

Differences in activated clotting time and total unfractionated heparin dose during pulmonary vein isolation in patients on different anticoagulation therapy

Željko, Ivan; Brusich, Sandro; Scherr, Daniel; Velagić, Vedran; Traykov, Vassil; Pernat, Andrej; Anić, Ante; Szavits Nossan, Janko; Jan, Matevz; Bakotić, Zoran; ...

Source / Izvornik: **Clinical Cardiology, 2021, 44, 1177 - 1182**

Journal article, Published version

Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.23681>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:184:626330>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](#)/[Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-10-06**




Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Medicine - FMRI Repository](#)



Differences in activated clotting time and total unfractionated heparin dose during pulmonary vein isolation in patients on different anticoagulation therapy

Ivan Zeljkovic MD, PhD¹ | Sandro Brusich MD, PhD² | Daniel Scherr MD, PhD³ |
Vedran Velagic MD, PhD⁴ | Vassil Traykov MD, PhD⁵ | Andrej Pernat MD, PhD⁶ |
Ante Anic MD⁷ | Janko Szavits Nossan MD⁸ | Matevz Jan MD⁹ |
Zoran Bakotic MD¹⁰ | Borka Pezo Nikolic MD, PhD⁴ | Vjekoslav Radeljic MD, PhD¹ |
Ana Bojko MD¹ | Ivica Benko BScN, MScN^{1,11} | Sime Manola MD, PhD^{1,11} |
Nikola Pavlovic MD, PhD^{1,11} 

¹Department of Cardiology, Sestre milosrdnice University Hospital Centre, Zagreb, Croatia

²Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Centre Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia

³Department of Cardiology, Medical University Graz, Graz, Austria

⁴Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

⁵Department of Cardiology, Acibadem City Clinic Tokuda Hospital, Sofia, Bulgaria

⁶Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁷Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Centre Split, Split, Croatia

⁸Department of Cardiology, Magdalena Clinic, Krapinske Toplice, Croatia

⁹Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

¹⁰Department of Cardiology, General Hospital Zadar, Zadar, Croatia

¹¹Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Dubrava, Zagreb, Croatia

Correspondence

Nikola Pavlovic, Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Dubrava, Av. Gojka Šuška 6, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia.
Email: nikolap12@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: Periprocedural pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) anticoagulation requires balancing between bleeding and thromboembolic risk. Intraprocedural anticoagulation is monitored by activated clotting time (ACT) with target value >300 s, and there are no guidelines specifying an initial unfractionated heparin (UFH) dose.

Methods: We aimed to assess differences in ACT values and UFH dosage during PVI in patients on different oral anticoagulants. We conducted an international, multi-center, registry-based study. Consecutive patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) undergoing PVI, on uninterrupted anticoagulation therapy, were analyzed. Before transseptal puncture, UFH bolus of 100 IU/kg was administered regardless of the anticoagulation drug.

Results: Total of 873 patients were included (median age 61 years, IQR 53–66; female 30%). There were 248, 248, 189, 188 patients on warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban, respectively. Mean initial ACT was 257 ± 50 s, mean overall ACT 295 ± 45 s and total UFH dose 158 ± 60 IU/kg. Patients who were receiving warfarin and dabigatran compared to patients receiving rivaroxaban and apixaban had: (i) significantly higher initial ACT values (262 ± 57 and 270 ± 48 vs. 248 ± 42 and 241 ± 44 s, $p < .001$), (ii) significantly higher ACT throughout PVI (309 ± 46 and 306 ± 44 vs. 282 ± 37 and 272 ± 42 s, $p < .001$), and (iii) needed lower UFH dose during PVI (140 ± 39 and 157 ± 71 vs. 171 ± 52 and 172 ± 70 IU/kg).

Conclusion: There are significant differences in ACT values and UFH dose during PVI in patients receiving different anticoagulants. Patients on warfarin and dabigatran had higher initial and overall ACT values and needed lower UFH dose to achieve adequate anticoagulation during PVI than patients on rivaroxaban and apixaban.

