observations, MavE can be said to have a similar core domain to that of PhIp 5b. Interestingly, the extended loop between helices B and E in MavE is not present in PhIp 5b and constitutes an insertion into this fold. This insertion contains not only the NPxY motif but also a triad Asp64-His68-Ser102 that is reminiscent of the catalytic triad of serine proteases. Two of these residues are located within the insertion loop. While these side chains in the crystal structure are not connected by hydrogen bonds (as they are in the catalytic triad of proteases), they could be brought into such interactions by simple rotation of the sidechains (Fig. 6), suggesting a possibility that MavE possesses catalytic activity.

Structure-based functional analysis of MavE. To determine the role the NPxY motif has in the function of the MavE effector during infection, six point mutations were made in the critical domains predicted to contribute to the biochemical function of MavE. Three residues in the NPxY motif (N77A, P78A, and Y80A) were targeted for substitution. They are located on the insertion loop, are exposed on the MavE surface, and show high mobility/flexibility (poor electron density). Three other residues (D64-H68-S102), which constituted a potential catalytic triad reminiscent of the catalytic triad of serine proteases, were also targeted for substitution mutagenesis. Growth of the *mavE* substitution mutants during *in vitro* broth culture grew identical to the wildtype strain (Fig. S2B). The *L. pneumophila* expressing *mavE* variants were used to infect hMDMs to evaluate intracellular growth kinetics (Fig. S3). Two of the substitution mutants, D64A and P78A, were found to be attenuated (Fig. 7A). Similar results were observed in A. polyphaga. However an additional substitution mutant, H68A, also showed attenuation in A. polyphaga (Fig. 7B). Using immunoblots of total bacterial lysates, there was no major differences in the expression and stability of the variant proteins in the L. pneumophila variants with defective phenotypes (Fig. S2C). Thus, the structure of the MavE protein harbors several functional motifs, including an NPxY eukaryotic motif, and these motifs are required for the function of MavE in intracellular proliferation of L. pneumophila.

To determine whether the *mavE* substitution mutants shown essential for intracellular replication in hMDMs also exhibit altered phagosome biogenesis, we utilized confocal microscopy to determine colocalization of the LCV with the ER marker, KDEL (Fig. 8). The additional substitution mutant, H68A, shown to be attenuated in *A. polyphaga* and substitution mutant, S102A, which did not show attenuation, were used as controls. The data showed that 92% of LCVs harboring wild-type bacteria colocalized with KDEL, while only 12% of the *mavE* mutant strain-containing vacuoles colocalized with the marker (Fig. 8). Much like the *mavE* mutant strain-containing LCVs, 14% of the D64A substitution mutant LCVs and 11% of the P78A substitution mutant and 90% of the S102A substitution mutant containing LCVs colocalized with the ER marker KDEL.

Importantly, ~90% of the LCVs containing the P78A and D64A substitution mutant bacteria colocalized with the LAMP1 marker, similar to the *mavE* mutant, while only 7% of the wild-type bacteria-containing LCVs colocalized with the marker (Fig. 9). The LCVs of the controls, S102, and the H68A substitution mutants showed 5 to 9% colocalization with LAMP1.

Our data showed that the D64A substitution mutant showed 70 to 75% colocalization with Cathepsin D, similar to the *mavE* mutant (Fig. 10). Importantly, 66% of the LCVs containing P78A substitution mutant colocalized with Cathepsin D, similar to the *mavE* null mutant (Fig. 10). Only 8% of LCVs harboring the wild-type strain localized with the lysosomal marker Cathepsin D. Only 10 to 13% of the LCVs containing the H68A and S102A substitution mutants colocalized with Cathepsin D. Importantly,



FIG 7 Role of the NPxY motif in biological function of MavE in hMDMs and *A. polyphaga*. (A and B) hMDMs (A) and *A. polyphaga* (B) were infected with the WT strain, the *T4SS* mutant, the *mavE* mutant, NPxY substitution mutants (D64A and P78A), and complemented *mavE* mutant (*mavE/C*), and the number of CFU were determined at 2, 8, and 24 h postinfection. Data points represent mean CFU \pm SD and are representative of three independent experiments. Data points represent mean CFU \pm SD and are representative of three independent experiments. Statistical significance by Student's *t* test is indicated as follows: *, $P \leq 0.05$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

similar to the *mavE* mutant, the majority of the P78A and D64A substitution mutant bacteria exhibited altered morphology with exaggerated rounding and blebbing, indicative of bacterial degradation and consistent with fusion of the LCV to the lyso-somes. Taken together, the two substitution mutants, D64A and P78A, phenocopied the *mavE* null mutants in the failure to create ER-derived vacuoles that bypass the lyso-somal degradation pathway and to proliferate in hMDMs. Thus, the P78 residue within the NPxY motif and the upstream D64 residue are required for the function of MavE in phagosome biogenesis and lysosomal evasion.

DISCUSSION

Intracellular pathogens, whether cytosolic or intravacuolar within macrophages, have evolved idiosyncratic mechanisms to evade various innate defense processes avoiding degradation within macrophages (55–58). These pathogenic mechanisms involve the injection or secretion of effectors into the host by various type I to IX translocation systems (59–62) and are present in extracellular pathogens, such as *Bordetella* (63) as well. These effectors modulate various cellular processes as well as host metabolism to render the host cell suitable as a proliferative nutrient-rich niche (55, 64–70). Cytosolic pathogens, such as *Rickettsia*, have evolved to evade the host cytosolic antimicrobial processes (71, 72). Modulation of host metabolism is a general common theme among intracellular pathogens, leading to a suitable nutritional niche for pathogen proliferation, and that has been well characterized for *Mycobacterium* (52, 65, 66, 73–75). For intravacuolar pathogens, the crux of these host processes is evasion of the endosomal-lysosomal degradation pathway by most intravacuolar pathogens, such as *Mycobacterium*, *Chlamydia*, and *Salmonella* (1, 2). Modulation of the macrophage



FIG 8 Remodeling of the vacuoles with the ER. Representative confocal microscopy images of colocalization of the LCVs containing the wild type, *mavE* mutant, or NPxY substitution mutants. D64A, H68A, P78A, and S102A strains were labeled with the ER marker, KDEL (green), DAPI (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. All analyses were performed on 100 infected cells analyzed from multiple coverslips. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

autophagy (76) and M1 versus M2 polarization by intracellular pathogens (77) is an emerging theme to modulate the host inflammatory response (78, 79). The intravacuolar pathogen *L. pneumophila* resides within the LCV that seems to be excluded from the endocytic pathway and is more of an ER-like compartment than a phagosome (1, 11). While there are numerous studies on translocated effectors of *L. pneumophila* and few of them have a partial role in lysosomal evasion, none of the effectors are indispensable for lysosomal evasion (11, 80, 81). With few exceptions, single effector deletions for most characterized



