# Sea water whirlpool spa as a source of infection

Linšak, Dijana Tomić; Kese, Darja; Broznić, Dalibor; Lušić, Darija Vukić; Cenov, Arijana; Morić, Milan; Gobin, Ivana

Source / Izvornik: Journal of Water and Health, 2021

Journal article, Accepted version Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2021.150

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:184:127104

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2024-11-29



Repository / Repozitorij:

Repository of the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Medicine - FMRI Repository





© 2021 The Authors Journal of Water and Health | in press | 2021

# Sea water whirlpool spa as a source of *Legionella* infection

Dijana Tomic Linsak, Darja Kese, Dalibor Broznic, Darija Vukic Lusic, Arijana Cenov, Milan Moric and Ivana Gobin

#### **ABSTRACT**

1

Bacterial pneumonia caused by the inhalation of aerosols contaminated with *Legionella* spp. is also known as Legionnaires' disease. In this study, we report a case of pneumonia caused by *Legionella pneumophila* sg.1 in a 58-year-old man who visited a sea water-filled whirlpool within a hotel and spa complex. The patient's *Legionella* urine antigen test was positive for *L. pneumophila* sg.1. During the field study, samples were taken from both the outdoor and indoor sea water-filled pools. Samples from the whirlpool were culture positive for *L. pneumophila* sg.1. Typing results indicated sea water isolate belonged to Sequence type ST82 and Allentown/France MAb subgroup. *In vitro* experiments showed that *L. pneumophila* strains are able to survive within sea water up to 7 days, and survival time is prolonged with sea water dilution. Also, our results indicate that *L. pneumophila* Allentown strain was the most resistant to adverse conditions in sea water with the highest values of DT50 (420 min) and DT90 (1,396 min). The possible source of infection was adding potable water for filling up the whirlpool. The survival of the *L. pneumophila* in additionally conditioned sea water should be considered in a further study.

Key words | genotypic investigation, LD90, Legionella pneumophila, legionellosis, sea water

## **HIGHLIGHTS**

- Sea water in spa resorts may act as an environmental reservoir for Legionella spp.
- Sea and brackish water are suitable media for the survival of the Legionella pneumophila.
- In vitro experiments show that most Legionella spp. can survive in sea water up to 7 days.
- L. pneumophila Allentown strain shows better survival ability in seawater compared to other strains.
- The possible source of infection was filling up the whirlpool with potable water.

**Dijana Tomic Linsak** (corresponding author) **Darija Vukic Lusic** 

Faculty of Medicine, Department of Health Ecology,

University of Rijeka, Brace Branchetta 20,

51000 Rijeka, Croatia

E-mail: dtlinsak@gmail.com

Dijana Tomic Linsak Darija Vukic Lusic Arijana Cenov Milan Moric

Teaching Institute of Public Health of Primorje Gorski Kotar County, Kresimirova 52a,

Kresimirova 52a 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

#### Darja Kese

Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Zaloska 4, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

#### Dalibor Broznic

Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Chemistry, Biochemistry and Clinical Chemistry, University of Rijeka, Brace Branchetta 20, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

#### Ivana Gobin


Faculty of Medicine, Department of Microbiology, University of Rijeka, Brace Branchetta 20, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits copying and redistribution for non-commercial purposes with no derivatives, provided the original work is properly cited (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

doi: 10.2166/wh.2021.150

# **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

D. T. Linsak et al. | Legionella in sea water



# INTRODUCTION

Legionnaires' disease (LD) is a pneumonia-like infection caused by Legionella pneumophila, and it was first identified in an outbreak of LD in 1976 (McDade et al. 1977). Legionellae are gram-negative bacteria known as inhabitants of both natural and man-made aquatic environments such as cooling towers, ships, factories, homes, hotels and spas, fountains, and respiratory therapy equipment (Bartram et al. 2007; WHO 2007). However, only a few studies reported that sea water may also act as a potential reservoir for Legionella spp. (Ortiz-Roque & Hazen 1987; Palmer et al. 1993). Moreover, Legionella, especially L. pneumophila, was considered unable to survive or persist in saline waters. Furthermore, in vitro studies showed that higher concentration of NaCl was critical for the Legionella survival (Catrenich & Johnson 1989). However, a study by Heller et al. (1998) showed that the sensitivity of Legionella to NaCl depends on the temperature of the medium, namely the higher the temperature, the higher the sensitivity of bacteria. Also, L. pneumophila could be recovered from natural Baltic and North Sea sea water with 15 and 30% salinity, even after 7 days of inoculations (Fields et al. 2002).

Another study also suggests that Legionella in saline environments, such as coastal marine sites and estuaries, could persist in viable forms (Gast et al. 2011). The presence of estuarine and coastal water may be variable due to terrestrial runoff. The microbial content of these environments may also be greatly influenced by freshwater or soil impact. Marine environment positive for Legionella has most likely been contaminated by wastewater. The presence of Legionella in these environments may be a transient result of such freshwater or soil impact rather than multiplication within the marine environment.

These results strongly suggest that Legionella spp. could survive in saline environments, such as coastal marine sites and estuaries. Another factor that could facilitate the survival of Legionella in sea water are amoebae (Gast et al. 2011). Zbikowska et al. (2013) showed coexistence and interactions between free-living amoebae (Naegleria and Hartmannella) and L. pneumophila in thermal saline baths used in balneotherapy. Legionella are known to be amoebae parasites and their relationship has been studied extensively in freshwater environments (Abu Kwaik 1998; Fields 1998). Although contradictory, research shows the possible presence of amoebae in sea water, so there is a risk of their presence in recreational sea water environments (Tsvetkova et al. 2004).