KEYWORDS

apixaban, atrial fibrillation, dabigatran, pulmonary vein isolation, rivaroxaban, warfarin

1 | INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) is a well-established therapeutic option for patients with atrial fibrillation (AF).^{1,2} Although currently performed on a routine basis, PVI is associated with a nonnegligible complication rates.^{3,4} Periprocedural PVI anticoagulation strategy always represents a balance between the risk of bleeding (vascular access site complications and pericardial effusion/tamponade) and the risk of thromboembolic incidents, in particular cerebrovascular events which incidence could reach up to 1%.¹⁻⁶ Effective intraprocedural anticoagulation is essential to minimize the risk of thromboembolism during the PVI and is monitored throughout the procedure by activated clotting time (ACT).^{1,7-9} It has been observed that thrombi could form on the transeptal sheath and/or the catheter even before the transeptal puncture.⁷ Early unfractionated heparin (UFH) administration significantly reduces the risk for thrombus formation.^{7,8} However, the use of high UFH loading doses may come with a higher bleeding risk, suggesting that there exists a potential for overshooting with the initial UFH bolus.^{1,4,10,11} The EHRA/HRS consensus statement recognizes the need of higher initial doses of UFH in patients on DOACs than on vitamin K antagonist (VKA), as well as the requirement for more frequent ACT measurements.¹ Similarly, it has been recognized by the EHRA Practical guide on the use of DOACs, that larger doses of UFH might be required to achieve target ACT values in patients on DOACs than on VKA.¹² In addition, recent studies showed great variability in UFH loading dosage and periprocedural anticoagulation strategies.^{1,4,8-12} Thus, the aim of the current study is to assess differences in ACT values and total UFH dosage during PVI in patients on different oral anticoagulation therapies. We aimed to evaluate the differences in the initial and overall ACT during the procedure as well as doses of the initial UFH bolus required to achieve ACT >300 s in patients receiving different oral anticoagulation therapy.

2 | METHODS

We performed an international, multi-center, registry-based cohort analysis. Total of nine electrophysiology centers from four countries (Table 1) were actively participating in the prospective Southeast-Central European PVI (SECE-PVI) registry. Consecutive patients with paroxysmal, persistent and long-standing persistent AF enrolled in the SECE-PVI registry, in the period between April 2016 and July 2019, were analyzed. Patients with moderate and severely decreased renal function (creatinine clearance rate < 50 ml/min), those with anticoagulation therapy started just before or after PVI and in whom the ACT measurements were not done or not recorded properly were excluded from the study. Additionally, patients with left atrial appendage thrombus have not undergone PVI, and thus could not be included

in the registry or the study itself. Baseline demographic characteristics, medical history with chronic medication usage and all procedural data were collected. Baseline laboratory data included hemoglobin, platelet count, international normalized ratio (INR) and serum creatinine.

All included patients gave written informed consent for participating in the SECE-PVI registry. The hospital's Ethics Committee gave its approval for the study, which was conducted in accordance with the current version of Declaration of Helsinki.

2.1 | PVI procedure

Transthoracic and transoesophageal echocardiogram to rule out left atrial (LA) thrombus, to determine LA diameter in the parasternal long axis (PLAX) plane, and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were performed before every PVI procedure. PVI procedure was performed either using: (i) focal irrigated-tip radiofrequency (RF) catheter (RF-group) in combination with a 3D electroanatomical mapping systems (either CARTO3, Biosense Webster, or NavX, Abbott) as described in detail previously^{13,14}; (ii) using the 2nd-generation cryoballoon (Arctic Front Advance 28 mm Medtronic Inc.,) ablation (CB-group) as described in detail previously.^{13,14}

2.2 | Anticoagulation therapy and ACT measurement

In each group, last dose of warfarin or DOAC was given in the evening before the procedure. When PVI was performed in the afternoon, morning dose of warfarin or DOAC was administered in the morning

TABLE 1 Electrophysiology centers participating in the study with number of patients per center