FIG 9 Fusion of the vacuoles containing the *mavE* substitution mutants with the late endosomes/ lysosomes. Colocalization of the LCVs containing the wild type, *mavE* mutant, or the NPxY substitution mutants, D64A, H68A, P78A, and S102A strains with the late endosome/lysosomal marker, LAMP1 (green), DAPI (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. All analyses were performed on 100 infected cells analyzed from multiple coverslips. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

effectors of *L. pneumophila* do not exhibit a defective intracellular growth phenotype (82). Redundancy among the *L. pneumophila* effectors occurs in different manners, and deletion of redundant paralog effectors does not always impair intracellular growth (7, 23, 83). Here, we show MavE is the first effector to be indispensable for both phagosome biogenesis and lysosomal evasion by *L. pneumophila*. The altered LCV biogenesis in the absence of MavE could be an indirect by-product of lysosomal evasion. MavE is localized at the



FIG 10 Fusion of the vacuoles containing the *mavE* substitution mutants with the lysosomes. Colocalization of the LCVs containing the wild type, *mavE* mutant, or the NPxY substitution mutants, D64A, H68A, P78A, and S102A strains with the lysosomal marker, Cathepsin D (green), DAPI (blue), and anti-*Legionella* (red). Quantification of colocalization with the LCV (indicated by arrowheads) (yellow) is shown in merged images. All analyses were performed on 100 infected cells analyzed from multiple coverslips. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

cytosolic side of the LCV poles and is likely functioning in subverting vesicular fusion with the endocytic pathway. It has been shown that polar localization is a key feature of virulence of *L. pneumophila*, and this polarity could result in an increased localized concentration of subdomains of certain effectors at the LCV pole, possibly meeting a critical threshold concentration necessary for effector activity (84). Although ER remodeling of the LCV and its lysosomal evasion are independent processes, it is not known which comes first (85). LCVs communicate with and modulate the secretory vesicle trafficking pathway; however, little is known about the temporal aspect of ER remodeling and lysosomal evasion by the LCV (85).

The MavE structure contains a core domain reminiscent of the grass pollen allergen Phlp 5b. Compared to allergen Phlp 5b, MavE contains a long insertion loop located between helix B and helix C. These insertions represent a region of functional significance to MavE, as their absence in Phlp 5b suggests it is dispensable for protein stability. It has been suggested that the compactness of the twinned two-helix core domain facilitates proteolytic resistance in Phlp 5b (54). In accordance with this notion, MavE may have evolved this domain to act as a robust scaffold for displaying functional elements to binding partners. The fact that Phlp 5b retains allergenicity can further rationalize this claim, as *L. pneumophila* effectors must resist innate immune defenses. This stability might be essential for effectors performing a critical function in virulence, especially those having no redundant counterpart.

Structural features outside the MavE core domain are of functional significance to this protein. The loop connecting helices B and C is the longest in our model and contains a region of conformational heterogeneity, as evidenced by high B-factors and the absence of clear electron density. Intriguingly, the B-C loop harbors an NPxY sequence, which is the canonical phosphotyrosine-binding (PTB) domain-interacting motif (86). The presence of this motif on a flexible, solvent-exposed loop in MavE may suggest a role for this protein in recruiting PTB domains, which predominantly exist on adaptor or scaffold proteins (49). The two substitution mutants, D64A and P78A, are essential for MavE function within hMDMs and A. polyphaga, with an additional mutant, H68A, to be essential in amoebae. Neither the N77A nor Y80A variant significantly impedes L. pneumophila replication within hMDMs, whereas all three NPxY motif variants are similarly attenuated in A. polyphaga. The attenuated replication of the D64A and H68A substitution mutants can be explained by disrupting the putative activity of MavE. However, it is plausible that certain substitutions of residues (D/H) for a nonpolar one (A) may alter the structure due to differences in electronegativity. Our findings highlight the significance of the NPxY motif, and specifically H68A, to the function of MavE and possible L. pneumophila divergence between human and amoeba hosts. The variation between essential MavE function within hMDMs and A. polyphaga of the substitution mutants may be due to the evolutionary distance between host cells. The evolution of amoeba host-specific effectors that modulate amoeba-specific cellular processes by L. pneumophila may explain why only two substitution variants of MavE result in loss of its function in biogenesis of the LCV in hMDMs.

The proline residue within NPxY has been shown to form a β -turn structure that distinguishes this motif from the similar NxxY sequence, which is also recognized by adaptor proteins (87). This structural distinction between NPxY and NxxY provides a source of specificity for adaptor proteins (88). Our results suggest that the NPxY motif of MavE has a β -turn structure that is critical for its interaction with host adaptors as well as a metaeffector(s). Further investigation of these host adaptors and other PTB domain-containing proteins that are selective for NPxY motifs will provide additional insight into the role of MavE.

The primary hosts of *L. pneumophila* are lower eukaryotes, such as free-living amoebae, which carry genes that encode a small number of PTB domain-containing proteins (89). Only two PTB domain-containing proteins are present in *Dictyostelium discoideum*, namely, Talin A and B (49). These proteins link the cytoplasmic domains of integrin β -subunits to actin filaments, and thereby promote the formation of cellular junctions with the extracellular matrix. *Acanthamoeba castellanii*, a natural host of *L. pneumophila*, also carries a gene that encodes a putative Talin protein (90). Since Talin is the only PTB domain-containing protein found in the natural hosts of *Legionella*, MavE may interfere with host processes requiring functional Talin (49). Specifically, the recruitment of Talin by MavE could disrupt the organization of host actin (91) and thus alter the standard progression of vacuolar biogenesis events. In this way, MavE may participate in evasion of LCV-lysosome fusion.

Helices B and C of MavE (39-172) contain S102, H68, and D64 in a negatively charged pocket on the protein surface. Although these residues do not conform to the hydrogen bond network characteristic of a serine protease catalytic triad (92), their divergence from this pattern may be an artifact of crystal packing. Indeed, conformational changes in the flexible loop connecting helices B and C may affect the orientation of these two helices relative to one another. In addition, the electron density of the loop connecting helices C and D is unclear, suggesting flexibility in this region. Since movement of this loop would affect the orientation of helix C, this too could contribute to structural changes required to form the canonical catalytic triad. It is possible that our C-terminal truncation produced conformational changes in the soluble domain of MavE, which widen the putative active site and render it noncatalytic. Alternatively, the catalytic triad containing Ser102, His68, and Asp64 found in MavE may not exhibit a protease catalytic activity. Further studies evaluating the proteolytic activity of MavE will be informative.