Bacterial survival in a particular environment usually involves numerous complex reactions whose mechanism can be determined by mathematical modelling. It requires the input of experimentally obtained data that are processed by non-linear estimation. The model most commonly used to describe bacterial survival kinetic is the Single First-Order Kinetic Model whose inhibition rate constant is a function of environmental variables (Xiong et al. 1999). To give a more detailed account of environmental impact on the bacterial survival process, a more complex model such as Biphasic First-Order Non-linear Model can be used (Hellweger et al. 2009; Roth et al. 2010; Phaiboun et al. 2015; Brouwer et al. 2017). This model assumes that the bacterial population consists of two subpopulations which can react by different inhibition rates during the exposure to environmental stressors.

The first aim of this study is to present the epidemiological and environmental investigation of a single case of LD in the northern Adriatic region after using a whirlpool filled with sea water. The second aim is to evaluate the kinetics and mechanism of bacterial inactivation in different environmental media using mathematical modelling.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Patient characteristics**

A 58-year-old man, who was a smoker, stayed in an apartment complex within a hotel and spa in the northern Adriatic region from 9-23 August 2016. He had no history of respiratory illness in the preceding weeks. During his stay at the hotel, he used the apartment shower as well as the whirlpool bathtub several times. The whirlpool is situated in the spa area. On 29 August 2016, he was admitted to the hospital with flu-like symptoms and a fever. At the time of admission, a radiological investigation showed bilateral infiltration of multiple lobes. A urine sample was obtained from the patient for the investigation of Legionella infection.

#### **Hotel characteristics**

The hotel was completely renovated in 2006 when the hotel's spa facilities were converted to a sea water system. At first, the whirlpool tub was not considered to be the point source of infection since it was filled with sea water. The apartment has an electric hot water heater with a tank capacity of 80 L and is also equipped with a newly installed air-conditioner without a water-cooling system. The spa zone with a pool, the whirlpool is situated close to the apartment building. All of them have sea water additionally conditioned with pH and chlorine chemicals. On-site investigation conducted by the public health service showed that the whirlpool was filled with sea water from a nearby pool. A pump fills the whirlpool tub with sea water and afterwards that water is conditioned with chlorine and pH chemicals. Regular sampling has been done every month for testing microbiological and chemical parameters. In the case of evaporation, the whirlpool water is compensated by adding water from the nearby tap. The schematic diagram of the whole installation is shown in Figure 1. Volumetric data of the pool and whirlpool located in the spa zone are as follows: Pool (width: 12 m; length: 16.8 m; height: 1.4 m; volume: 282 m<sup>3</sup>; pool sea water temperature: 25 °C), Whirlpool (accommodates 10 persons; diameter: 2.93 m; volume: 2 m<sup>3</sup>; whirlpool sea water temperature: 28 °C).

After the official authority reported a single LD case, the epidemiological team conducted an on-site investigation by collecting samples from the apartment where the guest stayed (water samples from the shower), as well as from the pool, whirlpool tub in the spa zone.




Figure 1 | A schematic diagram of a swimming pool and whirlpool (a); Manual filling of the whirlpool with sea water (b).

#### Microbiological investigations

Patient urine was tested for Legionella antigen using BinaxNOW and Binax Legionella Urinary Antigen EIA (Alere-Abbott, Scarborough, USA). L. pneumophila sg.1 was determined by a DFA reagent (Pro-Lab, Richmond Hill, Canada), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Isolation of L. pneumophila from environmental samples was performed in accordance with the standard HRN EN ISO 11731: 2000. The grown colonies were identified by using a latex agglutination test (Pro-Lab Richmond Hill, Canada).

In our study, we also wanted to check the microbiological and chemical parameters of tap water in the hotel and sea water in the spa zone. Examined parameters were tested in accordance with ISO methods, which are presented in Table 1.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli were tested in accordance with ISO methods EN ISO 16266:2008 and EN ISO 9308-1:2014 (Water quality), respectively. Staphylococcus aureus was determined using APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (Standard Methods).

# Phenotypic and genotypic investigation of Legionella pneumophila

Since Legionella was not isolated from the patient, only environmental L. pneumophila isolate was sent, under special transport conditions, to the Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, MF Ljubljana, Slovenia, for phenotype and genotype identification. Isolate was tested by PCR using the r-gene L. pneumophila primers/probe premix (ARGENE, France) and further determined L. pneumophila sg.1 by 3plex qPCR (Mentasti et al. 2015).

Isolated Legionella strain was typed using Dresden Panel of Monoclonal antibodies (MAb) to determine the phenotype subgroup of L. pneumophila sg.1 (Lück et al. 2013). The environmental isolate was further genotyped using the standard sequence-based typing (SBT) scheme according to the protocol of European Working Group for Legionella Infections (EWGLI database) using seven gene targets, namely flaA, pilE, asd, mip, mompS, proA and neuA (Gaia et al. 2005; Ratzow et al. 2007). Sequence type (ST) was determined with the sequence quality tool.