Centre	N of patients
University Hospital Sestre Milosrdnice (Zagreb, Croatia)	388
General Hospital Zadar (Zadar, Croatia)	19
University Hospital Zagreb (Zagreb, Croatia)	91
University Hospital Rijeka (Rijeka, Croatia)	104
Clinic for Cardiovascular Medicine Magdalena (Krapinske Toplice, Croatia)	54
University Medical Center Ljubljana, Cardiology (Ljubljana, Slovenia)	6
University Medical Center Ljubljana, Cardiac Surgery (Ljubljana, Slovenia)	51
Tokuda Hospital Sofia (Sofia, Bulgaria)	63
University Hospital Graz (Graz, Austria)	97

TABLE 2 Demographic and procedural data of patients included in the study

	Total (n = 873)	Warfarin (n = 248)	Dabigatran (n = 248)	Rivaroxaban (n = 189)	Apixaban (n = 188)	p value
Demographics						
Age (years)	61 (53–66)	60 (51–66)	59 (52–65)	58 (50–66)	58 (49–67)	0.81
Female (%)	31 (269)	31 (78)	25 (63)	30 (56)	38 (72)	0.13
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.5 ± 4	28.9 ± 4.2	28.3 ± 3.9	28.3 ± 3.6	28.5 ± 4.2	0.70
Weight (kg)	89 ± 15	89 ± 14	90 ± 15	89 ± 15	88 ± 15	0.68
History (%)						
Hypertension	65 (563)	73 (180)	60 (149)	62 (118)	62 (116)	.06
Diabetes mellitus	8.9 (78)	11 (28)	8.9 (22)	10 (19)	4.8 (9)	0.11
Hyperlipidemia	47 (412)	48 (119)	52 (129)	40 (75)	47 (89)	.08
Smoking	24 (209)	28 (69)	24 (60)	20 (37)	23 (43)	0.51
Stroke/TIA	5.5 (48)	4.0 (10)	6.9 (17)	5.8 (11)	4.8 (9)	0.45
CAD	8.8 (77)	8.1 (20)	8.5 (21)	11 (21)	7.4 (14)	0.65
MI	4.1 (36)	5.2 (13)	3.6 (9)	3.7 (7)	3.7 (7)	0.65
COPD	1.9 (17)	2.4 (6)	1.6 (4)	1.1 (2)	2.7 (5)	0.63
OSAS	1.7 (15)	0.8 (2)	0.8 (2)	2.6 (5)	2.7 (5)	0.22
Renal failure (CrCl > 50 and < 90 ml/min)	3.3 (29)	4.4 (11)	2.4 (6)	2.1 (4)	4.3 (8)	0.39
HAS-BLED score	0.86 ± 0.86	0.99 ± 0.89	0.82 ± 0.86	0.77 ± 0.82	0.83 ± 0.83	0.63
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASC score	1.68 ± 1.21	1.75 ± 1.18	1.68 ± 1.19	1.60 ± 1.22	1.68 ± 1.28	0.30
Echocardiography						
LA diameter (mm)	42 (39–46)	42 (37–47)	44 (39–47)	42 (38–45)	42 (38–46)	.07
LVEF (%)	60 (55–65)	60 (55–65)	60 (55–65)	60 (50–65)	60 (55–65)	0.37
Laboratory results						
Hemoglobin (g/L)	145 (136–153)	144 (137–151)	146 (133–155)	144 (136–154)	146 (137–152)	0.28
Platelet count (*10 ⁹ /L)	214 (181–247)	214 (178–251)	218 (186–255)	204 (181–248)	216 (180–247)	0.13
INR	1.51 ± 1.62	2.17 ± 0.55	1.14 ± 0.24	1.37 ± 0.33	1.08 ± 0.48	<.001
Creatinine (μmol/L)	87 (75–98)	90 (70–110)	86 (75–97)	85 (72–101)	84 (71–104)	.06
Procedural data						
Ablation modality						
RF ablation	71 (621)	76 (189)	66 (164)	75 (141)	68 (127)	.06
CB ablation	29 (252)	24 (59)	34 (84)	25 (48)	32 (61)	
Total procedure time (min)	119 ± 47	118 ± 48	125 ± 51	114 ± 45	120 ± 49	0.13
Left atrial dwell time (min)	83 ± 33	80 ± 33	88 ± 35	84 ± 34	86 ± 33	0.15