In summary, our data show that MavE is involved in the acquisition of ER-derived membranes by the LCV and in evasion of lysosomal fusion. It is likely that both functions depend on the NPxY motif located within a solvent-exposed loop on the MavE structure. By mediating interactions with adaptor proteins, the NPxY motif of MavE may coordinate LCV trafficking through interaction with host adaptor proteins and other *L. pneumophila* metaeffectors such as YIfA/LegC7. Because of the unusually large repertoire of effectors, it is likely that additional *L. pneumophila* effectors also function to facilitate lysosomal evasion by the LCV (21, 47, 93). These effectors are likely to function in concert with temporal coordination among the effectors to enable ER remodeling and lysosomal evasion of the LCV. Future studies identifying any key players that interact with MavE as a complex and function with MavE in lysosomal evasion will generate a broader understanding of the main virulence strategy utilized by *L. pneumophila*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and cell lines. Legionella pneumophila strain AA100/130b (ATCC BAA-74), and the T4SS-deficient mutant (IspG) were grown on BCYE agar (17). To generate an isogenic mavE deletion mutant, 2 kb of DNA flanking either side of the mavE gene was amplified using PCR using primers listed in Table 1 and cloned into the shuttle vector, pBCSK+ (Stratagene), resulting in pBCSK+mavEKO1. To delete the entire mavE gene within pBCSK-mavEKO1, inverse PCR was employed using primers listed in Table 1, resulting in pBCSK+mavEKO2. The kanamycin resistance cassette from the Ez-Tn5 transposon was amplified using primers listed in Table 1, and the resulting PCR product was subcloned into pBCSK+mavEKO2 in between the mavE-flanking DNA regions using standard molecular biology procedures, resulting in pBCSK+mavEKO3. This plasmid was introduced into L. pneumophila AA100 via natural transformation, as described previously (94). Following 3 days, natural transformants were recovered by plating on BCYE agar supplemented with 50 μ g/ml kanamycin. To confirm deletion of the mavE gene in the transformants, PCR was used using the primers listed in Table 1. To complement the mavE mutant, PCR was used to amplify the mavE gene and its upstream promoter region using primers listed in Table 1 and subcloned into pBCSK+, generating pBCSK+mavE/C. This plasmid was introduced into the mavE mutant via electroporation as described previously (95). Complemented mavE mutants were selected on BCYE plates supplemented with $5 \,\mu$ g/ml chloramphenicol, resulting in the complemented strain, mavE/C. For infections of cell monolayers, L. pneumophila was grown in BCYE agar plates with appropriate antibiotic selection at 37°C for 3 days prior to use in infections as described previously (96). Human monocyte-derived macrophages (hMDMs) were isolated from healthy donors and cultured in RPMI 1640 (Corning Cellgro) as described previously (96, 97). HEK293T cells (ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum as previously described (96, 97). All methods were carried out and approved in accordance with the University of Louisville Institutional Review Board guidelines, and blood donors gave informed consent as required by the University of Louisville Institutional Review Board (IRB 04.0358).

DNA manipulations. DNA manipulations, generation of MavE substitutions, and restriction enzyme digestions were performed using standard procedures (97, 98). WT *L. pneumophila* expressing HA₄-PieE was obtained from the MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection. The MavE construct replaced the PieE sequence using the same methods and restriction sites previously described (99). Restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were purchased from NEB (Madison, WI). Plasmid preparations were performed with the PureLink HiPure Plasmid Maxiprep kit (Invitrogen). Purification of DNA fragments from agarose gels for subcloning was carried out with the QIAquick gel purification kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA).

TABLE 1 Primers used in this study^a

Primer ^b	Orientation	Sequence
Primers used to clone mavE and flanking DNA to		
generate the <i>mavE</i> mutant (pBCSK plasmid)		
MavE KO	F	GTCGACAGGTAATTTCTGATAATGAAC
MavE KO	R	TCTAGAATAGAGCCGTTGGAAGAAAGT
Primers used for inverse PCR to delete <i>mavE</i> from		
the above fragment to make the <i>mavE</i> mutant		
MavE KO	F	aaatttGTTTAAACGGAAGTGTTTACAGGATT
MavE KO	R	CCTGCAGGAGGTAGTGTTTTATACTAA
Primers used to clone into pBCSK to complement		
the <i>mavE</i> mutant		
MavE/C	F	AAGCTTATTATATAATGATTTATCAATTT
MavE/C	R	GGATCCTATTTGGTCCATCTTGAAC
Primers used to confirm KO of mavE in L. pneumophila		
MavE KO test	F	TTTTATATCTTTAGGTTCATTCA
MavE KO test	R	CTTGAAACGACCGTATTTG
Primers used for cloning into the p3XFLAG vector		
for eukaryotic expression		
3XFLAG mavE	F	AAGCTTCTGACTCGATTCATAATGCTTT
3XFLAG mavE	R	AGATCTTTATGGTTTGTTGCCAAACAAC
Primers used for cloning into the 4HA vector		
for bacterial expression		
HA MavE	F	ggatccCTGACTCGATTCATAATGC
HA MavE	R	aagcttTTATGGTTTGTTGCCAAACA

^aAll primers are 5'-phosphorylated.

^bKO, knockout.

^cF, forward; R, reverse.

Intracellular replication in hMDMs and amoeba. For infection of cell monolayers, *L. pneumophila* strains were grown in BYE broth with appropriate antibiotic selection, at 37°C with shaking, to post-exponential phase (optical density at 550 nm [OD₅₅₀] of 2.1 to 2.2). *A. polyphaga* (ATCC) was cultured in PYG medium at 22°C, and experiments were performed in PY medium at 35°C as previously described (96). Human monocyte-derived macrophages were isolated from healthy donors and cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum as previously described (96, 97).

The wild-type strain, *T4SS* and *mavE* isogenic mutants, and *mavE/C*, *mavE/Y80*, *mavE/H68*, *mavE/P78*, *mavE/N77*, *mavE/D64*, *mavE-pBCsk*, *mavE-4HA*, and *WT-pBCsk* complemented strains were grown to postexponential phase in BYE broth at 37°C with shaking prior to infection and used to infect hMDMs and *A*. *polyphaga* as previously described (96, 97). A total of 1×10^5 host cells were plated in 96-well plates and infected with *L. pneumophila* at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. The plates were centrifuged at $200 \times g$ (5 min) to synchronize infection. After 1 h, cells were treated with gentamicin to kill extracellular bacteria as previously described (96, 97). Over a 24-h time course, host cells were lysed with sterile water (hMDMs) or 0.02% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 (*A. polyphaga*). *L. pneumophila* CFU were determined by plating serial dilutions onto BCYE agar.

Transfection of HEK-293 cells (ATCC). The *mavE* gene was cloned into the mammalian expression vector, p3XFlag-CMV-10 (Sigma). To generate the *mavE-9L¹⁰P/AA* allele, the wild-type p3XFlag-CMV-10 MavE plasmid was used as a template for PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis. HEK-293 cells (ATCC) were grown to 80% confluence and plated onto poly-L-lysine-treated coverslips in 24-well plates. Following 24 h of incubation, HEK293T cell monolayers were transfected with $\sim 2 \mu g$ of plasmid DNA encoding 3×-FLAG MavE/well by using polyethylenimine (Polysciences) for 24 h, following the manufacturer's recommendations (Roche) as previously described (100, 101).