Table 1 | Parameters and methods used in tap and sea water examination

Parameter	Method
Air temperature	Alcohol thermometer with graduation intervals of 0.1 °C
Sample temperature	Alcohol thermometer with graduation intervals of 0.1 $^{\circ}\text{C}$
Free chlorine	EN ISO 7393-2:2018 (Water quality – Determination of free and total chlorine – Part 2: Colorimetric method using <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> -diethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine for routine control purposes; quantification limit 0.02 mg Cl <sub>2</sub> /L)
Colour	Standard Methods 23rd Ed. 2017. 2120 C. APHA, AWWA, WEF (2017) (Determination of colour; quantification limit 5 units Pt/Co scale)
Turbidity	EN ISO 7027-1:2016 (Water quality – Determination of turbidity; quantification limit 0.10 NTU)
pН	EN ISO 10523:2012 (Water quality – Determination of pH)
Conductivity	EN 27888:1993 (Water quality – Determination of electrical conductivity; quantification limit 9 μS/cm)
KMnO <sub>4</sub> consumption	EN ISO 8467:1995 (Water quality – Determination of permanganate index; quantification limit 0.25 mg O <sub>2</sub> /L)
Trihalomethanes	EN ISO 10301:1997 (Water quality – Determination of highly volatile halogenated hydrocarbons – Gaschromatographic methods; quantification limit Chloroform: 0.75 µg/L, Bromodichloromethane: 0.75 µg/L, Dibromochloromethane: 0.75 µg/L, Bromoform: 0.75 µg/L)
Salinity	Standard Methods 23rd Ed. 2017. 2520 B. APHA, AWWA, WEF (2017) (Determination of salinity – conductometry method; range 0–40‰)

#### In vitro studies

The ability of Legionella to survive in sea water was examined in vitro.

#### Water samples

Each of the water samples was collected in aliquot of 1 L and kept in a separately labelled bottle. The raw sea water sample originated from the coast of the island of Lošinj, chlorinated sea water from the whirlpool and tap water from the public water supply of the island of Lošinj. Samples were tested for biochemical parameters and analysed for Legionella survival. Sea water samples were filter sterilised through a 0.45 µm CA Sterile Syringe Filter. For de-chlorination and sterilisation, the sampled tap water was sterilised by autoclaving (121 °C /15 min) and stored at 4 °C until use.

#### **Bacterial strains**

To determine the survival of Legionella in sea water, different strains of L. pneumophila and three other species of Legionella (L. anisa, L. bozemanii and L. longbeachae) were collected. The clinical isolate of L. pneumophila ATCC BAA-74 and L. longbeachae sg.1 strains were obtained by courtesy of Prof E. Hartland, PhD from the University of Melbourne, Australia. Other Legionella strains were obtained from the collection of the Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia (Table 2). The bacteria were stored at −80 °C in 10% glycerol broth. After culturing for 3-5 days on BCYE medium at 35  $\pm$  2 °C, the bacteria were used in the experiments.

#### **Survival studies**

Legionella strains grown on BCYE agar were collected, bacterial suspensions in sterile sea water were made. The  $OD_{600}$ (optical density) was determined and was about 0.1, which equalled 10<sup>8</sup> bacterial per mL. The number of bacteria was confirmed by inoculation of ten-fold dilutions on BCYE agar. Survival of different Legionella strains (Table 1) in sterile sea water was monitored on the 1st, 3rd and 7th days. The number of Legionella was determined after plating a series of ten-fold dilution on non-selective BCYE agar and incubating for up to 10 days at  $35 \pm 2$  °C.

Furthermore, the survival dynamics of the two selected Legionella strains, L. pneumophila ATCC BAA-74 and L. pneumophila Allentown strain, in sterile sea water (SW), sterile tap water (TW) and sterile chlorinated sea water samples (cSW) was monitored for 28 days (1st, 5th, 7th, 10th, 14th, 20th and 28th day) at a temperature of  $33 \pm 2$  °C. The initial bacterial count in the samples was 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL. In cSW samples, chlorine levels were

Table 2 | Legionella strains used in in vitro studies

Legionella species	Strain	ST	Source of isolation
L. pneumophila sg.1	Philadelphia	1	CS
	Philadelphia	37	CS
	Benidorm	1,299	CS
	Benidorm	1,299	WS
	Bellingham	728	CS
	Bellingham	334	CS
	Bellingham	728	WS
	Oxford	1	CS
	Oxford	1	WS
	Oxford	1	WS
	Allentown	82	SWS
$L.\ pneumophila\ {\rm sg.1\ (ATCC\ BAA-74)}$	Wadsworth		CS
L. pneumophila sg.8	Concord3	1,324	CS
L. pneumophila sg.9	Chicago2	421	CS
L. anisa	_	-	WS
L. bozemanii	_	_	WS
L. longbeachae sg.1	NSW150	-	CS
L. longbeachae sg.1	L6C9	_	SS

CS, clinical sample: WS, water sample: SWS, sea water sample: SS, soil sample.

maintained in vitro by the addition of Na-hypochlorite. The number of Legionella was determined at different points in time (0, 1, 5, 7, 14, 20 and 28 days after inoculation) on a non-selective BCYE agar. In addition, bacterial survival in sterile cSW samples diluted with sterile non-chlorinated tap water (1:1) was examined.

#### Kinetic analysis of bacterial activity inhibition

Bacterial activity inhibition of Legionella strains was estimated and analysed using the Single First-Order (SFM) and Double First-Order Exponential Biphasic (DFBM) kinetics models expressed by the following equations:

$$\frac{N_t}{N_0} = e^{-k \cdot t} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{N_t}{N_0} = f \cdot e^{-k_1 \cdot t} + (1 - f) \cdot e^{-k_2 \cdot t}$$
 (2)

where  $N_t$ ,  $N_0$ , f and (1-f) are bacterial concentrations at time t or at t = 0, the number of bacteria in the first and second phase of inactivation, respectively, while k,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are inactivation rate constants. Time for 50 (DT50) and 90% (DT90) bacterial inhibition are also determined according to the following equations:

$$DT50 = \frac{\ln 2}{k} \tag{3}$$

$$DT90 = \frac{\ln 10}{k} \tag{4}$$

$$DT90_1 = \frac{\ln 10}{k_1} \tag{5}$$

$$DT90_2 = \frac{\ln 10}{k_2} \tag{6}$$

#### Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in a minimum of five replications. The number of bacteria at different time intervals was presented by the mean  $\pm$  standard deviations. Statistical analyses were performed using commercial software Statistica® v. 13.0 (StatSoft, Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA) at a significance level of p < 0.05, while modelling of bacterial inhibition was performed using the software package Wolfram Research Mathematica® Version 9.0 (Champaign, IL, USA). The normality distribution of inactivation rate constant for Legionella strains in sterile raw water, as well as the amount of L. pneumophila ATCC BAA-74 and Allentown strains in tap water, sea water, chlorinated sea water/tap water and chlorinated sea water at different time intervals, were tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The normal distribution was not achieved, so nonparametric Mann-Whitney *U*-test was used. The agreement of the proposed mathematical models with the experimental data was estimated using the Pearson correlation coefficient  $(R^2)$  and the Standard Error of Estimate (SEE).

#### **RESULTS**

Table 3 shows the detection of L. pneumophila sg.1 confirmed in the sea water-filled whirlpool at a concentration of  $6 \times 10^2$  (CFU/L). A potential source of infection was examined and a total of four samples were collected from the apartment shower, spa pool and whirlpool tub.

The environmental isolate of L. pneumophila sg.1 was typed as Allentown/France MAb subgroup. L. pneumophila sg.1 isolate was then successfully genotyped by SBT and complete allelic profiles for ST82 were obtained. Table 4 shows L. pneumophila sg.1 positive sample which was further processed for chemical analysis.

Based on the survival curves of three groups of Legionella, L. pneumophila water strains, L. pneumophila clinical strains and groups of other Legionella species, the reduction parameters k, DT50 and DT90 were determined (Table 5). Statistical analysis did not show a difference between water and clinical isolates of L. pneumophila. In the group of water isolates, L. pneumophila strain Allentown seems to be the most resistant to survival in sea water, with the highest values of DT50 (420 min) and DT90 (1,396 min). Among the clinical isolates of L. pneumophila, the L. pneumophila ATCC BAA-74 strain showed upward values of DT50 (235 min) and DT90 (781 min). Other types of Legionella are extremely sensitive and do not survive in sea water, so their DT50 and DT90 values are low.

Figure 2 shows the *L. pneumophila* inactivation *in vitro*, where NCFU/mL (t)/NCFU/mL (0) at different time intervals are presented together with the SFM or DFBM models. Statistically significant differences of bacterial inactivation were found only in TW (at 7th, 11th, 14th and 20th day) and SW (at 11th, 14th, 20th and 28th day) samples. Correspondence between experimental and data obtained through a model was evaluated by statistical indices

Table 3 | Microbiological parameters in samples from the site

Sample No.	Source	Sample specification	CFU/mL (22 °C)	P. aeruginosa	E. coli	S. aureus	Legionella spp.
1	Apartment	Tap water	12	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
2	Spa Pool	Sea water	5	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
3	Whirlpool	Sea water	25	Negative	Negative	Negative	L. pneumophila sg.1 Positive (600 CFU/L)
4	Jacuzzi	Sea water	8	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative

Table 4 | Chemical analysis of collected samples (tap water, sea water and whirlpool sea water)

Parameter	Measuring unit	Whirlpool sea water sample <sup>a</sup>	Tap water sample	Raw sea water sample	Whirlpool sea water sample <sup>b</sup>
Air temperature	°C	28.0	26.0	26.5	24.0
Sample temperature	°C	34.7	18.0	24.7	32.0
Free chlorine	mg/L	0.90	0.05	ND	1.05
Colour	°Pt/Co	5	<5	ND	<5
Turbidity	NTU	0.28	2.6	ND	0.20
pН	pH unit	7.6	8.2	ND	7.1
Conductivity	μS/cm	10,780	398	52,400	52,900
KMnO <sub>4</sub> consumption	mg/L $O_2$	1.0	0.58	ND	0.7
Trihalomethanes	μg/L	94	ND	ND	95
Salinity	psu	ND	ND	36	ND

ND. not done.

 Table 5 | Reduction parameters (k, DT50 and DT90) and goodness of fit (R² and SEE) for the Single First-Order Kinetic Non-linear Model describing inactivation of Legionella strains in sterile

Bacterial strain	<i>k</i> (day <sup>-1</sup> )	DT50 (min)	DT90 (min)	R <sup>2</sup>	SEE
L. p. sg.1 Oxford WS	8.38	119	395	1.0000	$2.07 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.1 Benidorm ST1299 WS	7.69	130	431	1.0000	$4.12 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.8 Concord 3 ST1324 WS	5.35	187	620	1.0000	$1.30 \times 10^{-2}$
L. p. sg.1 Bellingham ST334 WS	7.90	126	420	1.0000	$3.81 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.1 Allentown ST82 SWS	2.37	420	1,396	0.9998	$7.40 \times 10^{-3}$
L. p. sg.1 Philadelphia CS	8.20	122	405	1.0000	$2.29\!\times\! 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.1 Oxford CS	8.46	118	392	1.0000	$1.26 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.1 Philadephia CS	7.31	137	454	1.0000	$9.75 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.6 Chicago 2 CS	7.36	136	451	1.0000	$3.18 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.1 Bellingham ST334 CS	6.65	150	498	1.0000	$3.00 \times 10^{-4}$
L. p. sg.1 Bellingham ST728 CS	8.23	121	403	1.0000	$2.68 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.1 Benidorm ST1299 CS	6.50	154	510	1.0000	$4.90 \times 10^{-5}$
L. p. sg.1 ATCC BAA-74 CS	4.25	235	781	0.9999	$3.60 \times 10^{-3}$
L. bozemanii WS	477.69	2	7	1.0000	$1.04 \times 10^{-6}$
L. anisa WS	156.63	6	21	1.0000	$1.50 \times 10^{-5}$
L. longbeachae sg.1 NSW150 CS	211.67	5	16	1.0000	$1.42 \times 10^{-6}$
L. longbeachae A5H5 SS	448.10	2	7	1.0000	$1.20 \times 10^{-6}$