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CB, cryoballoon; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; INR, international normalized ratio; LA, left atrium; LVEF, left ventricle ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; OSAS, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; RF, radiofrequency; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

TABLE 3 Unfractionated heparin doses and intraprocedural ACT values in patients on warfarin and different direct oral anticoagulant drugs

	Warfarin (n = 248)	Dabigatran (n = 248)	Rivaroxaban (n = 189)	Apixaban (n = 188)	p value
Intraprocedural anticoagulation					
Initial UFH dose (IU)	8894 ± 1442	8982 ± 1497	8888 ± 1542	8853 ± 1491	0.68
Total UFH (IU/kg)	140 ± 39	157 ± 71	171 ± 52	172 ± 70	<.001
Initial ACT (sec)	262 ± 57	270 ± 48	248 ± 42	241 ± 44	.02
Maximal ACT (sec)	340 ± 54	330 ± 51	305 ± 45	293 ± 48	<.001
Overall ACT (sec)	309 ± 46	306 ± 43	282 ± 37	272 ± 41	<.001
Target ACT reached after initial UFH dose (% patient)	20 (51/249)	25 (62/248)	13 (24/189)	10 (19/188)	<.001
Target ACT reached during PVI (% patients)	81 (201/249)	74 (184/248)	60 (113/189)	49 (92/188)	<.001

Abbreviations: ACT, activated clotting time; IU, international units; kg, kilogram; sec, seconds; UFH, unfractionated heparin. They are all <0.001, except initial ACT is 0.02.

on the day of the procedure. Before transseptal puncture, initial UFH bolus - 100 U/kg was administered intravenously regardless of the anticoagulation drug.¹¹ After UFH bolus administration, to maintain the ACT >300 s throughout the entire procedure, subsequent dosing of UFH was dictated by physician's preference. ACT was measured in 15-minute intervals as a point-of-care-test using ACT Plus® (Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA). The ACT values after the initial UFH in units and units/kg, the total units and units/kg of UFH given to achieve an ACT >300 s, and number of UFH boluses given to achieve ACT >300 s were recorded. Overall ACT was defined as a mean value of all ACT values measured in one patient during the PVI procedure. Initial ACT was defined as a first ACT value done 15 min after the initial UFH bolus dose, and maximal (max) ACT value as a maximal value measured during the procedure for one patient.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

The distribution of variables was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD when variable's distribution was normal and as median with interquartile range (IQR) when variable's distribution was skewed. For continuous variables, comparisons were made using Student's *t* test as parametric test for independent samples, or using Mann–Whitney *U* test as non-parametric test for independent samples. Categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers and/or percentages. Discrete variables were compared using Fisher's exact test.

A *p* value of <.05 was prespecified to indicate statistical significance. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20 (IBM SPSS Statistics, Armonk).

3 | RESULTS

A total of 873 AF patients, on uninterrupted anticoagulation therapy, in whom ACT measurement during PVI was done, were included (median age 61 years; IQR 53–66; female 30%; BMI 28.5 ± 4.1 kg/m²,

LVEF 60%, PLAX 42 IQR 39–46 mm). There were 248 (28.4%), 248 (28.4%), 189 (21.7%), 188 (21.5%) patients on warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban, respectively. Mean initial ACT (15 min after UFH bolus) was 257 ± 50 s, mean overall ACT 295 ± 45 s and the mean total UFH dose per kg 158 ± 60 IU/kg. There were no differences among patients receiving different anticoagulation therapy (warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban) regarding demographic data, body mass index, total procedure, and left atrial dwell time (Table 2).