Mouse model. For testing the virulence of the *mavE* mutant, specific-pathogen-free, 6- to 8-weekold A/J mice (Jackson) were used, as previously described (97, 102). Groups of three A/J mice, for each time point, were infected intratracheally with 1×10^6 CFU. The wild-type strain, the *mavE* isogenic mutant, and complemented *mavE/C* strains were grown to post-exponential phase on BCYE plates at 37° C for 72 h prior to infection and used to infect A/J mice. At 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h after infection, mice were humanely sacrificed, and their lungs, livers, and spleens were harvested and homogenized in sterile saline (5 ml), followed by cell lysis in distilled water. To determine CFU, serial 10-fold dilutions were plated on BCYE agar and incubated at 37° C for 72 h, and colonies were enumerated. The percent survival was recorded for groups of five A/J mice infected using an inoculation of 1×10^7 CFU (LD₅₀) for WT, *mavE*, and *mavE/C* strains from 0 to 10 days postinfection. All the experimental procedures were in accordance with national guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee (IACUC) at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Rijeka. To determine any level of difference between groups, a value of "E" (the degree of freedom of analysis of variance or ANOVA), which should lie between 10 and 20 was determined to be 12 based on our sample size. (E = total number of animals - total number of groups).

Confocal microscopy. Processing of infected cells for confocal microscopy was performed as we described previously (97). 4HA-tagged MavE constructs in both wild-type and T4SS L. pneumophila were analyzed for confocal microscopy following 1-h infection in hMDMs. Colocalization of the LCVs containing WT, FK-WT, and mavE strains and mavE/C, mavE/Y80, mavE/H68, mavE/P78, mavE/N77, mavE/D64, mavE-pBCsk, and WT-pBCsk complemented strains were analyzed for confocal microscopy following 2-h infection in hMDMs. Cells were prepared using the same protocol for intracellular replication except 2×10^5 host cells were plated on coverslips in 24-well plates. The monolayers were infected with *L. pneu*mophila at an MOI of 10. Plates were centrifuged at $200 \times g$ (5 min) to synchronize infection. After 1 h, cells were treated with gentamicin to kill extracellular bacteria as previously described (96, 97). For 4HAtagged MavE constructs, following fixation in 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF), the plasma membranes of infected hMDMs were differentially permeabilized using digitonin at 1 mg/ml in KHM buffer and incubated for exactly 1 min at room temperature (RT), and all wells were then immediately washed three times with 0.5 ml of KHM buffer (103). For all other confocal experiments, cells were fixed in -20°C methanol for 5 min and rinsed three times in 10% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). For antibody labeling for MavE, mouse anti-L. pneumophila was used at a dilution of 1:500 and detected by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and rabbit anti-MavE (ThermoFisher Scientific) was used at a dilution of 1:500 and detected by Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). For antibody labeling for localization, rabbit anti-L. pneumophila was used at a dilution of 1:750 and detected by Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), mouse monoclonal anti-Cathepsin D (Abcam) was used at a dilution of 1:200 and detected by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), mouse monoclonal anti-KDEL (Enzo) was used at a dilution of 1:200 and detected by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at a 1:1,000 dilution, mouse monoclonal anti-Lamp 1 (abcam) was used at a dilution of 1:200 and detected by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at a dilution of 1:1,000. For detection of 3×-FLAG-tagged proteins during transfection experiments, mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG (Sigma) antibodies were used followed by detection with Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated donkey antimouse (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at a 1:1,000 dilution. 4',6'-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used for all experiments at a 1:5,000 dilution. An Olympus FV1000 laser scanning confocal microscope was used in-house to examine cells as we described previously (104). On average, 10 to 20 0.5-µm serial Z sections of each image were captured and stored for further analyses, using Adobe Photoshop CS3. A total of 100 cells for each replicate were analyzed for the presence or absence of localization.

Cloning of recombinant MavE. The MavE (lpg2344) gene was amplified from *L. pneumophila* (Philadelphia) genomic DNA by PCR. Residues 183 to 204 are predicted to comprise a transmembrane (TM) region (Phobius program) (105). To clone only the soluble domain of MavE, we amplified the DNA sequence encoding residues 2 to 172. This construct terminates just after the final hydrophilic helical stretch and excludes the following loop and TM region. The MavE(2-172) insert DNA sequence was placed into pMCSG7 and pRL652 vectors by ligation-independent cloning (LIC), incorporating an N-terminal tobacco etch virus (TEV)-cleavable His6 or glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag, respectively (106, 107). His6-MavE (2-172) expressed poorly in BL21(DE3) pLys6 (Promega) and GST-MavE (2-172) did not readily bind the glutathione resin. Running PsiBLAST on MavE showed that most homologous proteins have start sites corresponding to residue M38. To explore the possibility of a misannotated start site, we amplified MavE (39-172) using the following primers: sense, 5'-TACTTCCAATCCAATGCCATGGCATTTGGC AATTAATGCTG', and antisense, 5'-TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTTTGGTCTTTGAGTTTGGC AATTAATTCTT-3'. As previously described, the MavE (39-172) DNA insert was placed into pMCSG7 and pRL652 vectors via LIC using the extensions underlined above. This construct of MavE was used for expression, purification, and crystallization trials.

Protein expression and purification. His6-MavE (39-172) was transformed into chemically competent BL21(DE3) pLysS cells and plated on LB agar containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml). A single transformant was inoculated into 20 ml of LB supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g/ml) and glucose (0.4%) and grown overnight at 37°C. This overnight culture was subcultured into 1 liter of terrific broth (TB) supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g/ml) and grown at 37°C. Once the cell culture reached an optical density (A_{600}) of ~1.0, the temperature was reduced to 18°C, 1 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to the culture to induce protein expression, and the cells were incubated for approximately 16 more hours. Cells were pelleted at 6,900 \times g for 15 min in a Beckman JLA 8.1000 rotor and stored at -80°C until further processed. Approximately 10 g of pellet was obtained from 1 liter of culture. Cells were resuspended in 30 ml of a lysis buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 10% [vol/vol] glycerol, 0.1% [vol/vol] Triton X-100) and lysed two times at 35 kPsi in a cell disruptor (Constant Cell Disruption Systems, Kennesaw, GA). The lysate was spun at $21,000 \times g$ for 30 min in a Beckman JA25.50 rotor. Supernatant was added to 5 ml of Qiagen NiNTA beads preequilibrated with 3 column volumes of a standard buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 50 mM NaCl), and the beads were washed with 50 ml of standard buffer. Protein was eluted with standard buffer supplemented with 100 mM imidazole. Purified protein was concentrated to 18 mg/ml in a 10-kDa molecular weight cutoff Millipore centrifugal filter at $4,000 \times g$. The hexahistidine tag was cleaved by adding $100 \,\mu$ I TEV protease to $500 \,\mu$ I concentrated MavE and incubating overnight at room temperature. Untagged MavE was then loaded onto a Bio-Rad SEC70 or GE SEC75 column for buffer exchange and further purification. Peak fractions were collected and concentrated to 25 mg/ml for crystallization.

A seleno-methionine derivative of MavE (38-172) was produced by inhibiting methionine biosynthesis immediately prior to induction. Specifically, 100 mg lysine, phenylalanine, and threonine and 50 mg isoleucine, leucine, and valine were added to 1 liter of culture 15 min prior to induction. L-Seleno-methionine (60 mg) was also added to the culture, such that this version of methionine would be incorporated into overexpressed MavE during induction.