k, inactivation rate constant; DT50, time for 50% of bacterial inactivation; DT90, time for 90% of bacterial inactivation; R<sup>2</sup>, Pearson correlation coefficient; SEE, Standard Error of Estimate. Experiments were performed in a minimum of five replications.

 $(R^2, {\rm SEE})$  and is presented in Figure 2 (and Supplementary Tables S6 and S7). Statistical indices showed that the SFM model was applicable to describe bacteria inactivation except for Allentown strain in TW media  $(R^2 = 0.9521 \text{ and})$ 

SEE = 0.0716) and for both strains in cSW media ( $R^2$  = 1.00 and SEE > 1.26×10<sup>-6</sup>). According to the SFM model, the fastest inactivation for both *L. pneumophila* strains was observed in cSW/TW media, where *L. pneumophila* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Sample was taken at the time of incident.

bSample in which survival experiments were performed

All chemical parameters were in accordance with regulations.




Figure 2 | Reduction of L. pneumophila ATCC BAA-74 and Allentown strains in (a) tap water (TW), (b) sea water (SW), (c) chlorinated sea water/tap water (cSW/TW, 50:50 ratio) and (d) chlorinated sea water (cSW). Symbols represent the experimental data, while lines represent the theoretical curves described by the Single First-Order (SFM) and Double First-Order Exponential Biphasic (DFBM) kinetics mathematical models. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (at least five replicates).

Allentown strain was inactivated with a higher reaction rate constant ( $k = 0.2182 \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) compared with L. pneumophila ATCC BAA-74 strain ( $k = 0.2037 \text{ day}^{-1}$ ). The analysis of sensitivity of bacterial strains to TW and SW media showed that both strains were inactivated with a higher rate constant in SW  $(k = 0.1360 \text{ and } 0.1092 \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ for ATCC BAA-74 and}$ Allentown, respectively) than in TW media (k = 0.0183 and 0.075 day<sup>-1</sup> for ATCC BAA-74 and Allentown, respectively). Furthermore, the time for the 50% (T50) and 90% bacterial inhibition (T90), respectively, was also analysed (Supplementary Table S6). The shortest time for both bacteria was achieved in the reactions of both bacteria with cSW/TW media (T50: 3.4 and 3.2 days; T90: 11.3 and 10.6 days for ATCC BAA-74 and Allentown, respectively). In chlorinated samples, cTW and cSW, bacteria were not cultivable within 24 h (data not shown for the cTW sample).

#### DISCUSSION

The risk of illness or infection associated with recreational water is primarily associated with faecal contamination of the water. Environmental waterborne bacteria such as Pseudomonas and Legionella increasingly attract our attention. Most cases of legionellosis have been associated with exposure to recreational waters, such as in hot tubs and natural spas. Most of the recreational water-associated legionellosis has been associated with hot tubs and natural spas. Such facilities create an ideal habitat (warm, nutrient-containing aerobic water) for the proliferation of these bacteria. Most recreational water guidelines focus on freshwater, while there are no precise recommendations for recreational sea water. Although it is already known that Legionella can survive in brackish and salt water, we did not find data on sea water whirlpool bathtubs as a source of Legionella infection (WHO 2006).

This study describes a case of LD in a person who was exposed to sea water in an indoor whirlpool spa. A male patient aged 58, with no pre-existing health conditions, was admitted to hospital on 29 August 2016 with radiologically confirmed pneumonia. His urine tested positive for L. pneumophila antigen. Namely, after the outbreak, the patient returned to his place of residence where he was diagnosed with legionellosis. We were unable to obtain Legionella isolates from the patient because the patient already received treatment and was recovering. The county epidemiological service was notified on 1 September 2016.

The patient was discharged from the hospital a week later, and the case was reported to the Croatian Institute of Public Health as an LD case. No other cases of the disease were reported at that time.

The use of whirlpools and hot tubs in spa facilities has been recognised as a particular risk factor for infection (Leoni et al. 2018). Bouwknegt et al. (2013) estimated that the risk of infection after a 15-min stay in an active whirlpool ranged from 3% up to >95% for L. pneumophila (bacterial count of 10 and ≥1,000 CFU/L, respectively). These findings suggest that the risk of infection is possible even at very low concentrations. L. pneumophila ST82 is not such a common strain in Europe or elsewhere either according to the ESGLI L. pneumophila SBT database. Interestingly, a small, suspected outbreak of LD caused by L. pneumophila ST82, subgroup Allentown/France was reported in Denmark, in the North Zealand region, between July and November 2014 (Schjørring et al. 2017). It has been shown that the Allentown strain is more frequently associated with ST47, which is a common cause of infection in Belgium, United Kingdom, France and the Netherlands (Harrison et al. 2009) but not in Germany or in Israel (Moran-Gilad et al. 2014).