Patients who were on warfarin had mean initial, maximal and overall ACT values of 262 ± 57 s, 340 ± 54 s, and 309 ± 46 s. Patients who were on dabigatran had mean initial, maximal and overall ACT values of 270 ± 48 s, 330 ± 51 s, and 306 ± 43 s. Patients who were on rivaroxaban had mean initial, maximal and overall ACT values of 248 ± 42 s, 305 ± 45 s, and 282 ± 37 s. Patients who were on apixaban had the lowest mean initial, maximal and overall ACT values (241 ± 44 s, 293 ± 48 s, and 272 ± 41 s), respectively. Patients who were on warfarin had significantly higher initial ACT values in comparison to patients on rivaroxaban and apixaban (262 ± 57 vs. 248 ± 42 and 241 ± 44 s, *p* < .001), but not in comparison to patients on dabigatran (262 ± 57 vs. 270 ± 48 s, *p* = 0.45) (Table 3). Also, patients on warfarin had a higher overall ACT throughout the PVI (309 ± 46 vs. 282 ± 37 and 272 ± 42 s, *p* < .001) in comparison to patients on rivaroxaban and apixaban, but not in comparison to patients on dabigatran (309 ± 46 vs. 306 ± 44 s, *p* = 0.54) (Table 3). Moreover, target ACT value after the initial (bolus) UFH dose (measured 15 min after) was reached in 20% (51/249), 25% (62/248), 13% (24/189), 10% (19/188) of patients who were on warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban, respectively (Table 3). In addition, target ACT value was reached within the end of the procedure in significantly higher share of patients on warfarin and dabigatran in comparison to patients on rivaroxaban and apixaban (81% vs. 74% vs. 60% vs. 49%, *p* < .001) (Table 3).

Initial UFH doses did not differ between the study groups (*p* = 0.68), however, patients on warfarin needed significantly lower total UFH dose during PVI in comparison to patients on rivaroxaban and apixaban (140 ± 39 vs. 171 ± 52 and 172 ± 70 IU/kg, *p* < .001),

and similar dose to patients on dabigatran (140 ± 39 vs. 157 ± 71 IU/kg, $p = 0.19$) (Table 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

The main findings of this multi-center, registry-based cohort study are as follows: (1) there are significant differences in achieved ACT values during the PVI procedure depending on the anticoagulation drug used. Patients who were on warfarin and dabigatran in comparison to patients on rivaroxaban and apixaban had: (i) significantly higher initial ACT values (262 ± 57 and 270 ± 48 vs. 248 ± 42 and 241 ± 44 s, $p < 0.001$), (ii) significantly higher mean ACT throughout the PVI (309 ± 46 and 306 ± 44 vs. 282 ± 37 and 272 ± 42 s, $p < .001$); (iii) significantly lower doses of UFH were required in patients receiving warfarin and dabigatran to aim recommended ACT values (140 ± 39 and 157 ± 71 vs. 171 ± 52 and 172 ± 70 IU/kg, $p < .001$).

The requirement for higher initial doses of UFH during PVI in patients receiving DOACs has been previously reported.^{11,15-18} Recently, the study by Payne et al.¹⁰ showed that the patients receiving DOACs require significantly higher doses of heparin to achieve ACT >300 s, with no difference in UFH dose and achieved ACT between different DOACs. However, in their study, only 11 patients of 89 taking DOACs were on dabigatran.

Also, current consensus documents and guidelines acknowledge that there is a difference in UFH doses required to achieve recommended ACT values in patients using DOACs and VKA.^{1,12,13} Different doses of initial UFH bolus could be used for patients on VKA and DOACs with up to 20% higher doses recommended for all DOACs.¹ In our study, the centers administered 100 units of UFH per kg, similar to majority of centers as reported in the ESC-EHRA AF ablation registry.³

However, our study has shown that there was a difference in mean and maximum ACT achieved between different DOACs and warfarin and that higher doses of UFH was necessary to achieve recommended values of ACT during PVI. In patients treated with dabigatran, ACT values were significantly higher than in patients treated with apixaban or rivaroxaban, and required significantly lower doses of UFH to achieve the target ACT.

The results of our study corroborate the results of randomized trials comparing different DOACs vs warfarin in patients undergoing PVI.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The heparin doses required to achieve target ACT values and mean ACT values were similar for dabigatran and warfarin in RE-CIRCUIT,¹⁵ while higher doses of heparin were required to achieve target ACT and mean ACT values were lower in patients on apixaban, edoxaban and rivaroxaban than on VKA in AXAFA AFNET 5,¹⁶ ELIMINATE AF¹⁷ and VENTURE AF¹⁸ trials.