Crystallization. Both His6-MavE (39-172) and MavE (39-172) were screened for crystallization using Crystal Screen HT, Index (Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, CA), JCSG Core II and Classics Suite (Qiagen, Toronto, Canada). His6-MavE (39-172) did not crystallize under any of the conditions tested, whereas MavE (39-172) produced crystals under several conditions. After optimization by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method, the best crystals were obtained at 20°C in drops containing 1 μ I protein in 15 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8.0) and 50 mM NaCI mixed with 1 μ I of reservoir solution (10% PEG 20000, 0.1 M citrate [pH 3.0]) and suspended over 500 μ I reservoir solution.

Data collection and structure solution. The protein crystals were cryo-protected by transferring to 1 μ l mother liquor containing 20% (vol/vol) ethylene glycol. Diffraction data were collected to 1.8 Å at the Canadian Macromolecular Crystallography Facility (CMCF) 08ID beamline, Canadian Light Source, using a MAR300CCD detector (108). Integration and scaling were carried out using the XDS software package (109) (autoprocess). MavE (39-172) harbors only one methionine at residue 51, and substitution of this residue for seleno-methionine produced sufficient anomalous signal to solve the structure by single anomalous dispersion (SAD) using the phenix.autosolve script. Refinement of the structure was carried out using phenix.refine (110).

Statistical analysis. All experiments were performed with at least three independent biological repeats, and the data shown are representative of one experiment. To analyze for statistically significant differences between three sets of data, the two-tailed Student's *t* test was used, and the *P* value was obtained (* indicates $P \le 0.05$, ** indicates $P \le 0.01$, and *** indicates $P \le 0.001$).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **FIG S1**, PDF file, 0.2 MB. **FIG S2**, PDF file, 0.6 MB. **FIG S3**, PDF file, 0.9 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Y.A.K. lab is supported by Public Health Service Awards R01Al120244 and R01Al140195 from the NIAID and by the Commonwealth of Kentucky Research Challenge Trust Fund. The M.C. lab is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research grant MOP 48370. The X-ray data were collected at the Canadian Light Source, which is supported by the Canada Foundation for Innovation, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the University of Saskatchewan, the Government of Saskatchewan, Western Economic Diversification Canada, the National Research Council Canada, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. The M.S. lab is supported by Uniribiomed-128 and Croatian Science Foundation (HRZZ-IP-2016-9003) funds.

REFERENCES

- Sachdeva K, Sundaramurthy V. 2020. The interplay of host lysosomes and intracellular pathogens. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:595502. https://doi .org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.595502.
- Leseigneur C, Lê-Bury P, Pizarro-Cerdá J, Dussurget O. 2020. Emerging evasion mechanisms of macrophage defenses by pathogenic bacteria. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:577559. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.577559.
- Thomas DR, Newton P, Lau N, Newton HJ. 2020. Interfering with autophagy: the opposing strategies deployed by Legionella pneumophila and Coxiella burnetii effector proteins. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:599762. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.599762.
- Kubelkova K, Macela A. 2019. Innate immune recognition: an issue more complex than expected. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:241. https://doi .org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00241.
- Leipheimer J, Bloom ALM, Panepinto JC. 2019. Protein kinases at the intersection of translation and virulence. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:318. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00318.
- Wang X, Sun J, Wan L, Yang X, Lin H, Zhang Y, He X, Zhong H, Guan K, Min M, Sun Z, Yang X, Wang B, Dong M, Wei C. 2020. The Shigella type III secretion effector IpaH4.5 targets NLRP3 to activate inflammasome signaling. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:511798. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcimb.2020.511798.
- 7. Best A, Abu Kwaik Y. 2018. Evolution of the arsenal of Legionella

pneumophila effectors to modulate protist hosts. mBio 9:e01313-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01313-18.

- Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE. 2002. Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. Clin Microbiol Rev 15:506–526. https://doi .org/10.1128/cmr.15.3.506-526.2002.
- Copenhaver AM, Casson CN, Nguyen HT, Fung TC, Duda MM, Roy CR, Shin S. 2014. Alveolar macrophages and neutrophils are the primary reservoirs for Legionella pneumophila and mediate cytosolic surveillance of type IV secretion. Infect Immun 82:4325–4336. https://doi.org/10.1128/ IAI.01891-14.
- de Felipe KS, Glover RT, Charpentier X, Anderson OR, Reyes M, Pericone CD, Shuman HA. 2008. Legionella eukaryotic-like type IV substrates interfere with organelle trafficking. PLoS Pathog 4:e1000117. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000117.
- Isberg RR, O'Connor TJ, Heidtman M. 2009. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole: making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:13–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1967.
- Pike CM, Boyer-Andersen R, Kinch LN, Caplan JL, Neunuebel MR. 2019. The Legionella effector RavD binds phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate and helps suppress endolysosomal maturation of the Legionella-containing vacuole. J Biol Chem 294:6405–6415. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc .RA118.007086.