In addition to microbiological analysis, at the time of the incident, various water samples listed in Table 3 underwent further chemical analysis. According to the results for the conductivity parameter of whirlpool sea water sample, we drew the conclusion that a lower value shows that sea water was diluted with added potable water. The usual conductivity of sea water is a far higher value as it was measured at the time of an incident, and we assume that this is a crucial finding. Additionally, the raw sea and whirlpool sea water samples that we used in the survival experiments were chemically characterised.

Recent studies have explored sea water as a potential environmental reservoir of Legionella spp. which strongly suggests that Legionella could persist in saline water environments, like coastal marine sites and estuaries (Mezrioui et al. 1995; Heller et al. 1998; Sinton et al. 2007; Gast et al. 2011). One of the most useful and commonly measured water quality parameters of sea water is conductivity. Furthermore, conductivity in a water system is an early indicator of chemical changes (Fondriest 2014). Findings from this investigation indicated that on the whole spa pool facilities should be expected to have pure sea water with the usual conductivity of over 45,000 µS/cm. Conductivity parameter from whirlpool sea water contaminated with Legionella is rather low and amounts to 10,780 µS/cm which indicates that freshwater has been added to this particular sea water bathtub. At the beginning of the epidemiological investigation, this information was not presented to the team experts, which is why an additional sample of added freshwater was not taken for analysis at the most important period of time (before the measurements were implemented). Further to the foregoing, we could assume that the source of Legionella was tap water used to fill up sea water within the whirlpool. Additionally, we should state that guidelines for levels of chlorine in tap water and those for recreational water differ. Therefore, it was expected that chlorine levels in tap water are significantly lower, so additional chlorine adjustment should have been performed after adding tap water to the whirlpool. Knowing that, as well as the fact that this tap is not used often, it is possible that after the pipe was flushed, Legionella ended up in the pool, and we could not subsequently prove it in tap water by way of the classical method.

After the confirmed environmental and laboratory research, appropriate environmental disinfection measures were implemented. The measures were implemented by technical experts and supervised by environmental health 10

professionals to prevent new cases of LD. Complete disinfection of the bathtub and all other equipment used during the filling of the whirlpool with sea water was carried out. Before being put back into service, the whirlpool tub was filled again with fresh sea water, additionally conditioned with higher levels of chlorine and balanced pH chemicals.

After conducting anti-epidemic measures, samples of sea water and tap water were taken from different sources. Legionella were not detected and chemical indicators were in accordance with the legislation.

Although earlier studies suggested the possibility of Legionella survival at different salt concentrations and in sea water, we wanted to examine in vitro survival studies of different Legionella species and different L. pneumophila strains in the raw sea water samples used to fill whirlpools.

To test whether Legionella survival in sea water is a strain or species-dependent characteristic of Legionella, we tested 14 strains of L. pneumophila, of which 4 isolates were from water, 9 clinical isolates and one isolate from sea water. Comparing the results of clinical and environmental isolates of L. pneumophila, there was no statistically significant difference in the reduction parameters (k, DT50 and DT90). If we look at different species of Legionella, L. pneumophila proved to be more resistant to survival in sea water than other tested species (L. bozemanni, L. anisa and L. longbeachae). Furthermore, the kinetics of reduction of two strains of Legionella in sea water, tap water and diluted sea water were examined. Our results showed that both L. pneumophila strains survive equally well in sterile TW, while L. pneumophila Allentown strain survives better in SW. In diluted cSW (cSW/TW), L. pneumophila Allentown strain survives for more than 20 days, while the ATCC BAA-74 strain loses its cultivability within 20 days. In addition, we also examined chlorinated samples of sea and tap water where there was a 100% reduction after 24 h. The reduction certainly happened earlier, but we did not follow it in the earlier stages.

Bacterial inactivation of Allentown strain in TW media and both strains in cSW media took place by a different reaction mechanism. As can be seen in Figure 2, inactivation was a two-step process; first rapid exponential decay and second slower step with extended tailing. For that reason, a DFBM model was used to describe bacterial inactivation in these media. This model was used since the two bacterial subpopulations reacted at different rates  $(k_1 \text{ and } k_2)$  with environmental media and caused fast and slow bacterial inactivation. We assumed that the two subpopulations of bacteria existed in media representing two different domains of microorganisms, more susceptible in the first domain and resistant in the second one. Inactivation of resistant subpopulation was very slow with  $k_2$  values lower 87 and 53 times than  $k_1$ in TW and cSW media, respectively, causing prolonged tailing on the inactivation curve. The DT90 of rapid phase was shorter than DT90 of extended phase in both analysed media (Supplementary Table S7). Sommer et al. (1998) and Rincon & Pulgarin (2004) analysed the prolonged tailing process of bacteria caused by slow inactivation of the resistant subpopulation. They hypothesised that this phenomenon is induced by the occurrence of cell-repair enzymes, which are synthesised when the cell is exposed to stress conditions. Phaiboun et al. (2015) have studied the survival kinetics of E. coli wild-type K12 strain exposed to various environmental stresses. They demonstrated that the bacterial response to stress is the expression of the RpoS gene representing the master regulator in the expression of stress genes. Then, the RpoS gene is activated and, consequently, promotes the expression of new stress genes protecting the bacterial cell. Furthermore, Nair & Finkel (2004) found that during exposure to stress, bacteria aggregate in large clusters interconnected by hydrophobic bonds, protecting the cells from adverse effects.