Current recommendations are to maintain ACT >300 s during the PVI procedure^{1,13} to prevent thromboembolic complications. And while it is clear that achieving and maintaining ACT >300 s is paramount to decrease risk of stroke during PVI,¹⁹ it should be recognized that the use of higher heparin loading doses may come with

a risk. Notably, in a study by Dessault et al.,¹⁰ among 145 patients undergoing left sided procedures, patients with a hemorrhagic complication, had a mean initial ACT 397.33 s suggesting that there exists a potential for overshooting with a higher initial heparin bolus which is not inconsequential. Similarly, ELIMINATE AF trial¹⁷ speculated that the higher doses of UFH in edoxaban group might have contributed to higher incidence of bleeding complications found in this study.

ACT as a global coagulation test is sensitive to abnormalities in the intrinsic and common coagulation pathway. Therefore, it would be expected that both VKA and different NOACs have effects on ACT. From previous reports in differences in ACT levels between different DOACs, the higher ACT values with dabigatran might be explained by dose dependent effect dabigatran has on ACT, whereas therapeutic doses of factor Xa inhibitors do not show such effect.¹³ Also, recent ACTARD ex vivo study, found that UFH has similar effects on ACT values in patients taking dabigatran and VKA, while ACT strongly underestimates effects of UFH in patients on factor Xa inhibitors, especially apixaban, leading to potential UFH dose overshooting in these patients.²⁰ Additionally, an in vitro study by Dincq et al. has shown that dabigatran interferes more with ACT than do FXa inhibitors.²¹ Therefore, there is unresolved question whether ACT measurement represents adequate monitoring tool of UFH effect during PVI procedure in patients on DOACs (especially FXa inhibitors). Further studies are required to define target ACT values or different monitoring strategies in this group of patients. Based on our results and adhering to current recommendations regarding target ACT values, it might be reasonable to use higher initial doses of UFH in patients treated with apixaban or rivaroxaban than in patients on VKA or dabigatran.

4.1 | Limitations

There are several limitations to the current study. First, dosing of UFH after each ACT measurement was at operator's discretion so that differences in additional UFH dosing might have had an impact on the results. Second, patients with renal insufficiency ($\text{CrCl} < 50$ ml/min) were excluded from the study, so the results and conclusions should not be applied to this group of patients. Also, there are no data on NOAC doses in the data set which might have affected the results. However, since patients with renal insufficiency were excluded as well as that the patients were treated according to current guidelines, we can assume, that most of the patients have been taking therapeutic doses of DOACs. Finally, patients taking edoxaban were not included since edoxaban at the time was not registered in the countries of participating centers.

5 | CONCLUSION

There are significant differences in ACT values and UFH requirements for achieving targeted ACT during PVI procedures in patients

receiving different anticoagulation therapy. Patients on warfarin and dabigatran had higher initial and overall ACT values and needed lower UFH dose to achieve recommended ACT values in comparison to patients on rivaroxaban and apixaban. Further prospective studies to determine initial UFH doses as well as target ACT values, depending on oral anticoagulants used, are required.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data available on request from the authors