- Horwitz MA. 1983. Formation of a novel phagosome by the Legionnaires' disease bacterium (Legionella pneumophila) in human monocytes. J Exp Med 158:1319–1331. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.158.4.1319.
- 14. Swanson MS, Isberg RR. 1995. Association of Legionella pneumophila with the macrophage endoplasmic reticulum. Infect Immun 63:3609–3620. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.63.9.3609-3620.1995.
- Derre I, Isberg RR. 2004. Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole formation involves rapid recruitment of proteins of the early secretory system. Infect Immun 72:3048–3053. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.72.5.3048 -3053.2004.
- Ghosal D, Chang YW, Jeong KC, Vogel JP, Jensen GJ. 2017. In situ structure of the Legionella Dot/Icm type IV secretion system by electron cryotomography. EMBO Rep 18:726–732. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201643598.
- Zink SD, Pedersen L, Cianciotto NP, Abu-Kwaik Y. 2002. The Dot/Icm type IV secretion system of Legionella pneumophila is essential for the induction of apoptosis in human macrophages. Infect Immun 70:1657–1663. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.70.3.1657-1663.2002.
- Sol A, Lipo E, de Jesus-Diaz DA, Murphy C, Devereux M, Isberg RR. 2019. Legionella pneumophila translocated translation inhibitors are required for bacterial-induced host cell cycle arrest. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:3221–3228. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1820093116.
- Huang L, Boyd D, Amyot WM, Hempstead AD, Luo ZQ, O'Connor TJ, Chen C, Machner M, Montminy T, Isberg RR. 2011. The E Block motif is associated with Legionella pneumophila translocated substrates. Cell Microbiol 13:227–245. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2010.01531.x.
- Kitao T, Nagai H, Kubori T. 2020. Divergence of Legionella effectors reversing conventional and unconventional ubiquitination. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:448. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00448.
- Bennett TL, Kraft SM, Reaves BJ, Mima J, O'Brien KM, Starai VJ. 2013. LegC3, an effector protein from Legionella pneumophila, inhibits homotypic yeast vacuole fusion in vivo and in vitro. PLoS One 8:e56798. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056798.
- Richards AM, Von Dwingelo JE, Price CT, Abu Kwaik Y. 2013. Cellular microbiology and molecular ecology of Legionella-amoeba interaction. Virulence 4:307–314. https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.24290.
- Kotewicz KM, Ramabhadran V, Sjoblom N, Vogel JP, Haenssler E, Zhang M, Behringer J, Scheck RA, Isberg RR. 2017. A single Legionella effector catalyzes a multistep ubiquitination pathway to rearrange tubular endoplasmic reticulum for replication. Cell Host Microbe 21:169–181. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.12.007.
- Chakravarty S, Massé E. 2019. RNA-dependent regulation of virulence in pathogenic bacteria. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:337. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fcimb.2019.00337.
- Fromme JC, Segev N. 2014. Regulation of vesicle trafficking: GTPases and friends. Mol Biol Cell 25:732. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E14-01-0017.
- Weber MM, Faris R. 2018. Subversion of the endocytic and secretory pathways by bacterial effector proteins. Front Cell Dev Biol 6:1. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2018.00001.
- Tsai AY, English BC, Tsolis RM. 2019. Hostile takeover: hijacking of endoplasmic reticulum function by T4SS and T3SS effectors creates a niche for intracellular pathogens. Microbiol Spectr 7(3). https://doi.org/10.1128/ microbiolspec.PSIB-0027-2019.
- Joshi AD, Swanson MS. 2011. Secrets of a successful pathogen: legionella resistance to progression along the autophagic pathway. Front Microbiol 2:138. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00138.
- Dong N, Niu M, Hu L, Yao Q, Zhou R, Shao F. 2016. Modulation of membrane phosphoinositide dynamics by the phosphatidylinositide 4-kinase activity of the Legionella LepB effector. Nat Microbiol 2:16236. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.236.
- Lopez de Armentia MM, Amaya C, Colombo MI. 2016. Rab GTPases and the autophagy pathway: bacterial targets for a suitable biogenesis and trafficking of their own vacuoles. Cells 5:11. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cells5010011.
- Lee HJ, Woo Y, Hahn TW, Jung YM, Jung YJ. 2020. Formation and maturation of the phagosome: a key mechanism in innate immunity against intracellular bacterial infection. Microorganisms 8:1298. https://doi.org/10 .3390/microorganisms8091298.
- Omotade TO, Roy CR. 2019. Manipulation of host cell organelles by intracellular pathogens. Microbiol Spectr 7(2). https://doi.org/10.1128/ microbiolspec.BAI-0022-2019.
- Swart AL, Hilbi H. 2020. Phosphoinositides and the fate of Legionella in phagocytes. Front Immunol 11:25. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020 .00025.
- 34. Ensminger AW. 2016. Legionella pneumophila, armed to the hilt:

justifying the largest arsenal of effectors in the bacterial world. Curr Opin Microbiol 29:74–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.11.002.

- Younes S, Al-Sulaiti A, Nasser EAA, Najjar H, Kamareddine L. 2020. Drosophila as a model organism in host–pathogen interaction studies. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:214. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00214.
- 36. Li P, Vassiliadis D, Ong SY, Bennett-Wood V, Sugimoto C, Yamagishi J, Hartland EL, Pasricha S. 2020. Legionella pneumophila infection rewires the Acanthamoeba castellanii transcriptome, highlighting a class of sirtuin genes. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:428. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcimb.2020.00428.
- Ghosh S, O'Connor TJ. 2017. Beyond paralogs: the multiple layers of redundancy in bacterial pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7:467. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00467.
- Luo ZQ, Isberg RR. 2004. Multiple substrates of the Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm system identified by interbacterial protein transfer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:841–846. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0304916101.
- O'Connor TJ, Adepoju Y, Boyd D, Isberg RR. 2011. Minimization of the Legionella pneumophila genome reveals chromosomal regions involved in host range expansion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:14733–14740. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111678108.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- 41. Mount DW. 2007. Using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). CSH Protoc 2007:pdb.top17. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.top17.
- 42. Urbanus ML, Quaile AT, Stogios PJ, Morar M, Rao C, Di Leo R, Evdokimova E, Lam M, Oatway C, Cuff ME, Osipiuk J, Michalska K, Nocek BP, Taipale M, Savchenko A, Ensminger AW. 2016. Diverse mechanisms of metaeffector activity in an intracellular bacterial pathogen, Legionella pneumophila. Mol Syst Biol 12:893. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20167381.
- 43. O'Brien KM, Lindsay EL, Starai VJ. 2015. The Legionella pneumophila effector protein, LegC7, alters yeast endosomal trafficking. PLoS One 10: e0116824. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116824.
- 44. Jahn R, Scheller RH. 2006. SNAREs–engines for membrane fusion. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 7:631–643. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2002.
- Shi X, Halder P, Yavuz H, Jahn R, Shuman HA. 2016. Direct targeting of membrane fusion by SNARE mimicry: convergent evolution of Legionella effectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:8807–8812. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1608755113.
- 46. Campodonico EM, Chesnel L, Roy CR. 2005. A yeast genetic system for the identification and characterization of substrate proteins transferred into host cells by the Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm system. Mol Microbiol 56:918–933. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04595.x.
- 47. Campodonico EM, Roy CR, Ninio S. 2016. Legionella pneumophila type IV effectors YIfA and YIfB are SNARE-like proteins that form homo- and heteromeric complexes and enhance the efficiency of vacuole remodeling. PLoS One 11:e0159698. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159698.
- Bonifacino JS, Traub LM. 2003. Signals for sorting of transmembrane proteins to endosomes and lysosomes. Annu Rev Biochem 72:395–447. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.72.121801.161800.
- Uhlik MT, Temple B, Bencharit S, Kimple AJ, Siderovski DP, Johnson GL. 2005. Structural and evolutionary division of phosphotyrosine binding (PTB) domains. J Mol Biol 345:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004 .10.038.
- Pandey KN. 2009. Functional roles of short sequence motifs in the endocytosis of membrane receptors. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 14:5339–5360. https://doi.org/10.2741/3599.
- Ghosal D, Jeong KC, Chang YW, Gyore J, Teng L, Gardner A, Vogel JP, Jensen GJ. 2019. Molecular architecture, polar targeting and biogenesis of the Legionella Dot/Icm T4SS. Nat Microbiol 4:1173–1182. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41564-019-0427-4.
- Price CT, Al-Quadan T, Santic M, Rosenshine I, Abu Kwaik Y. 2011. Host proteasomal degradation generates amino acids essential for intracellular bacterial growth. Science 334:1553–1557. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .1212868.
- 53. Holm L. 2020. DALI and the persistence of protein shape. Protein Sci 29:128–140. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3749.
- Rajashankar K, Bufe A, Weber W, Eschenburg S, Lindner B, Betzel C. 2002. Structure of the functional domain of the major grass-pollen allergen Phlp 5b. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 58:1175–1181. https://doi .org/10.1107/s0907444902007254.
- 55. Pechstein J, Schulze-Luehrmann J, Bisle S, Cantet F, Beare PA, Ölke M, Bonazzi M, Berens C, Lührmann A. 2020. The Coxiella burnetii T4SS

effector AnkF is important for intracellular replication. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:559915. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.559915.