The results of our study are in concordance with previous studies indicating the possibility of Legionella survival in sea water. However, the surprising fact is that L. pneumophila Allentown sea water isolate survives longer in marine conditions and it remains to be investigated whether this strain possesses properties that enable it to survive in sea water or whether adaptation of this strain to sea water survival may have occurred.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Information from this LD case investigation suggests that even if we take precautions by making spa resorts (sea water) with low potential risk, it is possible to become an environmental reservoir for Legionella spp. In order to understand more and prevent other possible pathways of LD, we should endeavour to develop appropriate control measures in artificial water systems to prevent possible new LD cases.

This single case of LD disease is a confirmation that even in the saline environment in certain chemical conditions for a short time, L. pneumophila can survive conditions that can result in an outbreak. It seems that contamination of a tap water system with Legionella may be inevitable. But it is critical to have well-planned maintenance and monitoring systems to avoid Legionella outbreaks.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This research received no specific grant from commercial or not-for-profit sectors. The in vitro studies were supported by the University of Rijeka research grant uniri-biomed-18-171. We are grateful to Senka Stojanović MD, Specialist of Epidemiology, for support with the investigation. Also, we would like to thank Darko Rodi for helping with the illustration.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors agree to disclose any financial conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of this manuscript.

#### **ETHICAL STANDARDS**

This work was undertaken as part of an urgent public health investigation; therefore, it did not require institutional ethical review or approval.

## **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

All relevant data are included in the paper or its Supplementary Information.

# **REFERENCES**

Abu Kwaik, Y. 1998 Fatal attraction of mammalian cells to Legionella pneumophila. Molecular Microbiology 4, 689-695. APHA/AWWA/WEF 2017 Standard Methods for the Examination

of Water and Wastewater, 23rd edn, 9213 B. American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/ Water Environment Federation, Washington, DC, USA.

- Bartram, J., Chartier, Y., Lee, J. V., Pond, K. & Surman-Lee, S. 2007 Legionella and Prevention of Legionellosis. WHO. Book ISBN 92 156297 8 (NLM classification: WC 20). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Bouwknegt, M., Schijven, J. F., Schalk, J. A. & de Roda Husman, A. M. 2013 Quantitative risk estimation for a Legionella pneumophila infection due to whirlpool use. Risk Analysis **33** (7), 1228-1236.
- Brouwer, A. F., Eisenberg, M. C., Remais, J. V., Collender, P. A., Meza, R. & Eisenberg, J. N. 2017 Modeling biphasic environmental decay of pathogens and implications for risk analysis. Environmental Science and Technology 51 (4), 2186-2196. doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b04030. PMID:28112914; PMCID:PMC5789392.
- Catrenich, C. E. & Johnson, W. 1989 Characterization of the selective inhibition of growth of virulent Legionella pneumophila by supplemented Mueller-Hinton medium. Infection and Immunity 57 (6), 1862-1864. PMID:2722245.
- EN ISO 16266:2008 Water quality Detection and enumeration of Pseudomonas aeruginosa - Method by membrane filtration.
- EN ISO 9308-1:2014 Water quality Enumeration of Escherichia coli and coliform bacteria - Part 1: Membrane filtration method for waters with low bacterial background flora.
- EWGLI Sequence-Based Typing (SBT) database for Legionella pneumophila. Available from: http://www.hpa-bioinformatics. org.uk/legionella/legionella sbt/php/sbt homepage.php
- Fields, B. S. 1998 The molecular ecology of Legionellae. Trends in Microbiology 4, 286-290.
- Fields, B. S., Benson, R. F. & Besser, R. E. 2002 Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 15 (3), 506-526. doi:10.1128/cmr.15.3. 506-526.2002.
- Fondriest Environmental, Inc. 2014 'Conductivity, Salinity and Total Dissolved Solids.' Fundamentals of Environmental Measurements. Available from: https://www.fondriest.com/ environmental-measurements/parameters/water-quality/ conductivity-salinity-tds/
- Gaia, V., Fry, N. K., Afshar, B., Lu, P. C., Etienne, J., Peduzzi, R. & Harrison, T. G. 2005 Consensus sequence-based scheme for epidemiological typing of clinical and environmental isolates of Legionella pneumophila. Journal of Clinical Microbiology **43** (5), 2047–2052.
- Gast, R. J., Moran, D. M., Dennett, M. R., Wurtsbaugh, W. A. & Amaral- Zettler, L. A. 2011 Amoebae and Legionella pneumophila in saline environments. Journal of Water and Health 9 (1), 37-52. doi:10.2166/wh.2010.103.
- Harrison, T. G., Afshar, B., Doshi, N., Fry, N. K. & Lee, J. V. 2009 Distribution, of Legionella pneumophila serogroups, monoclonal antibody subgroups and DNA sequence types in recent clinical and environmental isolates from England and Wales (2000-2008). European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 28, 781-791.
- Heller, R., Höller, C., Süssmuth, R. & Gundermann, K. O. 1998 Effect of salt concentration and temperature on survival of