ORCID

Nikola Pavlovic  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9187-7681>

REFERENCES

- Calkins H, Hindricks G, Cappato R, et al. 2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: executive summary. *Europace*. 2018;20:157-208.
- Krijthe BP, Kunst A, Benjamin EJ, et al. Projections on the number of individuals with atrial fibrillation in the European Union, from 2000 to 2060. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:2746-2751.
- Arbelo E, Brugada J, Blomström-Lundqvist C, et al. On the behalf of the ESC-EHRA atrial fibrillation ablation long-term registry investigators. Contemporary management of patients undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation: in-hospital and 1-year follow-up findings from the ESC-EHRA atrial fibrillation ablation long-term registry. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1303-1316.
- De Greef Y, Ströcker E, Schwagten B, et al. Complications of pulmonary vein isolation in atrial fibrillation: predictors and comparison between four different ablation techniques: results from the Mid-Elmheim PVI-registry. *Europace*. 2018;20:1279-1286.
- Gorla R, Dentale F, Crippa M, et al. Perioperative safety and efficacy of different anticoagulation strategies with direct oral anticoagulants in pulmonary vein isolation: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol EP*. 2018;4:794-806.
- Santangeli P, Di Biase L, Horton R, et al. Ablation of atrial fibrillation under therapeutic warfarin reduces periprocedural complications: evidence from a meta-analysis. *Circ ArrhythmElectrophysiol*. 2012;5:302-311.
- Wazni OM, Rossillo A, Marrouche NF, et al. Embolicevents and char formation during pulmonary vein isolation in patients with atrial fibrillation: impact of different anticoagulation regimens and importance of intracardiac echo imaging. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2005;16:576-581.
- Bruce CJ, Friedman PA, Narayan O, et al. Early heparinization decreases the incidence of left atrial thrombi detected by intracardiac echocardiography during radiofrequency ablation for atrial fibrillation. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*. 2008;22:211-219.
- Asbach S, Biermann J, Bode C, Faber TS. Early heparin administration reduces risk for left atrial thrombus formation during atrial fibrillation ablation procedures. *Cardiol Res Pract*. 2011;2011:615087.
- Dussault C, Rivera S, Badra-Verdu M, Ayala-Paredes F, Roux J-F. Real-life experience with a new anticoagulation regimen for patients undergoing left-sided ablation procedures. *Ind Pacing Electrophysiol J*. 2016;6:181-184.
- Payne JE, Koerber SM, Bickel T, Ghadban R, Flaker G, Gautam S. Higher initial weight-based heparin dosing is required with direct oral anticoagulants during catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*. 2020;58(2):185-191.
- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Europace*. 2016;18:1609-1678.
- Zeljko I, Knecht S, Pavlovic N, et al. High-sensitive cardiac troponin T as a predictor of efficacy and safety after pulmonary vein isolation using focal radiofrequency, multielectrode radiofrequency and cryoballoon ablation catheter. *Open Heart*. 2019;6:e000949.
- Steffel J, Verhamme P, Potpara TS, et al. ESC scientific document group. The 2018 European heart rhythm association practical guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39(16):1330-1393.
- Calkins H, Willems S, Verma A, et al. Heparin dosing in uninterrupted anticoagulation with dabigatran vs. warfarin in atrial fibrillation ablation: RE-CIRCUIT study. *Europace*. 2019;21(6):879-885.
- Kirchhof P, Haeusler KG, Blank B, et al. Apixaban in patients at risk of stroke undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:2942-2955.
- Hohnloser SH, Camm J, Cappato R, et al. Uninterrupted edoxaban vs. vitamin K antagonists for ablation of atrial fibrillation: the ELIMINATE-AF trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:3013-3021.
- Cappato R, Marchlinski FE, Hohnloser SH, et al. VENTURE-AF investigators (2015). Uninterrupted rivaroxaban vs. uninterrupted vitamin K antagonists for catheter ablation in non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:1805-1811.
- Cardoso R, Knijnik L, Bhonsale A, et al. An updated meta-analysis of novel oral anticoagulants versus vitamin K antagonists for uninterrupted anticoagulation in atrial fibrillation catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2018;15:107-111.
- Martin AC, Kyheng M, Foissaud V, et al. Activated clotting time monitoring during atrial fibrillation catheter ablation: does the anticoagulant matter? *J Clin Med*. 2020;9(2):350.
- Dincq AS, Lessire S, Chatelain B, et al. Impact of the direct oral anticoagulants on activated clotting time. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2017;31:e24-e27.

How to cite this article: Zeljkovic I, Brusich S, Scherr D, et al. Differences in activated clotting time and total unfractionated heparin dose during pulmonary vein isolation in patients on different anticoagulation therapy. *Clin Cardiol*. 2021;44(8):1177-1182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.23681>