- Hayward RJ, Marsh JW, Humphrys MS, Huston WM, Myers GSA. 2019. Early transcriptional landscapes of Chlamydia trachomatis-infected epithelial cells at single cell resolution. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:392. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00392.
- Kunz TC, Götz R, Sauer M, Rudel T. 2019. Detection of Chlamydia developmental forms and secreted effectors by expansion microscopy. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:276. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00276.
- Mnich ME, van Dalen R, van Sorge NM. 2020. C-type lectin receptors in host defense against bacterial pathogens. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:309. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00309.
- Lou L, Zhang P, Piao R, Wang Y. 2019. Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1) and its complex regulatory network. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:270. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00270.
- Schesser Bartra S, Lorica C, Qian L, Gong X, Bahnan W, Barreras H, Jr, Hernandez R, Li Z, Plano GV, Schesser K. 2019. Chromosomally-encoded Yersinia pestis type III secretion effector proteins promote infection in cells and in mice. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:23. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fcimb.2019.00023.
- Monjarás Feria J, Valvano MA. 2020. An overview of anti-eukaryotic T6SS effectors. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:584751. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcimb.2020.584751.
- Maurin M. 2020. Francisella tularensis, tularemia and serological diagnosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:512090. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb .2020.512090.
- Kamanova J. 2020. Bordetella type III secretion injectosome and effector proteins. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:466. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcimb.2020.00466.
- Çakır T, Panagiotou G, Uddin R, Durmuş S. 2020. Novel approaches for systems biology of metabolism-oriented pathogen-human interactions: a mini-review. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:52. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcimb.2020.00052.
- Augenstreich J, Briken V. 2020. Host cell targets of released lipid and secreted protein effectors of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:595029. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.595029.
- Mohareer K, Medikonda J, Vadankula GR, Banerjee S. 2020. Mycobacterial control of host mitochondria: bioenergetic and metabolic changes shaping cell fate and infection outcome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:457. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00457.
- Green RS, Izac JR, Naimi WA, O'Bier N, Breitschwerdt EB, Marconi RT, Carlyon JA. 2020. Ehrlichia chaffeensis EplA interaction with host cell protein disulfide isomerase promotes infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:500. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00500.
- Gan J, Scott NE, Newson JPM, Wibawa RR, Wong Fok Lung T, Pollock GL, Ng GZ, van Driel I, Pearson JS, Hartland EL, Giogha C. 2020. The Salmonella effector SseK3 targets small Rab GTPases. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:419. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00419.
- Saha S, Das P, BoseDasgupta S. 2020. "It takes two to tango": role of neglected macrophage manipulators Coronin 1 and protein kinase G in mycobacterial pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:582563. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.582563.
- Mambu J, Barilleau E, Fragnet-Trapp L, Le Vern Y, Olivier M, Sadrin G, Grépinet O, Taieb F, Velge P, Wiedemann A. 2020. Rck of Salmonella Typhimurium delays the host cell cycle to facilitate bacterial invasion. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:586934. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.586934.
- Curto P, Riley SP, Simões I, Martinez JJ. 2019. Macrophages infected by a pathogen and a non-pathogen spotted fever group Rickettsia reveal differential reprogramming signatures early in infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:97. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00097.
- Curto P, Santa C, Allen P, Manadas B, Simões I, Martinez JJ. 2019. A pathogen and a non-pathogen spotted fever group Rickettsia trigger differential proteome signatures in macrophages. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:43. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00043.
- Maurya RK, Bharti S, Krishnan MY. 2018. Triacylglycerols: fuelling the hibernating Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 8:450. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00450.
- 74. Del Portillo P, García-Morales L, Menéndez MC, Anzola JM, Rodríguez JG, Helguera-Repetto AC, Ares MA, Prados-Rosales R, Gonzalez-y-Merchand JA, García MJ. 2019. Hypoxia is not a main stress when Mycobacterium tuberculosis is in a dormancy-like long-chain fatty acid environment. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00449.
- 75. Snäkä T, Fasel N. 2020. Behind the scenes: Nod-like receptor X1 controls

inflammation and metabolism. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:609812. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.609812.

- Sherwood RK, Roy CR. 2016. Autophagy evasion and endoplasmic reticulum subversion: the yin and yang of Legionella intracellular infection. Annu Rev Microbiol 70:413–433. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro -102215-095557.
- 77. Price C, Jones S, Mihelcic M, Santic M, Abu Kwaik Y. 2020. Paradoxical pro-inflammatory responses by human macrophages to an amoebae host-adapted Legionella effector. Cell Host Microbe 27:571–584.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.03.003.
- Arora SK, Naqvi N, Alam A, Ahmad J, Alsati BS, Sheikh JA, Kumar P, Mitra DK, Rahman SA, Hasnain SE, Ehtesham NZ. 2020. Mycobacterium smegmatis bacteria expressing Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific Rv1954A induce macrophage activation and modulate the immune response. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:564565. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb .2020.564565.
- 79. Garg R, Borbora SM, Bansia H, Rao S, Singh P, Verma R, Balaji KN, Nagaraja V. 2020. Mycobacterium tuberculosis calcium pump CtpF modulates the autophagosome in an mTOR-dependent manner. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:461. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00461.
- 80. Tilney LG, Harb OS, Connelly PS, Robinson CG, Roy CR. 2001. How the parasitic bacterium Legionella pneumophila modifies its phagosome and transforms it into rough ER: implications for conversion of plasma membrane to the ER membrane. J Cell Sci 114:4637–4650.
- Ninio S, Roy CR. 2007. Effector proteins translocated by Legionella pneumophila: strength in numbers. Trends Microbiol 15:372–380. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.tim.2007.06.006.
- Shames SR, Liu L, Havey JC, Schofield WB, Goodman AL, Roy CR. 2017. Multiple Legionella pneumophila effector virulence phenotypes revealed through high-throughput analysis of targeted mutant libraries. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:E10446–E10454. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1708553114.
- Qiu J, Sheedlo MJ, Yu K, Tan Y, Nakayasu ES, Das C, Liu X, Luo ZQ. 2016. Ubiquitination independent of E1 and E2 enzymes by bacterial effectors. Nature 533:120–124. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17657.
- Jeong KC, Ghosal D, Chang YW, Jensen GJ, Vogel JP. 2017. Polar delivery of Legionella type IV secretion system substrates is essential for virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:8077–8082. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1621438114.
- Steiner B, Swart AL, Welin A, Weber S, Personnic N, Kaech A, Freyre C, Ziegler U, Klemm RW, Hilbi H. 2017. ER remodeling by the large GTPase atlastin promotes vacuolar growth of Legionella pneumophila. EMBO Rep 18:1817–1836. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201743903.
- Sain N, Tiwari G, Mohanty D. 2016. Understanding the molecular basis of substrate binding specificity of PTB domains. Sci Rep 6:31418. https://doi .org/10.1038/srep31418.
- Legate KR, Fassler R. 2009. Mechanisms that regulate adaptor binding to beta-integrin cytoplasmic tails. J Cell Sci 122:187–198. https://doi.org/10 .1242/jcs.041624.
- Smith MJ, Hardy WR, Murphy JM, Jones N, Pawson T. 2006. Screening for PTB domain binding partners and ligand specificity using proteomederived NPXY peptide arrays. Mol Cell Biol 26:8461–8474. https://doi .org/10.1128/MCB.01491-06.
- Jin J, Xie X, Chen C, Park JG, Stark C, James DA, Olhovsky M, Linding R, Mao Y, Pawson T. 2009. Eukaryotic protein domains as functional units of cellular evolution. Sci Signal 2:ra76. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal .2000546.
- 90. Clarke M, Lohan AJ, Liu B, Lagkouvardos I, Roy S, Zafar N, Bertelli C, Schilde C, Kianianmomeni A, Burglin TR, Frech C, Turcotte B, Kopec KO, Synnott JM, Choo C, Paponov I, Finkler A, Heng Tan CS, Hutchins AP, Weinmeier T, Rattei T, Chu JS, Gimenez G, Irimia M, Rigden DJ, Fitzpatrick DA, Lorenzo-Morales J, Bateman A, Chiu CH, Tang P, Hegemann P, Fromm H, Raoult D, Greub G, Miranda-Saavedra D, Chen N, Nash P, Ginger ML, Horn M, Schaap P, Caler L, Loftus BJ. 2013. Genome of Acanthamoeba castellanii highlights extensive lateral gene transfer and early evolution of tyrosine kinase signaling. Genome Biol 14:R11. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-2-r11.
- Gebbie L, Benghezal M, Cornillon S, Froquet R, Cherix N, Malbouyres M, Lefkir Y, Grangeasse C, Fache S, Dalous J, Bruckert F, Letourneur F, Cosson P. 2004. Phg2, a kinase involved in adhesion and focal site modeling in Dictyostelium. Mol Biol Cell 15:3915–3925. https://doi.org/10 .1091/mbc.e03-12-0908.
- Blankenship E, Vukoti K, Miyagi M, Lodowski DT. 2014. Conformational flexibility in the catalytic triad revealed by the high-resolution crystal