- Legionella pneumophila. Letters in Applied Microbiology 26 (1), 64-68. doi:10.1046/j.1472-765x.1998.00273.x.
- Hellweger, F. L., Bucci, V., Litman, M. R., Gu, A. Z. & Onnis-Hayden, A. 2009 Biphasic decay kinetics of fecal bacteria in surface water not a density effect. Journal of Environmental Engineering 135 (5), 372-376. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2009)135:5(372).
- Leoni, E., Catalani, F., Marini, S. & Dallolio, L. 2018 Legionellosis associated with recreational waters: a systematic review of cases and outbreaks in swimming pools, spa pools, and similar environments. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 15 (8), E1612. doi:10.3390/ijerph15081612.
- Lück, C., Fry, N. K., Helbig, J. H., Jarraud, S. & Harrison, T. G. 2013 Typing methods for Legionella. In: Legionella. Methods in Molecular Biology (Methods and Protocols) (C. Buchrieser & H. Hilbi, eds). Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, p. 954.
- McDade, J. E., Shepard, C. C., Fraser, D. W., Tsai, T. R., Redus, M. A. & Dowdle, W. R. 1977 Legionnaires' disease. isolation of a bacterium and demonstration of its role in other respiratory disease. New England Journal of Medicine 297, 1197-1203. doi:10.1056/NEJM197712012972202.
- Mentasti, M., Kese, D., Echahidi, F., Uldum, S. A., Afshar, B., David, S., Mrazek, J., De Mendonca, R., Harrison, T. G. & Chalker, V. J. 2015 Design and validation of a qPCR assay for accurate detection and initial serogrouping of Legionella pneumophila in clinical specimens by the ESCMID Study Group for Legionella Infections (ESGLI). European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 34 (7). 1387-1393. doi:10.1007/s10096-015-2363-4.
- Mezrioui, N., Baleux, B. & Troussellier, M. 1995 A microcosm study of the survival of Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium in brackish water. Water Research 29, 459-465.
- Moran-Gilad, J., Mentasti, M., Lazarovitch, T., Huberman, Z., Stocki, T., Sadik, C., Shahar, T., Anis, E., Valinsky, L., Harrison, T. G. & Grotto, I. 2014 ESCMID Study Group for Legionella Infections (ESGLI). Molecular epidemiology of Legionnaires' disease in Israel. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 20 (7), 690-696.
- Nair, S. & Finkel, S. E. 2004 DPS protects cells against multiple stresses during stationary phase. Journal of Bacteriology 186, 4192-4198.
- Ortiz-Roque, C. M. & Hazen, T. C. 1987 Abundance and distribution of Legionellaceae in Puerto Rican waters. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 53 (9), 2231-2236. PMID:3314710.
- Palmer, C. J., Tsai, Y. L., Paszko-Kolva, C., Mayer, C. & Sangermano, L. G. 1993 Detection of Legionella species in sewage and ocean water by polymerase chain reaction direct fluorescent antibody and plate culture methods. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 59, 3618-3624. PMID:8285669.
- Phaiboun, A., Zhang, Y., Park, B. & Kim, M. 2015 Survival kinetics of starving bacteria is biphasic and density-dependent. PLOS Computational Biology 11 (4), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pcbi.1004198.

- Ratzow, S., Gaia, V., Helbig, J. H., Fry, N. K. & Lück, P. C. 2007 Addition of neuA, the gene encoding N-acylneuraminate cytidylyl transferase, increases the discriminatory ability of the consensus sequence-based scheme for typing Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1 strains. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 45 (6), 1965-1968.
- Rincon, A. G. & Pulgarin, C. 2004 Field solar E. coli inactivation in the absence and presence of T<sub>i</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: is UV solar dose an appropriate parameter for standardization of water solar disinfection. Solar Energy 77, 635-648.
- Roth, S., Feichtinger, J. & Hertel, C. 2010 Characterization of Bacillus subtilis spore inactivation in low-pressure, lowtemperature gas plasma sterilization processes. Journal of Applied Microbiology 108, 521–531. https://doi.org/10.1111/ i.1365-2672.2009.04453.x.
- Schjørring, S., Stegger, M., Kjelsø, C., Lilje, B., Bangsborg, J. M., Petersen, R. F., David, S. & Uldum, S. A. 2017 ESCMID Study Group for Legionella Infections (ESGLI). Genomic investigation of a suspected outbreak of Legionella pneumophila ST82 reveals undetected heterogeneity by the present gold-standard methods, Denmark, July to November 2014. Euro Surveillance 22 (25), pii:30558. doi:10.2807/ 1560-7917.ES.2017.22.25.30558.
- Sinton, L., Hall, C. & Braithwaite, R. 2007 Sunlight inactivation of Campylobacter jejuni and Salmonella enterica, compared with Escherichia coli, in sea water and river water. Journal of Water and Health 5, 357-365.
- Sommer, R., Haider, T., Cabaj, A., Pribil, W. & Lhotsky, M. 1998 Time dose reciprocity in UV disinfection of water. Water Science and Technology 38 (12), 145-150. doi:10.1016/ S0273-1223(98)00816-6.
- Tsvetkova, N., Schild, M., Panaiotov, S., Kurdova-Mintcheva, R., Gottstein, B., Walochnik, J., Aspock, H., Lucas, M. S. & Muller, N. 2004 The identification of free-living environmental isolates of amoebae from Bulgaria. Parasitology Research 92, 405-413.
- World Health Organization 2006 Guidelines for Safe Recreational Water Environments, Vol. 2. Swimming Pools and Similar Environments. ISBN 92 4 154680 8 (NLM classification: WA 820). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Health Organization 2007 Legionella and the Prevention of Legionellosis WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data. ISBN 92 4 156297 8 (NLM classification: WC 200). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Xiong, R., Xie, G., Edmondson, A. E. & Sheard, M. A. 1999 A mathematical model for bacterial inactivation. International Journal of Food Microbiology 46 (1), 45-55. doi:10.1016/s0168-1605(98)00172-x. PMID:10050684.
- Zbikowska, E., Walczak, M. & Krawiec, A. 2013 Distribution of Legionella pneumophila bacteria and Naegleria and Hartmannella amoebae in thermal saline baths used in balneotherapy. Parasitology Research 112 (1), 77-83. doi:10. 1007/s00436-012-3106-4.

First received 18 May 2020; accepted in revised form 31 January 2021. Available online 11 February 2021