structure of Streptomyces erythraeus trypsin in an unliganded state. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 70:833–840. https://doi.org/10.1107/ S1399004713033658.

- Ge J, Shao F. 2011. Manipulation of host vesicular trafficking and innate immune defence by Legionella Dot/Icm effectors. Cell Microbiol 13:1870–1880. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2011.01710.x.
- Stone BJ, Kwaik YA. 1999. Natural competence for DNA transformation by Legionella pneumophila and its association with expression of type IV pili. J Bacteriol 181:1395–1402. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.5.1395 -1402.1999.
- Chen DQ, Huang SS, Lu YJ. 2006. Efficient transformation of Legionella pneumophila by high-voltage electroporation. Microbiol Res 161:246–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2005.09.001.
- Al-Khodor S, Price CT, Habyarimana F, Kalia A, Abu Kwaik Y. 2008. A Dot/ lcm-translocated ankyrin protein of Legionella pneumophila is required for intracellular proliferation within human macrophages and protozoa. Mol Microbiol 70:908–923. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06453.x.
- Price CT, Al-Khodor S, Al-Quadan T, Santic M, Habyarimana F, Kalia A, Kwaik YA. 2009. Molecular mimicry by an F-box effector of Legionella pneumophila hijacks a conserved polyubiquitination machinery within macrophages and protozoa. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000704. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.ppat.1000704.
- Stone BJ, Abu Kwaik Y. 1998. Expression of multiple pili by Legionella pneumophila: identification and characterization of a type IV pilin gene and its role in adherence to mammalian and protozoan cells. Infect Immun 66:1768–1775. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.66.4.1768-1775.1998.
- 99. Mousnier A, Schroeder GN, Stoneham CA, So EC, Garnett JA, Yu L, Matthews SJ, Choudhary JS, Hartland EL, Frankel G. 2014. A new method to determine in vivo interactomes reveals binding of the Legionella pneumophila effector PieE to multiple Rab GTPases. mBio 5:e01148-14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01148-14.
- Habyarimana F, Price CT, Santic M, Al-Khodor S, Kwaik YA. 2010. Molecular characterization of the Dot/Icm-translocated AnkH and AnkJ eukaryoticlike effectors of Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun 78:1123–1134. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00913-09.
- Al-Quadan T, Price CT, Abu Kwaik Y. 2012. Exploitation of evolutionarily conserved amoeba and mammalian processes by Legionella. Trends Microbiol 20:299–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.03.005.
- 102. Santic M, Asare R, Doric M, Abu Kwaik Y. 2007. Host-dependent trigger

of caspases and apoptosis by Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun 75:2903–2913. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00147-07.

- Meunier E, Broz P. 2015. Quantification of cytosolic vs. vacuolar Salmonella in primary macrophages by differential permeabilization. J Vis Exp 2015:e52960. https://doi.org/10.3791/52960.
- 104. Habyarimana F, Al-Khodor S, Kalia A, Graham JE, Price CT, Garcia MT, Kwaik YA. 2008. Role for the Ankyrin eukaryotic-like genes of Legionella pneumophila in parasitism of protozoan hosts and human macrophages. Environ Microbiol 10:1460–1474. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462 -2920.2007.01560.x.
- 105. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567–580. https://doi.org/10 .1006/jmbi.2000.4315.
- 106. Stols L, Gu M, Dieckman L, Raffen R, Collart FR, Donnelly MI. 2002. A new vector for high-throughput, ligation-independent cloning encoding a tobacco etch virus protease cleavage site. Protein Expr Purif 25:8–15. https://doi.org/10.1006/prep.2001.1603.
- 107. Voth KA, Chung IYW, van Straaten K, Li L, Boniecki MT, Cygler M. 2019. The structure of Legionella effector protein LpnE provides insights into its interaction with Oculocerebrorenal syndrome of Lowe (OCRL) protein. FEBS J 286:710–725. https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14710.
- 108. Grochulski P, Fodje MN, Gorin J, Labiuk SL, Berg R. 2011. Beamline 08ID-1, the prime beamline of the Canadian Macromolecular Crystallography Facility. J Synchrotron Radiat 18:681–684. https://doi.org/10 .1107/S0909049511019431.
- 109. Kabsch W. 2010. Xds. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:125–132. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909047337.
- 110. Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung LW, Jain S, Kapral GJ, Grosse Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner RD, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. 2011. The Phenix software for automated determination of macromolecular structures. Methods 55:94–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth .2011.07.005.
- 111. Belyi Y, Niggeweg R, Opitz B, Vogelsgesang M, Hippenstiel S, Wilm M, Aktories K. 2006. Legionella pneumophila glucosyltransferase inhibits host elongation factor 1A. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:16953–16958. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601562103.